## WESTERN INTERIOR ALASKA FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

## PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME II

Westmark Fairbanks Hotel & Conference Center Fairbanks, Alaska February 22, 2017 9:00 a.m.

## COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Jack Reakoff, Chairman Fred Alexie Shirley Clark Ray Collins Timothy Gervais Don Honea (Telephonic) Jenny Pelkola Pollock Simon Dennis Thomas Darrel Vent

Regional Council Coordinator, Zach Stevenson

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Page 171 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 (Fairbanks, Alaska - 02/22/2017) 4 5 (On record) 6 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're bringing 8 the meeting to order. I hear people on the conference 9 call. I'd like to see who is on the call. Could you state your name. 10 11 MR. ESTENSEN: Good morning, Mr. Chair. 12 13 This is Jeff Estensen with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fall Season Manager for the Yukon Area 14 15 CommFish. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Jeff. 18 MR. SUMMERS: Good morning. 19 This is 2.0 Clarence Summers with the National Park Service. 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, 22 Clarence. 23 2.4 MS. CARROLL: Good morning. 25 This is Holly Carroll, the Fish and Game Summer Season Manager 26 and Biologist for the Yukon River, here in Anchorage. 27 Good morning. 2.8 29 30 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Holly. 31 Good morning. 32 33 Anybody else. 34 35 MR. REAM: Good morning. 36 Joshua Ream, Anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. 37 38 39 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Good morning, Joshua. 40 41 42 Anyone else. 43 Okay. We've got four people on the 44 45 phone. And so we had put on our agenda that we would have the election for this Regional Council. 46 Unfortunately, Ray Collins had a health issue last 47 night and had to leave. He went back to Anchorage. 48 He's going home. And I had dinner with him. He was 49 50

```
Page 172
     feeling -- as you could see, he's weak. He had a --
 1
 2
     had an event and so he had to go home. But we've got
     most of the Council, except for Don Honea.
 3
 4
 5
                     Are you on the phone, Don.
                                                  I just
 6
     heard another beep.
 7
 8
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah.
                                         I am.
                                                Good morning,
     all.
 9
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Okay.
                                                Good to hear
     you on the phone because we're coming up for elections.
12
13
     And....
14
15
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 Thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I switched this
     over to the DFO, Zach, since he will run the election.
18
     So handing the gavel over.
19
20
                                      Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21
                     MR. STEVENSON:
22
                     First on the agenda is the election of
23
                 I would like to take a moment to provide an
24
     opportunity for the RAC to cast their vote for the
25
     Chair.
26
27
                     MS. PELKOLA:
                                   Somebody has to do a
2.8
     nomination.
29
30
31
                     MR. STEVENSON: Correct. So we'll
32
     begin with nominations.
33
                     MS. PELKOLA: I'll nominate Jack.
34
35
36
                     MR. STEVENSON: Could you please speak
     into the microphone.
37
38
39
                     MS. PELKOLA: Oh, sorry. Good morning,
                 I'll nominate Jack.
40
     everybody.
41
42
                     MR. ALEXIE:
                                  Second.
43
                     MR. STEVENSON: So I hear a nomination
44
45
     for Jack from Jenny and a second from Fred.
46
47
                     MR. VENT: Close the nominations.
48
                                     Thank you, Darrel.
49
                     MR. STEVENSON:
50
```

	Page
We'll close the	nominations.
	MR. ALEXIE: Second.
	MR. STEVENSON: Second from Fred.
the Chair. And	Hearing no objections, we have Jack as I will turn the floor over to the Chair on of the Vice Chair and the nomination.
	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Don't we have to	MR. ALEXIE: Don't we have to vote?  o vote?
	MS. PELKOLA: There was no objection.
objections, Fred	MR. STEVENSON: Yeah. We heard no
	MR. ALEXIE: Oh, okay. Okay.
	MR. STEVENSON: Yeah.
Okay.	MR. ALEXIE: Okay. I didn't hear that.
	MR. STEVENSON: Thank you.
	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I appreciate And I'll try and keep working hard
nominations for Chair, but his h with Ray last ni but he says if h he might serve o	And so we open the floor for Vice Chair. And Ray has been Vice health is failing and I had dinner light, he says he contemplated resigning, he can teleconference into the meeting out he might continue to serve. So the is on the fence sort of.
nomination for V	So but the floor is open for $\it J$ ice Chair.
	Fred.
	MR. ALEXIE: I nominate Darrel.

2/22/2017

Thank you for serving as Secretary,

Jenny.

2 3 4

MR. STEVENSON: Pardon me, Mr. Chair.

Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

5

1

MR. STEVENSON: Just on point of order, we have not received any notification from Mr. Collins about whether or not he was still going to continue his service as Vice Chair. And I'm wondering procedurally if we would need to clarify in writing whether or not he is stepping down from his position before taking the election of the Vice Chair. I would benefit from some quidance from leadership on what the proper procedure is regarding that protocol.

16 17 18

19 2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, I was -- I gave an overview of Ray's health issues and his personal communication to me that he -- he's -- was unsure if he could -- would be able to physically continue to serve on the Council. He says he wants to and he's a very valuable Council member. And so I put that out and so the -- the Council didn't nominate Ray. He still will be a valuable Council member if he can continue and I would encourage him to continue to serve if he can participate telephonically.

27 2.8 29

MR. STEVENSON: Okay.

30 31

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Which is a -- I've had to participate telephonically and basically you have about ten percent effectiveness because you can't read what anybody's thinking. But for this Council that's not nearly as hard as the Federal Board meetings. I have no idea what's going on with the Federal Board. I can't tell -- see -- tell what the Board members and people that are going to make decisions -- but for this Council I think Ray can be -still be a valuable Council member if he can physically serve. And he's unsure about that.

41 42

MR. STEVENSON: Okay.

43 44 45

46

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That's what he was telling me last night. So is that procedural? And so that looks affirmative.

47 48 49

Thank you, Mr. Chair. MR. STEVENSON:

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we have our elections out of the way. We have public and tribal comments every morning, so PJ Simon -- Pollock Simon, Junior is here and he would like to speak to the Council on an issue.

Come up to the mic there. Push the button and speak into the mic. And state your name for the record. And this will all be transcribed. It will be -- you can get a transcript of this meeting. Go ahead, PJ.

MR. SIMON: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Members of the Board. My name is PJ Simon. I'm Chief of Allakaket, a Tribe of 330 tribal members in the Southern Foothills of the Brooks Range.

2.0

And today my testimony is about the preservation of our caribou and dall sheep populations because our Tribe is against the conveyance of the 5150 Utility Corridor lands up there along the Haul Road, from the Yukon River bridge to Coldfoot.

2.8

My Tribe has gotten along very well with the existing guides and the air transporters all these years out of Evansville and out of Wiseman. We've had a good working relationship. And to open this utility to the public to make it State land is going to detriment the flora and fauna along the Haul Road because that will be open to ATV and rifles for hunting of all the game that is up there.

We have the dall sheep up there this side of Coldfoot. We have Doyon Limited lands. And also we've got State lands that has dall sheep. Dall sheep is our food. We've been hunting it for as long as we can remember. And that's an important food source.

Also, the three caribou herds that migrate along there, Teshekpuk, the Central Arctic herd, and the Western Arctic herd are important food source. Especially now with the advent of climate change and the unknowns of whether it rains. Three, four years ago it rained three times in the winter. We lost 30 percent of our dall sheep in the Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. The population went from 10,000 animals to 7,000. And recruitment was low

for a few years because of late springs, because of the green up didn't happen in time and other -- other elements like not -- the breakup getting late in May.

7

8

9

10

1 2

> So with more hunting pressure, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board, the Southern Foothills of the Brooks Range would -- all the populations of animals would be severely suppressed by hunting. say that because we've got a very good working relationship right now with the tribes and existing air guides.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

And mining would provide pollution to We have 15 percent of the Yukon River chum our salmon. run right above Allakaket. And that means 15 out of 100 salmon passing the Yukon River mouth is going up there above Allakaket. And that's not counting the South Fork River and the Jim River. Important spawning grounds.

19 20 21

22

23

24

Since we have the demise of the Yukon River chinook, the Jim River right along the Haul Road and the South Fork are important spawning grounds for our chinook salmon. But also the caribou. We've got a good balance, Mr. Chair.

25 26 27

2.8

29 30

31

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

And last week I was in D.C. to the Alaska Delegation. Tried to get a meeting with the newly vetted Department of Interior Secretary, Ryan Zinke, to invite him up to Kanuti to see the flora and fauna of the Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge.

32 33

So like I said, Mr. Chair, our Tribe is against the conveyance. In 1971, with the Public Land Order, our village corporation or even our sister -mother corporation, Doyon Limited, could have chose these lands, but we were barred from getting these BLM lands. And the way we look at it, up there in the Southern Foothills of the Brooks Range is -- that's the lungs of the planet. That's the last place on earth where you can hunt sheep, caribou, moose, grizzly bear, black bear. That's the last place.

42 43 44

45

46 47

48

We've got hunters and anglers who go hunt there already. Bow hunters who enjoy the solitude and confinement of one-on-one hunting. We've got the air taxi operators that are operating out of there, along with the guides. There's enough users right now, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board, that opening --

opening this corridor would just have further congestion along the already congested area.

And to us the resources out there are renewable. The biological goal to us, along with food and clothing for our Native people back there. Like I said, Mr. Chair, we see floaters and recreationals from all over the world up there in Allakaket who float the Koyukuk River and the Alatna River. We have no problem with them. But when it comes to competing for resources that are renewable and they get suppressed with climate change and however else, we can have -- we can have a lot of problems very fast.

And again I'll say we've got a great working relationship with the air guides, the air taxi operators, the recreationalists. So let's keep it how it is. That's what our Tribe is for. And on behalf of the 330 tribal members, we have a rich history of hunting the Brooks Range caribou, moose, grizzly bear, black bear. And that's where we live.

Mr. Chair, that's all my comments.

2.8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I really appreciate those comments. I wanted to state that Allakaket, Alatna, Bettles, Evansville have customary and traditional use into the Utility Corridor BLM lands. Those are part of the Federal subsistence use areas that are available for people of Allakaket, Alatna, Bettles, Evansville can utilize those lands -- and have historically used those lands.

With State conveyance there would be a complete exclusion of Federal subsistence priority. There would be a complete loss to my community and your communities of Federal priority uses of the Federal lands.

As you stated, the compatible uses of sport uses and the subsistence uses, the perception is that there's a user conflict. No. We have a drawing permit for the sporthunters in the Utility Corridor. We have subsistence hunting. We're working very well currently together with the two systems.

State lands would exclude all subsistence use and it would be managed -- I've been told by Region 3 in 1982 when we tried to get a Federal

subsistence hunt for our community that no. They laughed in my face. The State of Alaska Subsistence Division head in Fairbanks telling me that is designated as a sporthunting utility area and utility area and we will never have subsistence priority. And the State has been opposed to any kind of subsistence use in the Dalton Highway Corridor. And if they achieved conveyance of the land, your community, my community all would lose the Federal subsistence priority.

And I am highly opposed.

And I gave you the reasons that this Council adopted that comment to the Bureau of Land Management, that I don't feel that it's legal for the BLM to even contemplate conveying those lands because Native Corporations -- it's unconscionable that Native Corporations were excluded from selecting the Central Brooks Range.

The BLM had the opportunity. They discovered oil in 1968. They knew there was going to be a pipeline come down through the Central Brooks Range. They could have selected the entire Central Brooks Range previous to 1971. They didn't do it. Well, hindsight's 20/20. They should have. But too bad.

They are 20 percent over selected right now. The BLM told us that there's about 18 million acres that they're over selected right this minute. There's no -- no legal -- no reason to convey those lands to the State of Alaska.

And so I would speak more of the subsistence losses to our communities also. And so I wanted to state that on the record. And I really, really appreciate your comments. And I would like these comments -- since the BLM -- we don't have anybody here from this office. Oh, we do. Erin. There she is.

I want those transcribed comments put into the BLM's comments on the RMP that PJ just gave. And those are -- will all be transcribed on the record and you can obtain those.

Your response, PJ.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 2 3

And we look at the Southern Brooks Range as our livelihood. We've gotten along well with everybody. Let's just keep saying that again. Hammer that home. Because any more congestion is going to affect the existing populations.

Our Corporation was barred from picking these lands in 1971. They said no. These are traditional homelands. My grandfather lived in the Jim River, five miles from the Haul Road, for 26 years. William William. Died at 95 years old. That was his homeland.

We hunt there. We fish there. We get along great with everyone. And that is the last place on earth that's accessible for recreationalists, for hunters, Subsistence Board, air taxi operators, guiding. That's the last place on earth that we can have such an abundance in one small area.

I recognize that there's a lot of hard rock minerals, rare earth elements, and potential for oil and gas up there. But with that comes a -- well, a lot of people. And Jack -- or Mr. Chair, it's going to be detrimental.

And there's a research paper done by a PhD student that said one percent increase in hunting - in hunter numbers will affect eight percent harvest of moose and this is a study by a PhD student out of Anchorage who studied the Ambler Road because talking about the future hunting pressure.

 So with climate change, with the hunting pressure -- 15,000 people, non-residents hunt Alaska every year. I'm a Class A assistant guide, but I believe in the balance. Part of my livelihood comes from guiding. Sheep, caribou, moose, grizzly bear. So I find that balance, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board, that we've got to protect and conserve our existing populations as much as we can. Otherwise we'll have nothing.

And I told the Board Chair, ADF&G Board of Games' Ted Spraker, a few years ago, we can all work together or we could hunt everything to extinction and wonder what happened afterwards. I'm sitting here as a

Tribal Chief of 330 that we have a great working relationship with the air taxi operators, the guides, and the recreationalists.

So that's all my testimony. I can entertain questions, Mr. Chair, if you have any more questions. But that is my testimony.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I appreciate your testimony. Does any Council Members have questions or comments.

Darrel.

2.0

MR. VENT: Yes. I've been up around that area. I remember earlier in the days they used to have some good moose population around there. And it - that moose population got hit hard. I'm glad that I see that they're working together to try to improve everything there with the State. And I hope everything continues. That way you guys get back your livelihood there with the subsistence.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Jenny.

2.8

MS. PELKOLA: PJ, I thank you for your comments. I think that's very, very, very important that we do keep our traditional lifestyle. A lot of people don't understand. The people that live -- I wouldn't say everybody, but a lot of them don't understand where we come from. We grew up with that kind of food right in our backyard. And to turn that land over to the State, I know regulations from that State side and it's pretty tough. You can't compete with it for our livelihood.

So I just thank you for your comments and just keep hanging in there. And the Board backs whatever you're saying.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Jenny.

Fred.

MR. ALEXIE: Pollock, I'd really like to thank you for your testimony. Well done. You're an outspoken Chief of the Village of Allakaket. I know

that. And you've got a lot of experience. Your dad sits on our Board. And he testifies lots on behalf of our people.

But, you know, we lose that subsistence, where are we. Who are we. We fought for this land and these resources for years. It's an uphill battle. It's a constant uphill battle. And it's a constant uphill battle because it's not written down. Our history was all oral. Whatever we know, we were taught that by our grandpas and our dads. Whatever we know about land use.

It's the same way down in our area. What we fight for over the last years, king salmon return. I thought it was a losing battle, but now we're gaining. But we don't want to go through that with, like in your area, fighting for the dall sheep, the moose, caribou. It'll take too long to rebound. And we know that. We know that.

It's just Alaska is a tough place. But we've got to protect whatever we have. Otherwise we lose that, we're lost. In our culture, our subsistence way of life. I know that. We lose that and we're going to go hungry. We'll have no way to feed our village and our grandchildren.

 $$\operatorname{And}$  I'd really like to thank you for really testifying this morning, Pollock. I sure appreciate that.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you so much,

36 Fred.

 Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 Thank you for your testimony, Pollock. Real good to hear what your needs are for that community and that tribe. Can you talk to the Council about what was the Secretary of Interior's response to your communication with him?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  SIMON: Yes, Mr. Gervais. I did not meet with him. I requested. I met with the people

that know him and work with him, and quite coincidentally, the guy I guided this fall moose hunting had fundraisers for him in Montana. So I work through different ways just to try to get word to him.

And, you know, with all due respect, the area I'm talking about is very precious to not -- if it's BLM land, you know, 324 million people owns it. That's America's land. And for us to give it up is a national treasure and would be a national loss. To protect our land and our resources for everyone to see and hunt and live.

We've got people that come off the Haul Road with horseback. We've got recreational gold miners that go in there. Everyone's happy with that little spot in Alaska. And to keep it in the hands of Bureau of Land Management for us is vitally important.

That's why I was trying to reach out to Department of Interior Secretary Ryan Zinke and invite him up there to show him the flora and fauna of the Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge and what would be lost if we opened it up to the State lands and the hunting congestion that we would see.

But with all due respect, if I may talk to the comments of Mr. Alexie, Mrs. Pelkola, and Mr. Vent, is thank you.

We live in a tough country. Sidney Huntington was in Allakaket a few years ago. Not too many -- three or four years ago and he said it's some of the toughest country he's seen and wonder how our people have survived there for so long, and it's because we manage our game.

 And even right now my tribal members' freezers are empty. It's the dead of winter. But yet they don't want to shoot moose because they share. We share each other's -- people have beaver meat or we have moose meat. And we don't want to kill off everything. We understand that. And we make that bitter sacrifice just for that.

And with that one percent increase in hunters, eight percent of the moose numbers would drop.

So there is intellectual reports done by people -intellectual elitists -- that prove that such hunting pressure the Brooks -- Southern Brooks Range cannot stand.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

1

2

3

But -- and thank you, Mr. Vent, for We work well together with existing your comments. guides. I know them by first name. I talk to them and said hey, don't take too much. We said okay, we know. And give credit to them, they drop off caribou meat, they drop off moose meat in Allakaket when times of -tough times and the water's too low or the water's too high or it rains too much, we get moose meat and caribou meat. Sometimes sheep meat if we're lucky. That's pretty hard to come by.

15 16 17

18 19

MR. THOMAS: Can I make a comment here. You've got a good message. Keep giving it to them. Let them know where everything is at and don't stop. Keep telling them.

20 21 22

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Dennis.

23 2.4

Now your dad wants to speak. Go ahead, Pollock, Senior.

25 26 27

2.8

29 30

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

MR. SIMON: Yeah. I just wanted to tell the story about hunting in the old days. grandfather used to go up in canoe or pull the boat in in 1940s. And then my father -- he hunted sheep too after that. And when I was younger I used to go up into the mountains and look for sheep, too. mountains, which is now Gates of the Arctic National Park. And today some young boys and my son still go up in the mountains to look for sheep even though the sheep numbers are actually pretty low. We always go up the Alatna River and -- or go up the John River from Bettles, you know, it's just good to take a trip, go in boat and go up into the mountains and camp and all you hear is the rushing of the creek river. It's good to go out in the mountains. But we could do it now. what I'd like to say is that I want it to continue for our children and your children.

43 44 45

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

46 47

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Pollock. Appreciate that.

48 49

```
Do you have another comment there,
 1
 2
     Fred.
 3
 4
                     MR. ALEXIE: Yeah.
                                         I'd just like to
     make one more comment, Pollock. I know you're a guide.
 5
     You just got through saying you're a guide. And I
 6
 7
     appreciate -- I appreciate the guides from our local
 8
     area. My guys -- they know the area. They know the
 9
     people. And they share with the people whatever they
10
     get.
11
                     I know about five years ago -- I was
12
13
     bad luck for five years. I was coming out of the
     Kaiyuh. I sailed -- sailed the whole Kaiyuh for 17
14
     hours, I turned my 115 horse kicker off. Why. Because
15
     I was desperate. I heard a moose. I go back there. I
16
17
     called. I sit. Nothing. I give up. Come back out.
     And my wife was with me in the boat.
                                           Two of us.
18
     That's all. Sailed for 17 hours. Camped. Didn't
19
20
     start the boat not one time. Camp.
                                          Paddle to the
     beach. Camped. Just coming out of the Kaiyuh before I
21
     hit the Yukon. You know where that is. Just coming
22
     out Kaiyuh. One of our guides, Earl, come by with a
23
     bow hunter. Nice big rack on the boat. Earl, he look
24
     at me, Earl's my nephew, he look at me and he's telling
25
     me, uncle, I said nothing. That bow hunter look at
26
     him. He told him hey, give him all that moose. Give
27
     him -- giving me the whole moose. He's giving me the
2.8
     whole moose. All he took is his trophy, you know.
29
30
     Whatever.
31
32
                     But you see our local guides, they
33
     understand. They know our -- they know us people.
34
     I -- you know, there's negativity about, you know,
35
     quides. Especially down on our local level.
36
     always is negativity. You know, they don't like that
     pressure of outside people coming but it's our
37
     livelihood, too.
38
39
                     And, you know, I'd just like to comment
40
41
     on you for you sharing whatever you said, Pollock.
     sure enjoyed that.
42
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Appreciate those
46
47
     comments, Fred.
48
                     All right. Shirley over here has been
49
50
```

wanting to say something. Go ahead, Shirley.

1 2 3

MS. CLARK: Here's a true story. Kind of you'll probably appreciate. I have a bed and breakfast and there was this lady from the Peace Corps. And I came down, she was -- she had just come from Eastern Africa, so of course I asked them what they ate over there. What kind of meat. She said they ate goat once a month, the whole village. I said well, what about all the wild animals. Well, they had eaten everything, including the rats. There was nothing left. So they had their domestic goats that they -- once a month the whole family ate that.

So very good, very good presentation of you, young man.

16 you, young man

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, PJ.

2.8

MR. SIMON: Yes, Mr. Chair.

I've got one last comment. Up the Alatna River there's five species of cisco that spawn and a large population of sheefish. And all five species of whitefish that spawn, and the sheefish, they travel all over Alaska. Part of our populations of broad whitefish go to the Minto Flats. They overwinter in the Minto Flats. And it's an important fishery not only for the Alatna River and the people of the Southern Brooks Range, but for everyone, the people of the Minto and Nenana area.

And also the sheefish -- they've been tagged and they go down by the Innoko River. And they overwinter down there. So keeping our area, the Southern Brooks Range, everyone's area, pristine and undeveloped is important to a lot of people along the tributaries. It's an important food source, especially now.

We don't know what the government's going to face on the cuts. The State's \$3.8 billion in the hole. It's some tough times coming up. Renewable resources are biological goals.

I don't have anything else to say, Mr. Chair, than what the people of Allakaket, our concerns are and vet it to you and the Members of the Board.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. You're

speaking to the choir here, PJ. The food security, the loss of subsistence on the Utility Corridor would be extremely detrimental. It would be a chain reaction effect throughout the whole subsistence community of the whole South Slope of the Brooks Range.

You go on the North Slope. The State of Alaska leases lands. You can't go past Deadhorse. There is a gate. You're excluded from those lands. The State of Alaska wants to sell, lease lands. We lose access to the resource, all Alaskans lose lands to the resource when that happens.

2.0

2.8

I don't trust the State of Alaska to manage for the people of Alaska. They're looking for money. The subsistence resource for the perpetuation of the resource for subsistence use and non-subsistence use has far more value than the short term effects that the State would get. And so for food security of the people of our area, your area, all of those Utility Corridor lands, the watershed affects the Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge. It affects all of the fishery resources down river. And so it's a very important issue.

And so I'm fully in agreement with you on this 5150 issue, that they should not open the 5150. I'm hoping that eventually you'll get to be able to speak to the Secretary of Interior on that issue and bring around a different -- he's hearing one side of the story. He has to hear the other side. And I think that this Secretary of Interior is sympathetic to not conveying lands to the States. That was a big issue with him.

Darrel.

 MR. VENT: Yeah. I just wanted to let you know a little bit of history on the area of Huslia in Unit 24D. We turned it into a controlled use area with the State and because we had a good moose population back in the '70s so they had to enter into some kind of agreement in order for the -- the all users to be included in our area. So now we have, you know, a subsistence user, which is the whole State of Alaska.

And give you a little background on

 that.

In our village you have like between 80, 85 percent unemployment. People don't have a job. They have to have the subsistence. There's no other choice. If you don't have that subsistence you're going to have to face hard times. They've got to realize that.

If they come in from the city and they go hunting in our area, they've got options. They've got a good store to go to. If you go to the store in the villages it's four times the prices in the city. So that hurts us when they have to take our food sources away from us, whether it's caribou, moose, beaver, bears, anything.

You know, we have to learn how to survive on what's there. Because if they put a hurting on a moose that means we're going to have to have more for fishing, more for beaver. You know, it just because caribou's not there no more. Our caribou hasn't come back in the last, probably four or five years we haven't really seen a good amount there.

2.8

In the '70s they used to just run right through the village. That don't happen no more. They put the pipeline in. We lost that. There's no more caribou going through there. We know they've got good areas to eat there, but they're not coming in no more.

So when the State does something for you, be careful of what they do. Because we're seeing the effects in our village.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I was just sitting here writing down the various communities that would be affected by that 5150 issue. It would be Wiseman, Coldfoot, Allakaket, Alatna, Evansville, Bettles, and Hughes. Hughes has a eligibility to hunt in the Gates of the Arctic National Park. Those communities would have an extreme effect by opening of the Utility or giving the State land — the State of Alaska the lands on the Utility Corridor because they all have a rural customary and traditional use for that area. They can actually go into the Utility Corridor and hunt under Federal regulations. They would not be able to hunt under State regulations.

I feel that this issue, this RMP issue is so great that this Council should reopen our Annual Report and insert it as another category in the Annual Report. The BLM RMP would have extreme conveyance of the lands to the State of Alaska. It would have extreme detrimental effect to the subsistence users of the upper part of the region, including Anaktuvuk Pass. Anaktuvuk Pass goes in the Utility Corridor. Customarily and traditionally into the Atigun Valley. Their Inupiaq people hunted in that area and they still go into the Akilik Drainage, and they still get into the Utility Corridor to this day.

So this issue should be in our Annual

Report.

I feel the Federal Subsistence Board should be aware that we have extreme concern about this and we want to elevate this, not just within our Region, we want to elevate this to the Federal Subsistence Board also.

MR. SIMON: Yes, Mr. Chair. And as Chief of the Tribe, I look after the well being of all of my tribal members for health and safety and food sources. And also I believe Huslia is in the Federal - they are. They can hunt the Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The eligibility cuts off at Hughes. The Hughes, Allakaket, Alatna, Bettles, Evansville, Wiseman, Anaktuvuk Pass, Shungnak, Ambler, and Kobuk and Nuiqsut. There's ten resident zoned communities. Those are the -- I'm on the Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission. There are family members from Huslia that go up and hunt with people from Hughes. They have the eligibility. They go with them. But that community itself does not have the eligibility. It's not a resident zoned community.

So the Chair will entertain a motion to insert into the Annual Report this issue of the Bureau of Land Management's Resource Management Plan alternatives that would be -- are alternatives that would open Public Order 5150 to selection by the State of Alaska and conveyance to the State of Alaska would have extreme detriment to the subsistence users, and we will name every community that would have those

detriments, including Nuiqsut, that has a customary and traditional use into the Gates of the Arctic National Park.

So those communities would be Nuiqsut, Anaktuvuk, Wiseman, Coldfoot, Bettles, Evansville, Allakaket, Alatna, Hughes and even residents of Huslia because they do have family members. And we should include that into the Annual Report because those communities of the upper part of the Western Interior Region would be highly affected by the exclusion of the subsistence uses by the State of Alaska.

Do I have a motion to that effect.

MR. ALEXIE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to make a motion to add this issue to the Annual Report, please.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. VENT: Second.

2.8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Motion by Fred. Seconded by Darrel. Mr. Spindler would like to speak to the issue while we're under discussion.

MR. SPINDLER: Yes, just briefly. In preparation of Kanuti's comments on the BLM Plan, I talked to Caroline Brown with the State Division of Subsistence and there are some recent reports just came out. Seth Wilson did a lot of work in Allakaket and he just did a report on Hughes subsistence and there's really good data showing the dependence of those villages that you mentioned on the whitefish resource and the importance of the spawning and overwintering habitat provided by Kanuti Refuge and the Lower -- Middle Koyukuk area from Hughes up to and including the Evansville area.

So I just wanted to call your attention to those reports. Some of them are real -- very recent and some really good data that will I think help BLM look at the priority that that habitat provides for subsistence resources.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And I would like the

Annual Report to reflect that the Office of Subsistence Management present to the Federal Subsistence Board those use areas that are well documented through State and Federal community harvest mapping within the Utility Corridor and show the Board that these are actually very important use areas by all of the communities that we named. They all have use in that area.

And so it's not just me in Wiseman. It's a much wider range of effect.

And so any further discussion on that motion and to insert into the Annual Report.

Pollock.

 MR. SIMON: I just want to mention that some grandfathers that live in Huslia, they used to, from Allakaket they used to go up into the mountains to hunt sheep. So it's like Darrel's grandfather used to go hunting sheep off the mountains. It should be grandfather rights for some of them. I know my uncle, he used to live in Allakaket, now he lives in Huslia and died there. His kids should be able to go up in the mountains.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Pollock.

Any further discussion.

MR. VENT: Could we also put a note in there, you know, we're having issues with probably climate change. I think that's what it mostly adds up to, but a lot of animals are not reacting the same anymore because of, you know, it's not, you know, I'm looking ahead because I know climate change is going to affect a lot of the things that we use. So I just want to make sure that we note that in there.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, we could say that the climatic effect would cause -- as Annette Wilson -- was her name Wilson?

MR. STEVENSON: Watson.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Watson. Watson.

Annette Watson was saying that there's been use shifts over time. That's her study. And so climatic change may shift uses more to the Upper Drainage over time. But those areas would be excluded under State management.

Do we have....

MR. VENT: The reason I brought that up, because we noticed that in our moose. That, you know, they're migrating. They're moving away from our area. So we're having more -- like I mentioned in my Board Member comments that we, you know, there's -- it's a migration because there's more predators in our area. They tend to move when there's something that's too effective on them. And I just wanted to note that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Do we have a question on the motion.

MR. SIMON: Question.

2.8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The question's called. Those in favor of inserting this Annual report — item into the Annual Report to highlight it to the Federal Subsistence Board in regards to the Western Interior Region conveyance of lands under 5150 of the BLM RMP process. Those in favor of that Annual Report topic, signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Those opposed, same

sign.

(No opposing votes)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Appreciate your attendance of our meeting, PJ, and I really appreciate all you do for your Tribe and this Region. I really appreciate that.

Thank you.

MR. SIMON: And Members of the Board, Mr. Chair, thank you. On behalf of the Tribe of Allakaket, thank you for all the hard work that you guys do.

```
Page 194
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, we have a
 2
     point on our agenda and right here we have Tanana
     Chiefs. We just happ -- somebody happened to notice
 3
     you in the hall.
 4
 5
 6
                     MR. STEVENS:
                                   Oh.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And so....
 9
                     MR. STEVENS: I didn't come today to
10
11
     present, no.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. But if you
     have any concerns that you can think of offhand for the
14
     Western Interior's edification, we would appreciate
15
     those. If you don't -- we're just giving you an
16
17
     opportunity.
18
                     MR. STEVENS:
                                  You are too kind.
19
2.0
     you very much.
                     I'll check with my colleague, but now
     would probably not be a time to make some.....
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I see Brian
23
2.4
     and Nicole's names here. They're not about either, do
     you know if.....
25
26
                     MR. STEVENS:
                                   I haven't seen them.
27
2.8
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay.
30
31
                     MR. STEVENS: I apologize.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. Just
     wanted to give you the opportunity.
34
35
36
                     MR. STEVENS:
                                   Thank you, sir.
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So thank you.
38
39
                     And I can't find anybody on the phone
40
     that wants to comment under tribal governments.
41
42
43
                     (Pause)
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We did have PJ's
45
     comments, which were very important.
46
47
                     So we're at U.S. Fish and Wildlife
48
     Yukon River Pre-season Salmon Management Review, Yukon
49
50
```

WESTERN INTERIOR REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 2/22/2017 Page 195 Fisheries Management Staff. And so we've got Jeff 1 2 Estensen on the phone also. 3 4 Are you still there, Jeff? 5 6 (No comments) 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Gerald Maschmann 8 9 is passing out some papers here. Okay. Everybody's got your paperwork here. 10 11 Gerald, go ahead when you're ready. 12 13 14 And are you still on the phone, Jeff? 15 16 (No comments) 17 18 MR. STEVENSON: Holly might be on the 19 phone. 20 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Holly, are you there? 22 23 MS. CARROLL: Yeah. This is Holly 24 25 Carroll. 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okav. 2.8 MS. CARROLL: I like to let the Federal 29 30 manager give the report for us. And then I'll just stand by for any extra questions if you have any, Mr. 31 32 Chair. 33 34 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. I was just 35 making sure everybody was on the phone. 36 So okay. Go ahead. 37 38 MR. MASCHMANN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 39 My name is Gerald Maschmann. I'm with the U.S. Fish 40 and Wildlife Service, at the Fairbanks Subsistence 41 Fisheries Office. I'm the Assistant Federal In-Season 42 43 Manager and many of you know I work for Fred Bue, who is behind me. And he can back up any questions that I 44 45 can't answer. And Holly is online and she can feel free to interject any time she needs to clarify or --46 and if Jeff gets back on the line, he can interject as 47 he needs to also. 48

A handout -- I've -- I'm handing out. I'm just going to go through it real quick. You guys have heard the 2016 season review, so I'm not really going to go into that, and it's still pretty early for the 2017 outlook, but we'll talk about that briefly.

As everyone knows, the chinook salmon runs on the Yukon River have been low in recent years and managers have taken actions to try and meet escapement goals, provide for subsistence users, and provide when available for other uses such as commercial fishing. But things may be starting to improve.

 If you turn to page two of that handout you can see the top graph that shows chinook salmon commercial harvests have been reduced since 1998 because chinook cannot support large commercial harvests.

The middle graph shows that subsistence harvests remain steady, even with declining production until about 2012, when more severe subsistence fishing restrictions started to be implemented in order to meet escapement needs. You can see that the restrictions on subsistence fishing in 2013, 2014, and 2015 resulted in subsistence harvests well below average.

The bottom graph shows that chinook salmon estimated total run sizes had been declining steadily from 2007 to 2013. Despite a poor run in 2014, the subsistence restrictions implemented did result in a fairly good overall draining wide escapement, as you can see by the lower dark portion of the stack bars. You can also see that we have been successful in passing good numbers of fish to the spawning ground since 2014, which is encouraging for future runs.

On page three....

MR. GERVAIS: Question, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. Tim, go right ahead. I almost had a question there myself. Go ahead.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Gerald, this -- the third graph on the bottom, estimated total chinook runs, so what rivers is that -- are combined in that total.

MR. MASCHMANN: That's a good question. I would prefer to let Holly answer that. It's not so much a number as an estimate. And the department goes through a lot of their statistical analysis and they come up with a, what we might describe as an estimated run.

And I don't know if Holly, if you want to clarify on kind of how we come up with an estimated chinook run.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  CARROLL: Yeah. Sure, Gerald. Through the Chair.

2.0

That run estimation that you're looking at, we estimate that using the Canadian component. And what we used to do is we would take the number of fish that ended up escaping into Canada, the estimated total Canadian run, and that number we have a really good estimation for because we have a sonar project at the border and we can estimate the passage into Canada.

2.8

And then we used to assume that the Canadian component was about 50 percent of the total run; so all the stocks coming up into the Yukon River.

 So to answer, I believe it was Tim's question, that estimate is for the main stem Yukon. That's basically the total run, including all the different stocks, the lower stock, the Tanana stocks, the Koyukuk stock.

And we used to take that Canadian escapement and multiply it by two. And now we're using more updated genetic information. And we know that the Canadian component is only on average across most years about 40 percent of the total run. So that bar chart now, the way we calculated those total run numbers for the whole Yukon, we take the Canadian total run that's estimated at the border and we divide that by .40. That's where those bars come from.

It is a very rough estimate of what we think all the stocks combined comprise in the Yukon

River.

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That answers your

question, Tim?

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: My question is I'm wondering about the run passages. The first pulse comprising a large percentage of the Canadian component and what is the composition of that. Is it a good male to female ratio? And do we have large females in that component?

MR. MASCHMANN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2.8

What we're finding out from the genetic information is that that first pulse varies. It tends to be more Canadian, but it can vary from year to year and sometimes even the second pulse and the third pulse is high variable.

And just from some recent work up that Holly's been doing, it -- you know, there seems to be an even -- an odd/even year difference in that genetic makeup. But, you know, again the male/female ratio again varies from year to year, but I think we've been doing a pretty good job of getting fish up to the border. And folks anecdotally have been saying they've been seeing larger fish, more females up in Canada.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you have a 900-millimeter composition indexes of some type for us, or....

MR. MASCHMANN: I didn't -- wasn't prepared to speak specifically on what we got last season on the -- as far as age classes and size classes. Maybe Holly might have that information in front of her or she can maybe come back to it.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Holly, you might want to -- my concern, as other Councils and Council Members have had concerns, is the escapements that went into Canada for many years were really poor makeup. Small females. Really male skewed compositions. So with these kinds of closures we should be seeing larger females which have three times the egg load, way more fecundity. That's what I'm looking for.

Are we starting to see that? With all of these hardships that have been incurred through the subsistence users in this Yukon River, are we starting to reap some better compositions onto the spawning ground? That's the question.

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. Mr. Chair, this is Holly Carroll again. As Gerald explained, we haven't really put together a lot of data to speak to what you're talking to. But I guess I'd like to answer your question by first asking you a question. You mentioned that there were really skewed sex ratios for a while, many years into Canada. What years are you referring to? Are you talking about sort of prior to 2005, back when we had fish wheels monitoring the escapement into Canada or what....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, primarily....

MS. CARROLL: .....time period are you

referring?

2.8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Primarily, before the analysis was made for gear type on the Yukon River. They used eight and a half, eight and three-quarter inch gear. Basically took every last big king salmon off the Yukon River to where there was virtually above Tanana of any significant size going into Canada. Once those gear restrictions were put in place in 2009, then we went into runs that were crashing, and so then we went into closures and long closures, went into moratoriums and so forth.

So previous to 2000, the Board of Fish action to change down to seven and a half inch gear, which never has really been implemented to a large degree, there was some really poor escapements as far as composition into Canada. It was catastrophic. And fortunately the Department of Fish and Game did the selectivity study which analyzed and showed that the seven and a half inch gear took the majority of what was present so that we weren't straining off one component over another.

I'm asking are we starting to see better -- now we've had -- since 2009 we've had some re -- starting to get some returns with some of the moratoriums that have been on. 2009 was a pretty good bump because there was a lot of sacrifice with that.

That year there was a lot better, larger fish.

1 2 3

I was collecting genetic samples on the Jim River and I saw quite a bit better fish that year, but mainly because they got protected to get onto the spawning ground. Are we starting to see that in the --there's these suite of gear that's used at Eagle, are we starting to see better escapements into Canada. That's the question. Are we starting to see more 900-millimeter fish.

2.8

MS. CARROLL: Well, it's not a simple answer that I have for you, I'm afraid, Mr. Chair. What we find in the king runs is that in some years the runs are dominated by five year olds and some years they're dominated by six year olds. And then like what we saw in 2015, you even see a lot of four year olds coming back. And when the run comes back like that --when the run comes back dominated by five year olds, for instance, we are going to see more males on the spawning grounds.

And so what we've seen over the last five, six years is that the sex ratios have been fluctuating. I don't actually have length data right in front of me plotted that I can tell you for the average over the last few years, but what I can tell you is when we've looked at four, five, and six year olds, the way the run has been coming back the five and the six year olds have been kind of alternating who's dominating the run.

And in years when five year olds dominate, we do see smaller, more male escapements. But we are seeing high escapement numbers in the last three years than we have obviously when we weren't meeting escapement goals. So that's good. We're getting a lot of numbers on the spawning grounds and we've been putting more fish on the spawning grounds than is required by the International Treaty. And some of that just comes down to sort of management precision.

But to answer your question about size, when we implement -- when the Board of Fish implemented the size restriction down to seven and a half inch, I just wanted to clarify that that has been implemented in the sense that there is no gear larger -- or than seven and a half allowed on the Yukon River. And

enforcement actions have been taken to guarantee that that's being complied with.

So we have had the seven and a half inch restriction in place since 2012. I'm not sure all fishermen have gotten the memo about that. We constantly remind people about that. And then when enforcement goes out, unfortunately they are confiscating people's nets if they're bigger than seven and a half inch. So that mesh size study did result in a reduction in the largest king gear that could be used and that has been implemented for the last few years, so it is helping.

And then we've had such harsh restrictions in the subsistence fishery that, you know, we aren't even really been offering much seven and a half inch opportunity. Most people are restricted to six inch for most of the season.

So to get to an earlier question, do we think that's having an effect on the quality of escapement of the spawning grounds. Yes, it likely is. But it's hard to tell when we have runs like the last couple of years that are dominated by fix and six year olds.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I just wanted to -- so anecdotally we can say that yeah, there's been the seven and a half gear restriction, but they haven't really implemented it that much because it's been six inch mesh primarily for the majority of the king run if there's even some opportunity. But if people were able to use seven and a half it would be a lot better for the chinook run in general if our runs begin coming back to where we can have the windowed openings eventually. Hopefully this run will come back to where we have opportunity earlier in the run with windowed openings.

 So I just wanted to get an idea of how many big fish they -- you know, their spawning grounds they do these carcass analyses and so forth. And so I'm not going to belabor this. I just feel that we should be doing a lot better on the spawning grounds. The fecundity, productivity of the -- what we're putting there is not just in numbers, but it's also in quality. There was a huge quality issue before that. So that's what I keep talking about.

Fred, have you got a comment, question? 1 2 3 4 MR. ALEXIE: Yeah. Just by going by 5 experience and fishing on the river, I, myself, and a lot of people in Kaltag or from Nulato on down, we go 6 before the pulse is implemented. First pulse, I don't 7 fish according to that one. Why? Because of quality -8 - fish quality. I do not fish after that first pulse 9 because of quality of the fish. 10 11 The big -- before the pulse run I find 12 13 that the consistency of the kings more stronger. The meat is stronger. The fish is healthier and we get 14 less females before that first pulse come. 15 16 17 When that first pulse comes it is a mixture of the females and the males. And in our way 18 of fishing we prefer to get the big males because we're 19 2.0 -- we know that the females are, you know, the big females are carrying the -- carrying up all them eggs. 21 And that's our practice in Kaltag. 22 23 24 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Fred. 25 Good information to know. 26 Do you have a similar stance on that, 27 2.8 Jenny? Jenny fishes a lot. 29 30 MS. PELKOLA: Yeah. I do. 31 32 (Laughter) 33 34 MS. PELKOLA: Yeah. We don't normally, 35 you know, do the first pulse either. We let that go by 36 and we -- we similar -- have the, you know, similar practice as Kaltag and Nulato. 37 38 39 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 40 Go ahead, Gerald. So we've just 41 42 got.... 43 44 MR. MASCHMANN: Thank you. 45 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Had some little 46 47 questions there. Go ahead. 48 So if we go to page 49 MR. MASCHMANN: 50

three, page three shows the chinook salmon annual passage by the sonar projects near Pilot Station and Eagle. The top Pilot Station graph shows that the chinook run coming into the Yukon River has only slightly increased in recent years, while the bottom graph at Eagle shows a definite increase since 2014. A big part of that increase at Eagle is due to the subsistence harvest restrictions that we'd been implementing because of our failure to attain escapement goals way too often since 2007.

We did ease up on restrictions somewhat in 2016 because we had made our border passage goals. We had made our border passage goals since 2014 and 2015. We hope to continue to cautiously back off the restrictions in 2017, but we're still waiting for our actual forecast to be completed before we get any -- into any details of in-season management for 2017.

If we go to the graphs on page four, they show the trend in relative run strength since 1995 for summer chum, fall chum, and coho salmon. What you can see is that these three species appear to be doing fairly well since the low years of the late '90s and early 2000s.

2.8

The top graph on page five shows run timing and magnitude of the different salmon species on the Yukon River. The red fill shows summer chum salmon run timing and abundance and the blue fill shows the chinook salmon run timing and abundance. This graph shows that the four salmon species as they passed the sonar near Pilot Station. You know, in contrast to visualize Upper River District 5, you would see that almost the entire red fill representing summer chum would be absent.

So there's really no option to harvest summer chum as compensation for reduced chinook subsistence use in the Upper River. And that's, you know, been a management challenge for us as to get District -- Upper District 5, you know, an opportunity when they don't have the opportunity to harvest summer chum.

It has been a major challenge for managers to allow fishermen to harvest the abundant summer chum while protecting that overlapping chinook salmon run. Subsistence fishing for summer chum has

been restricted to dipnets and non-lethal gear when chinook were present early in the season. When good numbers of chinook were present through the Lower Yukon, subsistence fishing was opened to six inch mesh. And commercial chum fishing with gillnets was allowed later in the season.

Not only is it a challenging to manage the overlapping summer chum and chinook salmon runs, the bottom figure shows that -- or that on the next page the map shows that we're also trying to manage for a mixed chinook salmon stock, with different stocks going to many different places on the river.

This all gets pretty complex, but one thing we've learned with our studies is that earlier portions of the run tend to migrate the farthest up river. So when we talk about first protection, it is the majority of those early chinook that are bound for the Canadian border.

The rest of the report summarizes the 2016 season, which you already heard last fall, so I won't go into that unless you have more questions about last year. And it also gives a brief outlook for the 2017 season, which I'll summarize for you now.

MR. VENT: Jack. Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh. Go ahead,

31 Darrel.

 MR. VENT: Yeah. I've got a question here. I noticed in your 2013/2014 -- is that about the time when they started doing the commercial fishing? When did they start the commercial fishing for the summer chum or the fall chum?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MASCHMANN: Specifically for the dipnetting or....

MR. VENT: It's for the whole areas. I'm just kind of curious because I see the numbers are not remaining steady, but it's kind of declining.

MR. MASCHMANN: Which graph are you

47 looking at?

MR. VENT: I'm looking at the Yukon

River summer chum run size index. It shows the 2013, 2014, 2015, and a projected 2016. On page four.

MR. MASCHMANN: Yeah. Yukon River summer chum runs have been excellent and good. And they've been commercial harvesting, but there's been way above escapement goals for summer chum. We've been meeting escapement goals and beyond for summer chum for several years now. So they're doing very good, summer chum.

MR. VENT: Because I know these aren't actual numbers. These are projected numbers. I'm just kind of curious. You know, I see your 2016 numbers are way up there. With the charts showing a decline on there, I don't see how your projected numbers are, you know, it should reflect what the actual numbers are.

2.0

2.8

But I don't see where you're getting these numbers from unless there's a lot of fishing that's going to be going on this year or something. I don't know how this is, you know, your -- that here looks like that, you know, what I'm worried about is they had commercial fishing for king salmon before.

Now they had to switch over to summer chums because there was a lot of them out on there. But I'm worried that, you know, once they put too much of a market on there, it's going to hurt what happens to the summer chums. We don't want to see that because we use summer chums up in our area. We use the fall chums.

And I just don't want to see that hurting our people because we're running out of a lot of subsistence use and, you know, I think we're a priority when it comes to fishing. So that's just my main concern. I just don't want to see that crashing on us and we don't have nothing -- we're left with nothing again.

MR. MASCHMANN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Gerald.

MR. MASCHMANN: So the graph on page four, that -- those are an estimate of the total run size. So we took the Pilot Station number for summer chum. We got that total. Then we took the harvest

that happened downstream which include subsistence estimates and the commercial harvest. And then we took the summer chum that went up the Andreafsky River. And we totaled all that together just to give us an index of what we think the total summer chum run was for those years.

And in 2016 it's still preliminary. That's why it's hash marked. But we've had excellent summer chum runs. They've been harvesting summer chum in the commercial fishery and we've still gotten plenty of fish beyond escapement goals for summer chum. So summer chum are doing well.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: What is the escapement goal on summer chum drainage wise, like around 900,000, or.....

2.0

MR. MASCHMANN: Holly, can you answer that? I'm not sure if there's a summer chum drainage wide escapement goal.

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. Mister -- there is a new drainage wide escapement goal for summer chum. And it's 500,000 to 1.2 million. So it's a range.

2.8

And one of the things I just want to reiterate about what Gerald is saying there is that the chart that you guys are referencing is showing that last year when we account for the harvest and how many were counted at Pilot, we estimate that there was over 2 million summer chum last year. And what we're finding is that when we have this run of summer chum coming back like we had the last couple of years and the run size is estimated to be about 1.8 million, we actually -- we can calculate a harvestable surplus by taking that drainage wide goal into account and we can figure out what the harvestable surplus was.

And last year the harvestable surplus was over 800,000 fish. But our fleet -- and because of the need to conserve chinook salmon, we're not capable of taking the entire harvestable surplus of summer chum salmon. We haven't been -- we haven't even been coming close to it.

So I just wanted to speak to that gentleman's concerns that because we are using selective gear early in the season for summer chum,

```
it's harder to catch summer chum that way. We're doing
 1
 2
     a lot of avoidance of fishing in order to protect king
     salmon, and so we don't -- there's not much concern at
 3
     this time that we would over fish the summer chum runs.
 4
 5
     We aren't even touching that harvestable surplus
 6
     basically with runs of this size.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        What was your
 9
     commercial harvest approximately?
10
                     MS. CARROLL: Last season it was about
11
               I'm just throwing that number out from the
12
     530,000.
     pre-season. And I'm sure it's covered in your document
13
     there. I can't find the number right now. But it was
14
15
     about 525,000 total.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay.
18
19
                     MS. CARROLL: For chum.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So they're....
22
                     MR. GERVAIS:
23
                                   Jack.
2.4
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah.
                                               Go ahead,
     Tim.
26
27
                     MR. GERVAIS: I'm seeing on page eight
2.8
     of the 2016 preliminary Yukon River summer season
29
30
     summary, the summer chum harvest is listed at 181,000.
31
32
33
                     MS. CARROLL: Yeah.
                                          That's not
     complete. You're looking at just the harvest from the
34
35
     selective gear fishery. So if you continue reading on
36
     page nine, the total harvest was 525,863 summer chum
     for the entire Yukon area.
37
38
39
                     That table you referenced was how many
     summer chum they caught just with selective gear, which
40
41
     is dipnets and beach seines.
42
43
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   Okay.
                                          Thanks, Holly.
     That's a big difference.
44
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Go ahead,
47
     Gerald.
48
                     MR. MASCHMANN: And just to let the
49
50
```

Council know, the State puts out -- Holly put out a preced -- or what Tim was referring to. I have a stack of these that I've placed in the -- on the table out front. There's a summer season summary and a 2016 fall season summary. You should have gotten the summer season summary at your fall meeting. The fall area summer season -- or fall season summary wasn't out then.

So there is a fall season that the Department -- it's got a lot of details about commercial fishing. It's got age class information and more data that I am presenting here today. But that's on the table out front. So....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Gerald, referring to page six of the handout on telemetry, I was -- wanted to know, is there telemetry projects going on every year or is it just individual studies?

2.8

MR. MASCHMANN: Through the Chair. No. There was a -- we had a big Yukon River chinook salmon telemetry study in the early 2000s, by John Eiler with NOAA. And so this is basically taking his data and summarizing it just to -- just to prove the point that we're not dealing with a single stock of chinook. We're dealing with stocks all over the Yukon and we're trying to manage those stocks as best we can.

And, you know, that first pulse protection is -- is a lot of Canadian and so -- but it's not all Canadian, and so we're doing our best to try and spread the harvest -- and spread harvest out over those different stocks and....

MR. GERVAIS: I thought that the Pilot Station folks were tagging fish on an annual basis to see if -- their survival and their origin.

MR. MASCHMANN: Through the Chair. No, that's not the case. There was some time ago a chum tagging. And there's been talk of maybe tagging coho and then there's been talk in the future of doing another chinook tagging project. But the tagging projects are very expensive, very labor intensive.

And there was the -- John Eiler with NOAA did the big chinook tagging in the early 2000s and we got three or four of the years out of that and this is basically summarizing his study on where the stocks go to.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you.

MR. ESTENSEN: Yeah. Mr. Chair, this is Jeff Estensen with Fish and Game, Fall Season Manager, for the record. I think maybe what Tim might be referring to is the collection of genetics from chinook and from chum at Pilot Station sonar, which did allow us to get an idea of where those stocks are or what the origin of those stocks are. But that may be what Tim is referring to.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for that clarification, Jeff.

Fred, you got a question.

MR. ALEXIE: Yeah. Jeff, I would like to ask do you allow commercial fishing for the king salmon down in the Lower River?

MR. ESTENSEN: I'll let Holly.....

MR. MASCHMANN: Through the Chair.

MR. ESTENSEN: I'll let Holly answer that one since she's the summer season manager. Holly.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Holly.

MS. CARROLL: Yes. And so we have not had any commercial directed for many years. And even it was brought up earlier that summer chum fishing might be a new thing and it's not a new thing at all. We've been commercial fishing for summer chum for a long time. But there's not been a commercial sale for chinook salmon since I believe 2011.

So when commercial fishermen go out and when they are using gillnets for summer chum, that commercial fishing typically doesn't happen until about

80 percent or 90 percent of the chinook run has passed But once they are using gillnets, they may keep chinook salmon for their subsistence use, but they may not sell chinook salmon.

5 6

1 2

3

4

MR. ALEXIE: Okay. Yeah. Just....

7 8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

MR. ALEXIE: Just to further elaborate on that commercial fishing, you know, I, myself -- we sacrificed for four years. People on the whole entire river sacrificed for the last four years trying to get the chinook salmon to rebound and when the numbers come up like it did in 2016, I would wait what, another four more years, before even thinking about commercial opening.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

29 30

31

And like Darrel was talking about the fall fishing, that too is going to go down with commercial openings. And if that goes down, that's going to be another hardship. It will put more strain on catching the king salmon. It'll put more strain on all the fish really for that matter, you know, whether it be the summer chums or the fall chums, cohos, king salmon.

27 2.8

And I just -- you know, I know there are a lot of people that go commercial fishing. you know, to use that and make it a preference for them over subsistence, I do not like that over subsistence use.

32 33 34

35

36

I don't think I've ever seen any kind of percentage as to the whole run, saying hey, this was caught by commercial or subsistence. I've never seen that kind of figure out there for me to look at.

37 38 39

40

41

42 43

44

And one thing I'd like to, you know, while we're talking about the fishing is the bycatch numbers, I do not want to dicker on that bycatch numbers either. Because you up that bycatch, you are putting more strain on that, you know, river. The fish coming up the Yukon. And once you do that, we're going to go right back down to what we were in 2000-whatever.

You know, but at any rate -- and also to clarify the use of fish wheels for that species --

king salmon or silver, we have never used fish wheels now for quite a few years, especially where we're at. It is faster for us in Kaltag, Nulato, and Koyukuk to just go out with fishnets. We catch what we want and we're done for the day. You catch 20 king salmon in one day fishing. That's one whole day of work. You get done at 7:00 o'clock in the evening and then the work begins after that to take care of that fish.

So we allow ourselves -- we've been user of that fish for thousands and thousands of years, we know how to take care of that -- we know how to take care of that fish. And to over fish it, I don't go for that. And numbers that are coming back, don't get excited, please and say hey, commercial will be on or whatever, you know, and allow fishing to go on and over harvest again. I just don't want to see that.

Okay.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Fred.

2.8

The last few years -- previous to the last few years, the Department was real anxious to drop the gun on commercial harvest and since they got burned many times on not meeting escapement needs, the Department of Fish and Game and U.S. Fish and Wildlife have managed very conservatively and met escapement needs or exceeded escapement needs. And the preseason outlook for summer and fall season, it looks to me that they're going to maintain these conservative management strategies, harvesting any commercial after assurance that escapement needs are met.

And so at this time I think that we should continue to be very conservative. And so I see that the preseason forecast that the management strategies are going to be similar.

I've got people that are wanting to go for break.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And so we'll round this up. Any final questions or comments.

Page 212 Gerald. 1 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Darrel. 6 7 I just had one little MR. VENT: Yeah. 8 concern in there. You know, that when they started 9 figuring out what happened with the kings there, it was that they were taking too many of the big kings and 10 that's like taking the strain out of the fish. And 11 when you do something like that, you -- it really 12 13 crashes on you. 14 15 So what I'm worried about with the summer chum -- if you start taking those big ones, then 16 17 you're going to have a hard time getting it to rebound because it, you know, the predation in there. 18 19 like with other animals. You always have predation. You've got to account for so much for predation before 2.0 you figure out, you know, if these numbers are going to 21 stay at the level you want or it's going to drop. 22 23 24 So I'm concerned about, you know, how 25 they fish. 26 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, there's --27 early in the run they don't have -- all king salmon 2.8 that are caught with dipnets or beach seining are 29 30 thrown back in. 31 MR. VENT: 32 It's the summer chum I'm, 33 you know, kind of projecting for. Because they're doing commercial fishing. You know, the bigger fish 34 35 you catch, the better sales you have. 36 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, the dipnet or 37 the beach seine would basically have no size selection. 38 They would basically take all that they catch of all 39 the chum. Once they go -- the Board of Fish has gone 40 into more, what is it, five and a half inch gear for 41 chum commercial fishery? 42 43 44 MR. MASCHMANN: Yes. For -- Mr. Chair, 45 to give the Managers an option they've -- Holly, the in-season manager, can limit to five and a half inch 46 47 mesh to even protect those chinook even further, and

we've done that.

48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But, you know, the five and a half -- you know, I would have liked to have seen a selectivity study for chum so that we harvest at an optimum range with gear types. So that we don't skew -- you know, what Darrel's talking about is skewing. Basically yeah, those big males are way bigger than a female. And so we don't skew these populations in ratios, like we got into problems with the chinook.

But right now the harvest is way, way below the harvest at the surplus, so I'm not real super concerned about what the commercial fishery is actually doing to the geno stock.

You've got another comment. Final comment there, Fred.

MR. ALEXIE: Yeah. One last comment.

Yeah. Jeff, I would like just -- I would like to see an update on bycatch for our fall meeting, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Of course. We will want an update on the performance of the Yukon and Kuskokwim fisheries and that usually occurs in our fall meeting.

MR. ALEXIE: Okay. Why I do not -- the last number I looked at was 120,000 bycatch. I think that was set, I think, I'm not too sure, Jeff, maybe you could -- at any rate, hey, you take that 120,000, divide that by the villages and what I figured out one time, that 120,000 -- you give 1,000 fish to Kaltag. You distributed the 1,000 fish in Kaltag. Everybody would have their quota for....

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are they bycatch -the Bering Sea bycatch is a total bycatch. Some are
Asian stock. Some are Bristol Bay stocks. There's -and I don't know that they can really differentiate
closely Kuskokwim and Yukon stocks. But it's about 40
percent or AYK stocks -- of the bycatch.

So the -- yeah, so the Yukon would be in the AYK area. So we -- yeah. But it's an impact. And several years ago we had -- Commissioner of Fish and Game, Sam Cotten, used to be on the North Pacific

Fisheries Management Council and he come to Galena and this Council told them all that bycatch should be processed, not ground up and thrown overboard. It should be processed and returned to the communities. Oh, that would cost a lot of money.

I says yeah, that disincentivizes taking those fish on the high seas. If they've got to process them and can't grind them and get them out of sight, out of mind, they've actually got to process them, that would disincent -- oh, some of them would be small. Oh, well. We'll take the small ones, too.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But they -- there was -- there's some. And a lot of the product that they actually retained went to the Seattle Food Bank. It didn't come back to Alaska. So that was kind of a problem there.

But we're getting off topic.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So want to come back on course here. One final question. We've got people who want to go to break.

Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: I don't have a question. I just want to clarify some of these numbers that are being thrown around. I got information yesterday on this -- these Bering Sea bycatch reports from NOAA. And so 2016 was approximately 22,000 kings. That doesn't count Gulf of Alaska, which is a different area.

And then Commissioner Cotten is still on the North Pacific Council because he has a -- the seat as the Commissioner of Fish and Game. Has a permanent seat on the Council.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I appreciate that clarification. So approximately 40 percent of 22,000 would be AYK stocks. And so they hit their hard cap though. And didn't they have to cut back? Was that last year?

MR. GERVAIS: Well, that -- this sheet is indicating that they're taking 37 percent of their allowable bycatch.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: For 2016.

MR. GERVAIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So any final

10 comments.

Gerald.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  MASCHMANN: Yeah. I'm sensing you want me to wrap it up. So if I could just make a few more.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Sure. Yes.

MR. MASCHMANN: Okay. Again, like you said, Jack, we're probably going to manage 2017 very similar to 2016. Start conservative. If it does appear that the chinook runs are trending up and we're optimistic, we may allow for a little bit more chinook salmon harvest with that seven and a half inch gear. In 2016 we allowed an opening or two in each district to harvest chinook and we may do a little more of that if we're optimistic about the chinook run.

 YRDFA in past -- in the past several years has sponsored or had a preseason meeting for the fishermen and that's going to be happening again this year. I don't know if -- if, Fred, you've been to that or if you'll be going to the YRDFA preseason meeting. That's where we get all the fishermen together and say here's what we did last year and here's what we think we want to do in 2017, what do you think.

 And we definitely appreciate the fishermen's input. We know they've been sacrificing. We've heard it. And we appreciate their compliance. We know that when fishermen are informed, they're more likely to conserve and follow the rules. And we definitely appreciate that as managers.

The FRMP, the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Projects. We have the Andreafsky River weir and Gisasa River weir have applied for funding. Those are important for managers. And you'll be reviewing

those along with other projects that have been submitted I believe this fall or next spring. And, you know, we appreciate you, the Council supporting those projects. And if you have any questions about those, please ask us.

And if Fish and Game -- the Federal Subsistence Board did pass some Yukon River fisheries. I don't know if you want to review those now or take your break. Or -- and I know Tim had questions about the State Board of Fish actions that were taken in January of 2016 and how those might have been implemented this year. So.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, at this time we'll take a break. A 15-minute break. It's 10:40. We'll take a 15-minute break and then we'll continue on.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I thought we were going to wrap up. But we'll continue on. I want to cover this fisheries stuff.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We're going to bring this meeting back to order. And we're going to complete this fisheries preseason update for the Council.

Go ahead, Gerald.

MR. MASCHMANN: Thank you, Chair.

Just to wrap this up, recently the Federal Subsistence Board took action on Yukon area fisheries and there's -- there are three new regulations I think are significant that I wanted to mention.

One is in Subdistrict 5D. During inseason subsistence fisheries closures you may take salmon for subsistence use once the midrange of the

Canadian interim management escapement goal and the total allowable catch goal are projected to be achieved and announced by the Federal in-season manager. And so that was I think in response that 5D was watching Eagle sonar numbers go up and up and up. And they were frustrated because they were -- felt like we were going to meet the goal and why should they sit on the bank, and so I think that was in response to that.

And so this gives the Federal manager the ability to -- once the Federal manager feels that we're going to reach our goal at the Eagle sonar, then he could allow fishing for Federal qualified users. We're thinking about how this might or might not be implemented. It's something I think the managers are going to do anyway, so it may be a moot point. But it does give the Federal manager some flexibility if he needs it.

MR. MASCHMANN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You know, it was back and forth between met or projected, and so the Board stayed with projected.

MR. MASCHMANN: Yes. 5D is a big district. And if you waited till it was met, then it's pretty much over for most of 5D. So as managers, we're constantly projecting what the run's going to be and making decisions based upon a projection and so it was felt that would give the manager the most flexibility.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I was satisfied with that.

MR. MASCHMANN: All right. And then FP1703 is essentially providing Subdistricts 4A with the oper -- the -- gives the Federal manager the opportunity to provide drift gillnet fishing for chum in Subdistricts 4A if it's needed.

That mirrors a State regulation that's giving the State managers the option under emergency order to give Subdistrict 4A fishermen the ability to drift for chum. And we felt it was -- this was a

decent proposal because, you know, we want to give opportunity. Because people are struggling to get chinook, we wanted to give them as much opportunity on the chum if they wanted it and so this gives them the ability or the -- gives the manager the option to open chum fishing for Subdistrict 4A.

And then FP1704, again it's similar to the State regulation. It basically was put in by folks from Huslia who wanted to stretch a little more net over Race Track Slough and -- on the Koyukuk River and sloughs of the Huslia River to give them a little more opportunity. And so that -- it doesn't quite mirror the State reg, but it's very close to the State regulation that was passed.

 So again it just gives those fishermen a little more opportunity to fish for other species besides salmon.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any comments

 on that.

Darrel.

2.8

 MR. VENT: Yeah. I remember we spoke with that this -- earlier this year. And we had to try to adjust to what the State regs want in there and just so our people don't lose their nets somewhere because we were kind of worried about that. Didn't want to fish for the fish anymore because they were -- they lost two nets already and they didn't want, you know, it's pretty costly to lose those kind of nets.

So I guess, you know, they're happy at what -- happy with what the regulation states now.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Yeah. This was a proposal driven by Huslia, Jack Wholecheese and Darrel there were the driving force of that.

So continue.

 MR. MASCHMANN: I was just going to -if Holly or Jeff had any more -- anything they'd like
to say, I'm done. I know maybe Tim had some questions
or comments about how the State Board of Fish new
regulations were implemented in 2016 and if he wants to
discuss those, we can. Or.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You're on the phone still, Holly and Jeff?

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. I'm here, Mr. Chair. I don't have anything to add to what Gerald's said, but I'm happy to stand by for any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. ESTENSEN: And Mr. Chair, this is

12 Jeff.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

So do you have a question there, Tim?

2.0

MR. GERVAIS: Sure. The most important thing I'd like to convey to the in-season managers for all the species is it was really a great performance for 2016. So I know it's a difficult job to try to manage the river and provide, you know, subsistence opportunity and meet your escapement goals and commercial opportunities. So I was -- I thought when it was all said and done that it worked out really great for it seems like the entire river, including the Canadian folks.

So this Council discussed, let me get my timing right here, fall of 2015, when we were looking at Board of Fish proposals that we didn't feel like beach seine gear was appropriate to use in river. We didn't feel it was appropriate to have the specifications of the beach seine gear be 150 fathoms and we also didn't want to expand the areas that were allowable for harvesting in District 1.

So just to -- for the sake of this conversation I'm just going to review for the Council what did get passed in that January of 2016 Board of Fish meeting for Yukon River. And so Proposal 118 carried, all these proposals carried unanimously seven to zero. That established beach seine to be used for subsistence fishing in the Yukon area.

Proposal 122 specified the aggregate length for set gillnet gear for commercial use at 150 fathoms, which is 750 foot a net. That carried seven-zero.

Proposal 123 further defined the specifications of the beach seine at 150 fathoms or 750 feet.

And then established an entire new commercial fishery in Districts 1 through 3. Pink salmon, with 150 fathoms worth of gear. That passed seven to zero.

And then it expanded commercial fishing area from what had previously been one mile to the three mile limit. And it also extended the -- where the area -- extended the boundary -- the open fishing boundary north to Point Romanof.

So there -- all these proposals passed seven to zero.

2.0

2.8

And it was just -- it was really stunning because beach seine gear is a really, really effective means of harvesting fish. There is approximately , and if I misstate something here, feel free to jump in on -- let me know that I'm saying the wrong information.

I think there's 400 -- approximately 460 permits for Lower Yukon. So when you have 750 feet of either set net gear or beach seine gear multiplied by that many permit holders, that's a lot of -- incredible amount of fishing power. And as far as I know, that's the only fishery in the State -- only District -- only management area in the State where beach seine gear is allowed in river.

In the other places in the State where there is beach seine gear there is specific regulations that keep the operators a certain amount of distance away from river mouths, creek mouths to prevent the over harvest of fish on the spawning streams.

 So the purpose of the beach seine gear I believe is to allow the commercial users in the lower river to be able to harvest chum and potentially coho salmon while the king run is going on and be able to release the king salmon. I appreciate in your season summaries on page eight that you did give these numbers of -- I'll call them -- I'll refer to them as bycatch. Is there another term you use to refer to these fish that are caught and release -- catch and release fish?

Could I -- is bycatch okay for this conversation?

MR. MASCHMANN: Through the Chair. I think the Department likes to call them incidentally caught.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

So incidentally MR. GERVAIS: Okay. caught king salmon during the beach seine and dipnet fisheries, I'll just call that 1 and 2, it's not -- it doesn't really matter for this discussion which District it came out of, there was 332 permits fishing dipnet gear for summer chum and pink salmon and they caught approximately 8,000 -- caught and released 8,000 king salmon. And then eight permit holders elected to use beach seine gear and they caught 163 king salmon. So it was a total for Districts 1 and District 2 of 340 permits fishing this selective gear types, the dipnet and the beach seine, and they caught, caught and released 8,207 king salmon, or that's what they reported.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

29 30

31 32

33

34 35

The issues that I am concerned with is that the 8,200 king salmon is a lot of fish. think it should be accepted that those fish all lived or were either not stressed to a significant degree or they encountered problems with getting like their slime layer scraped off, which makes them more prone to Maybe if they're spawning in the lower infection. river, Andreafsky or Gisasa, maybe they could survive some kind of injury from being caught and released as incidental catch and still make the spawning grounds, but I don't feel that like for fish that are going to swim 2,400 miles into Canada, Adeline Lake, they're not going to be able to recover enough from being caught in a beach seine or a dipnet to be able to continue for another 2,400 miles and be spawners.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45 So what I would like the managers to do is to be interested in trying to figure out if the reporting system for these amount of incidental catches is accurate. It's kind of a number where it's just report on a fish ticket. I know from all the other salmon fisheries I have participated in around the State that even though by regulation it says that the amount of king salmon you're keeping for personal use is supposed to be recorded, it almost never is.

46 47 48

 $\,$  And in certain places, like Bristol Bay or Chignik, I would think less than five percent of the

personal use salmon is recorded on a fish ticket. It's partially the fisherman's fault and it's partially the tender's fault. They don't -- it's like an additional reporting burden and it doesn't get recorded, so there's not -- at least in those fisheries it's not a -- the amount of personal use king salmon that are caught is not accurate.

And then there is, you know, there's quite a bit of information out there about how to release a fish. When you talk to -- when you look at Fish and Game's own website where they have how to release a fish for sport fishermen, they're supposed to use nets that are either rubber nets or knotless webbing. They're not supposed to take the fish out of the water. They're supposed to hold the fish vertical into the current and allow for the fish to revive itself. And that type of release technique does not lend itself to commercial fishing. It just -- there's too much pressure to catch and harvest fish. They can't -- the care can't be given that these kings that are released are receiving the best possible care.

2.8

And they've tried to do -- conduct -- or they have conducted commercial fisheries on the Columbia River where they were gillnetting king salmon and they were using a tangle net to try to minimize the damage. The fishermen were required to put the fish in a, I believe the term is called a revival box, where there's pumped water through that box. The fisherman takes the fish out of the net. If it's a hatchery fish, they get to keep it. If it's a wild stock fish, they have to return it to the river. But they have to put it in this revival box for some amount of time, ten or fifteen minutes. They have to go through a checklist to make sure that the fish is okay and then they can release that fish out of the revival box.

So this idea of like catching and releasing incidental salmon and having them live is -- I just don't -- I want this Council to know and I want all the users out on the Yukon to know that if there's 8,200 incidentally harvested salmon, king salmon in these chum and coho fisheries, that you don't -- that there's some portion of that which is unknown to me, unknown to probably anybody right now, what the mortality or injury rate of those fish is.

And at this point, being the first year

of the beach seine, eight permits fishing -- catching 163 salmon -- that's a -- you know, approximately 20 fish per operation. That's not bad if there's a high percentage of those fish surviving. But we don't -- by regulation we could have somewhere between 300 and 400 people using beach seines over the next five years. And then that amount of harvesting power is staggering. Stunning and staggering.

But we'll have to see. Right now, based on what they caught it didn't look like there was that very good success. But it's only the first year. It's a new fishery. So things could change. And it's in -- it's already in the Statute that it's allowable to do that. So I want this Council and the in-season managers to keep an eye on this incidental harvest rate. And we had a lot of kings this year. Most of the households that were targeting them got some, but that wasn't true, you know, in '12, '13 -- or excuse me -- '13, '14, and '15.

2.8

 And so I feel that the beach seine gear in particular is -- has real potential to over harvest and create a lot of mortality for these incidentally caught king salmon. At this point it's debatable, but it's -- the number's not too out of hand, but as the beach seine technique continues to grow, if it does grow in popularity, I think we need to keep an eye on it. And I would prefer it if there could be more checks and balances in the reporting system so it's just not a voluntary saying, oh, we just -- only caught this many. And I wish there was a protocol on how these fishermen are going to release this fish to -- what techniques they're going to use to re-release them in the river that's going to ensure survivability of these fish.

 It's, to me, a fish that's just entered the river that much, they've already got a lot going on in their head. Like they just changed to a freshwater environment. They just changed from clear water to silty water. And now they're like getting caught in a beach seine and re-released. That's a stunning amount of hardship for any creature to try to endure.

And I just -- I am not completely on board with -- that these proposals were actually turned into Statute and I want to -- I want to keep track of what's going on. And I encourage Fish and Wildlife

Service and Department of Fish and Game to try to accurately quantify what the mortality is and look at ways that can increase the survival rate of the incidentally caught and released salmon.

And that's mostly what I have.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Tim.

I, too, used to fish in Bristol Bay. I'd say that I don't know that anybody reports chinook take for home pack. People throw them -- there were a lot of times they were cutting them and throwing them in salt while they're drifting and stuff and they don't report those on the ticket.

I would be -- I would say that Tim is right. This 8,200 number is probably an absolute minimum. The Department and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife do not have a mortality indexes of -- and we cautioned under that drift -- the beach seine. 150 fathoms of gear, 900 feet of gear comes up on the beach. There's going to be chums and kings thrashing all around in the mud and the sand and they're going to get beat up and full of sand in their gills and stuff. There's got to be a fairly high mortality. By the time the crew gets out there and gets those and tosses them back over the cork line into the river, they've been really hurt.

There is no incident harvest mortality factor with this type of gear. This is just by guess and by golly. I guess they must live. But when they put those telemetry -- those stuff those esophageal tags down those fish's -- there was a certain amount of those fish getting caught in a gillnet for a few minutes, they pulled them out, stuffed a transmitter down their throat and let them go, they went back down the river.

Remember that, Tom? Yeah. There's a certain amount of fish even when handled absolutely as good as you can turned around and did not go up the river. They like went back to the ocean or somewhere.

So the Department makes these giant assumptions. Managers make these giant assumptions that this method must let them go back up the river. It's like no, not -- and especially beach seining like that. They're in the gear for several minutes -- 20

minutes, 20 minutes laying around, rolling around in the mud. That's a huge impact to that fish. Its likelihood of continuing to the destination is low.

The other thing that I've talked and talked about is six inch gear, the drop out mortality. You catch kings and the assumption is we only got so many kings in six inch gear. There's a whole bunch of kings that fell out of the net. It's rough. The gear's jerking and the boat's jerking and it drops all the fish.

And the harvest power of increasing to 150 fathoms. Multiplying the availability for the permit holders by three times when we have low returns. That like -- I'm a little, I couldn't believe the Board of Fish went in that direction with set net and beach seine.

But that's all.

But what this Council is saying, and I fully agree with Tim, is those 8,200 numbers are absolute minimum that got put into the water and whether they survived or not especially in beach seine is very debatable.

You need a mortality study to actually quantify what's that actually doing to the fishery. Even when they had live boxes on the Yukon, they would catch those fish and they'd put them in live boxes. The fish would die in the box. They'd put them back in the water. They ended up dying. They didn't go on. That's why they went to the video wheel. To let them - to put them back into the water right away. Even holding king salmon for a very long time in a live box kills them. Or they don't go up the river. One or the other.

So that's -- I fully agree with what Tim is saying on this issue. These are the regulations that the Board of Fish has put in place. The managers make these assumptions that oh, these fish bounce off six inch gear and they keep going up the river when we throw them back out of a beach seine. No. Not necessarily. And the number of retention can be significantly higher than what's being reported.

I would say it would be, like in

Bristol Bay maybe five percent. Yeah. Maybe it's five percent. On a fishery like on the Lower Yukon where they've had big restrictions, they probably throw all kinds of fish in the boat and go home. They're not going to worry about it. Yeah. Come down here and get these fish. They call on this VHF radio, come down and get these fish. Get them. Start cutting them and stuff. They're not going to go take them to the tender. They're going to get somebody to come get them and take them home.

So these -- this thing is not finished. If we keep being in hardship all the way up this river, we need to really have some harvest mortality factors. We have to have some indexes so that we know that those impacts are to these stocks.

Fred.

MR. ALEXIE: Okay. Yeah. I'd like to add fishing -- like fishing for chums or, you know, by fish wheels. More they say to catch and release the incidental caught king salmon. It's like what Jack was saying. They don't live.

2.8

Okay. Now that the fishermen are using live chutes. Where the fisherman comes around, they slide down the chute. We've got a chute right there to let them go in the water. Where does the fish go. It don't go that way. It go back down river. You see that fish jumping up down river. Going back. He's happy to get out.

(Laughter)

 MR. ALEXIE: You know, and as a fisherman drifting for kings. I am not one of those fisherman that fish out there with every catch I go out there and I check, see what kind of fish that is. No. No. No. I drift till the drift -- wherever -- wherever we end. Sometimes that takes 30 minutes. That 30 minute struggle by that fish twisting and turning, especially a big king salmon. By the time you get that thing out, that thing is dying. Unless you just catch it right at the end while you're pulling it in, and then it gets off, when you're putting it in the boat, that king salmon happily jumps off and is jumping off down river.

Again, that's hurting the fish. It don't go back up river. No. And furthermore, if you touch that fish -- just like Tim was saying about handling that fish, we put our dirty hands on that fish, that's something that's not supposed to be done. Well, how do you handle that fish. You know, we tried the live boxes and they struggled in there for what, hour or so. They're not -- they're -- you might as well just take them home and cut them up. And that's what I do.

If I catch a big king, especially if I struggled with it trying to get that darn thing into the boat so I can get my net free, I'm going to take that fish home. I ain't going to throw the God damn thing back in the water. That's stupid. It's not going to make it.

So then I just want to further

 reiterate.

And about area for summer chums and the bycatch -- the king bycatch. Very seldom that on the south side, off the Yukon that's all going to like Koyukuk River, Nulato River, Kaltag River. It's not going to Canada. It's going local. And by that time they're on this side the meat is so bad, what do I do. I cut it up for dog salmon, dog fish, you know, because it's -- in our way -- my way, you know, we -- we go after the rich kings.

Okay. That's it.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Fred.

Final comment, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah. Just for the record, I want to clarify Jack's math is better than mine. And I was saying through my comments that 750 feet is -- 900 feet is the same as 150 fathoms. And then I was -- one reason I asked about the telemetry. That could be one type of technology that might help the managers determine what is the mortality of these fish. If you took a few beach seine operations and they were able to do some telemetry tagging and you could see where these fish ended up. If they did spawn that would be dynamite. If they didn't, we would know what percent of the fish survive.

And then other than just having this potential mortality in the incidental catch, I'm -- I was kind of affected by these regulations is there's already like a lot of parity between what the commercial -- the commercial opportunities are for Districts 1 and 2 compared to the middle river and the upper river just based on processors and fishing opportunity.

And I would like to remind people in the Fish and Wildlife Service and Fish and Game that as regulations are developed and commented on, that it doesn't -- just because at this point in time there's only processing capacity down the lower river, there's -- there is people and fishing operations that would like to at some point have access to commercial fishing in the middle and upper river.

2.0

And so I think as Department puts forth proposals and comments on proposals, it doesn't need to just say Y1, Y2, it just should say all the Kuskokwim or all the Yukon. I think it would be a lot -- it would help unify the river if when you were expanding fishing opportunity if it was given to all the users and not just the lower river.

2.8

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. The State comments. You've heard what we've been saying, Holly, on our skepticism regarding survivorship of especially beach seine fish. And I feel the Department should contemplate a mortality study on that gear method since they seem to be a proponent of the beach seine as a savings for chinook. I feel that the Department should at least within the CommFish discuss a mortality and arriving at a mortality indexes. Do you have a comment to that.

MS. CARROLL: Sure, Mr. Chair. If I may. Holly -- Holly Carroll here. I have a lot of comments. I would like the opportunity to respond because Tim and yourself brought up a lot of really valid concerns, but also some descriptions of the information that I wanted to clarify a little bit just so people aren't misled.

You're absolutely right that beach seines have a not very well documented mortality. And I was involved in the purse seine proposal and trying to do the research on the mortality of that study and

so I did do a lot of the literature review. And the studies that one of you mentioned in the Lower Columbia River did assert that beach seines could have a mortality rate of 30 percent. So this is true.

We do know that those king salmon are likely experiencing trauma in those nets and that it could be affecting mortality. So one of the things I want to address about that is that you're right, we are in a conservation mode as far as king salmon, and to that end, there is no commercial fishing. To that end, subsistence fishing is severely restricted. But when we are managing the runs, we are also trying to manage a summer chum run that's been upwards of 2 million fish and there are also a lot of concerns that when you do not harvest any of the summer chum -- for instance, that larger, more dominant population. When you let all of that available surplus go by to the spawning grounds that that can also have a detrimental effect.

And so we definitely try to manage these conflicting productivities, if you will, between those two species. And so sure we have restricted commercial fishing entirely for king salmon. And for summer chum salmon we've restricted it pretty severely as well.

And so one of the things I want to note is that just to clarify on something Tim had said, the beach seines have been in use -- they've been legal as a subsistence gear for some time. And they've been legal for use in the commercial summer chum fishery for quite a while. And it was back in 2012 when the fishermen were being so severely restricted on commercial fishing for chum that they proposed that they be able to use these selective gear types.

Many people thought they'd be inefficient. Many fishermen did not want to use them. And they have proven that actually they are pretty efficient at catching summer chum. But one of the things I want to clarify in particular about beach seines is that they have been used for a few years. This last year eight permit holders used the beach seine. When that regulation went into effect it did not change the beach seine regulations except to define a maximum limit. It also reduced the mesh size. And it also made it so that fishermen could not use monofilament nets and turn them into beach seines

because that would cause more entanglement.

1 2 3

And we've actually seen a decrease in 2016 to the number of permit holders fishing the beach seine gear. And we've also seen a decrease in the number of kings caught. And I think that will vary across time. And we've seen that it's varied a little bit.

One of the things that makes me think that people will not, you know, our 400 permit holders will not convert to becoming beach seine users is that I've watched the operation myself. And it's a highly costly operation. You can't really do it without a four-wheeler. You need a minimum of six to eight people. That's a lot of crew hands that the commercial fisherman has to pay. And then as many of you will know, they have to fish those on shore. And so I don't believe that they are catching an inordinate number of king salmon in that particular gear because they are so limited to being on shore that as you -- many of you know, the king salmon swim a little deeper.

2.8

And so while dipnet fisherman can be anywhere in the river so he could encounter a king or he could encounter a chum, those fishermen are trying to make money off summer chum. They're not going to get paid for king salmon and they have to let those go. So they are doing their best to target that species they're trying to make the money on.

And with the beach seines I think you have to have a very specific type of beach. It has to be very well cleaned out. It has to have the right kind of flow. And you have to have a big crew. So I really think -- and in the last three years we've seen the permit holders fluctuate between eight and thirteen. I don't think we're going to see 400 beach seine users. I think it's just prohibitively costly.

But yes, I absolutely understand your concerns about mortality. And so one of the things I wanted to remind you is that the beach seine users did release alive 163 king salmon. That was two percent of the king salmon caught with the selective fishery. So yes, that is a number of fish. And there's also validity to the fact that people may be under reporting that. I don't doubt that there could be a lack of accuracy in reporting, except that we've seen pretty

consistent reporting.

1 2 3

And one of the things I'd like to kind of point out about that is yes, while we're allowing for a short period of time before we can go to gillnets in that commercial fishery, we are allowing selective gear. It's a very small percentage of the fishery. It's a small number of openings compared to the total number.

 And when we look at the escapements over the last three years, the escapement -- so if there was a total run last year of 84,000 into Canada, the escapement goal is 42,500 to 55,000. That means that an extra 33,000 king salmon went over the border. If we felt that there was some hidden excessive mortality of king salmon going on because of encounter rates, I think we'd see that at our escapement projects and I think we'd see that in not meeting border objectives.

 And so while it is a totally valid concern that we're aware of, we're watching all of those things to see if there's an indication that that's happening and I'm not seeing that in the data over the last three years.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I appreciate your position. And we have enough biomass to meet escapement needs. But in the future, at some point it should — there should be either a tagging project for — a tag and recapture indexes or some way of the chinook salmon that are being caught on the beach seine. We have to figure out how many are actually dying or whatever in case this thing continues to go on and on and on.

You're correct that there is a need for a specific kind of beach and all that kind of stuff.

So we do have a lot of agenda today. This is the preseason update. And so we've covered it fairly well.

Shirley.

MS. CLARK: Here's a suggestion to the agencies. When I was on the Council, they -- you know, they give out 2 million point something for studies.

And when I was up there the Americans hardly had any 1 2 proposals, but the Canadians had three times as much, so maybe that could be used for some mortality studies. 3 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. 7 8 MS. CLARK: So the agencies should get 9 some proposals out there. 10 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. There could 11 be -- you know, that -- what we're discussing is that 12 both Fish and Wildlife and ADF&G should develop a study 13 for mortality for especially the beach seine component. 14 If we get right down to, you know, splitting hairs at 15 some point on trying to catch the summer chum and then 16 with a real marginal chinook run, then we have to know 17 what the mortality factor. If it's two percent right 18 now of a big run, it might be -- that might have an 19 2.0 impact of ten percent or some other factor. high mortality, it could be a significant impact to the 21 22 fishery. 23 24 But we do need to move on in this 25 agenda. 26 One real short final comment. 27 2.8 29 Tim. 30 MR. GERVAIS: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. 31 32 Chair. So I didn't want to discount as we were talking 33 about potential studies and whatnot. If the dipnet is going to be the dominant gear for this style of chum or 34 coho fishing, then the -- I would be interested to know 35 36 what mortality and injury rates are on that. 37 If that's the dominant gear type, then 38 that's -- as of what we saw last year, it certainly 39 accounted for most of the incidental harvest. 40

43 44 numbers are, but they could be useful in our management decisions.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I appreciate that

45 46 47

41

42

48

So if you're finished with your

49 50 also, Tim.

think -- I'm not saying that the dipnets are immune to

the mortality also, I just -- nobody knows what these

presentation, does Fred Bue want to give any additional
 -- Fred, you're good?

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And so thanks so much, Holly and Jeff. You've both been listening to our concerns on these various -- Zach's got a comment.

Go ahead, Zach.

MR. STEVENSON: I think it may be worth as a side item clarifying through the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program to Shirley's point whether or not that program would allow for a proposal to be submitted to address harvest mortality. I don't know if that topic would meet its criteria, but it may be a mechanism to get some information that would be of value to the RAC.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2.8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, this is a commercial fishery issue. I think the Yukon Panel or some other entity can develop a funding source for this mortality study, which I do feel there needs to be. If this is going to be used, dipnet and beach seine, then we should have an idea. The ones that are being released stick a PIT tag into them and -- or something, some kind of a tag into them and see what -- see how many are surviving. See if any are caught up the river in the subsistence fishery are found in the carcass studies.

We've got to know what's actually kind of going on. You know, the video wheel or whatever they're using, all these various indexes and these border passage net, Pilot Station net, they could start coming up with an indexes. Those are done routinely, this catch and release capture and mark and recapture.

So we're going to -- you're done,

43 Gerald?

MR. MASCHMANN: Yeah, Mr. Chair. My report is done. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So thank you very much. As you can tell, this Council is very

MR. STARK: My name is Chris Stark. I'm a biologist for Bering Sea Fishermen's Association. I'm stepping in for Jill Klein, the Commissioner's Office at ADF&G. She has a prepared statement. I chopped a few things out of it, so try to keep what she had written brief and take questions at the end.

This is -- what we're doing is an updating to the Yukon River Comprehensive Salmon Plan which was previously done -- finished in 1998. And I'll just start into -- Regional Salmon Plans and Regional Associations are authorized by the State Statutes and Regional Planning Teams are established by the Commissioner of the Department of Fish and Game, who is authorized through regulation to develop these plans.

2.0

The Yukon River Planning Team consists of 13 voting members. Nine of which are Yukon River Drainage Association YRDFA Board Members. Pollock Simon is one of those members on this particular team. These members represent all of the different fishing Districts 1 through 6 without the -- throughout the Yukon Drainage.

2.8

The original Planning Team was also created under a cooperative agreement between Bering Sea Fishermen's Association, YRDFA, and ADF&G. The full YRDFA Board at that time was used to fulfill the role of what's an RAA, which is a Regional Aquacultural Association. Regional Aquacultural Associations are -- I'm not exactly sure the number, but an example would be Prince William Sound Aquaculture Association, PWSAC, Southeast Aquaculture Associations. Those generally just have three Board Members. In the Yukon's case just because of precedent set back in '98, this team has 13 members. Four ADF&G and nine rural members.

 In your meeting packet you have a letter on page 30 that authorizes the use of the -- not the use, the volunteerism I think is how I'd phrase that of YRDFA Board Members to function as a part of the 13 member RAA, Regional Planning Team, which is normally a three person team. So -- and it may develop into a three person team after this next plan is finished. We'll see where that goes.

But it's a little more complex and I'm going off script here.

It's the responsibility of the Regional Planning Team to prepare and in this case update a Comprehensive Salmon Plan, which was originally done in 1998. This is called Phase 2. And what we're going to be working on is addressing our Regional projects, that's within the Yukon River Drainage on the U.S. side, to rehabilitate and/or enhance natural production of wild stocks through the use of potentially public and private non-profit hatcheries.

Through this process the RPT will assess the harvest goals of the user groups and ensure that the public has an opportunity to participate in the development of the plan. To date, the plan has met three -- the team has met three times and has been working on the missions, goals, and strategies and specifically on the guiding principles and assumptions to assess on how to go about further enhancing or rehabilitating Yukon salmon stocks, and this is all stocks we're looking at.

Tentative timeline of the plan is to have a large river-wide meeting on April 20th, coming up the day after the summer preseason meeting. Also, the RPT is actually going to be meeting here in about two weeks here in Fairbanks to assess what to be presenting at the meeting on April 20th.

A draft review of this plan will be available this fall, we hope. Public-wide distribution. We'll send it out to probably -- well, for sure every village council. Every group we can get our hands on so we can have a wide distribution. Thus far we have contracted -- or contacted in excess of 3,000 people, trying to get input and we're following through over time.

So even though we've been running for a year, we're still trying to begin the information collection and melting it down into the plan. I actually have a few of the old plans if you guys are interested in having your own copy. And I'll certain pass -- forward to you any information and updates as we progress through this process.

I think that about sums it up.

Ready for questions.

```
CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
                                               Council
 1
 2
     Member questions on the Yukon River Comprehensive
     Salmon Management Plan planning update.
 3
 4
 5
                     MR. STARK: Oh.
                                      It's not Salmon
 6
     Management Plan.
                       It's a -- let me get you a copy and
 7
     just throw it up here.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yukon River
     Comprehensive Salmon Plan.
10
11
                     MR. STARK: Correct.
12
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And its update from
     1998.
15
16
17
                     MR. STARK:
                                 That's correct.
18
19
                     And Darrel, you had a question.
20
                     MR. VENT: Yeah. He mentioned
21
     something about hatcheries. I'd like him to explain
22
     more what he's talking about there.
23
24
25
                     MR. STARK: Yeah.
                                        That's usually the
     sticky point of this whole conversation is what are you
26
     talking about hatcheries. Let me just give you a guick
27
     history of the old plan.
2.8
29
30
                     This is the plan that was finished in
            They explicitly said we do not want large
     1998.
31
     hatcheries. That was the users' planning team's
32
33
     decision and it's written down and that's the way that
     proceeding -- if someone was to say I would like to put
34
     a hatchery in the Yukon, because of this plan -- this
35
36
     plan still stands -- is that the State of Alaska, who
     does all the professional reviews and makes sure that
37
     these hatcheries are appropriate for the situation,
38
     they would review that, but they would come to this
39
     plan and they'd say the users don't want a large plant.
40
41
     Can't happen. That's the end of that.
42
43
                     This new plan is reviewing the old
44
     plan.
45
46
                     Going out to the public and saying do
47
     you want hatcheries now. Do you want this. Do you
48
     want small hatcheries. What do you want. And that's
     the guiding principles that we're going through.
49
```

```
And we are a year into it. And so we
 1
 2
     have another roughly year to smooth it out and figure
     out where it is. So in theory everybody in this room
 3
     who has something to do with the Yukon should be able
 5
     to weigh in on this.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Other comments on
 8
     the -- go ahead, Tim.
 9
10
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
                     I had a question. Is the Bering Sea
12
     Fishermen's Association -- is that set up in similar
13
     grounds or pattern as YRDFA? Or I don't.....
14
15
16
                     MR. STARK: Yes.
17
                     MR. GERVAIS: I've never been able to
18
19
     quite figure out.....
20
                     MR. STARK: Yes.
21
22
                     MR. GERVAIS: .....what your -- I see
23
     you do a lot of great work, but I didn't know.
24
25
                     MR. STARK: Would you like a brief
26
     explana....
27
2.8
29
                     MR. GERVAIS: .....the origin of what
30
     you are.
31
32
                     MR. STARK: I can really easily explain
33
     where we're at and where we come from. Bering Sea
     Fishermen's Association is a non-governmental
34
35
     organization as a non-profit. So we're under the
     Federal tax code of a 501c3. YRDFA similarly is under
36
     the exact same Statute.
37
38
                     So what we do is we go out and advocate
39
     for things. We can't be politically inclined.
40
41
     one thing we can't do. We can go to anybody and ask
     for money and say hey, let's advocate for this. The
42.
43
     Bering Sea's first program was the CDO program, I
     believe, back in 1979. We think we actually helped
44
45
     develop YRDFA. So different NGOs do different actual
46
     aspects of that sort of thing. We just think we're
47
     cheaper.
48
49
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   Thank you.
50
```

MR. STARK: Less expensive. Excuse me. I keep getting that wrong.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. Other

comments.

 When you start talking about hatcheries, red flags come up especially on complex stocks like the Yukon River, distance to destination. Genetic makeups and all of the various nuances of this very complex fishery. And so I get real edgy about talking about -- I mean dumping chums in -- in Haines is a heck of a lot different than dumping chinook smolt in the Yukon River Drainages and expecting them to perform like they're supposed to and what that might do to other -- to the resident indigenous stocks.

Comments from the Council on the plan.

2.8

I do want to be involved in, you know, find out what happens after your April -- April 20th you're going to meet and you're going to come up with some kind of -- what you're going to do at your meeting after the YRDFA meeting.

MR. STARK: It goes something like this, in my understanding, is that in about two weeks we're going to have a meeting in Fairbanks, which is where we're going to plan on what exactly we're going to be presenting at the April 20th meeting, which will culminate into what we're going to take out to the public hopefully over this summer and fall so we can formulate the rest of the plan.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. After two weeks you'll have some idea of what you're going to present to the April 20 meeting. And I want -- would like that transmitted to our Council Coordinator so this Council.....

MR. STARK: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: ....all Council Members can receive that information to get an idea of what's being proposed at the April 20 meeting.

And Fred.

MR. ALEXIE: Yeah. Furthermore on that 2 hatchery. And like our Chair was saying, it's a touchy issue, especially when it comes down to the pristine 3 king salmon return. I was against it for a lot years 4 and I'm kind of halfway proned right now into saying hey, you know, for a lot of years we take and take and 7 take. We don't give back. We don't try to help.

8 9

5

6

1

And if there's a better way I would like us Board Members to hear about that.

10 11 12

That's all I've got.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. Not that, you know, there's going to be complete opposition, but I do feel that hatchery stocks cannot -- Canada has hatchery stocks, they do go up the Yukon River. But I don't want to see management modification of prosecution of the fisheries because we've got this ace in the hole of all these hatchery fish. So we could just fix our biological problems. I want to maintain the resident stocks to the highest degree.

22 23 24

25

26

27

2.8

29 30

31

And so when you start in your premeeting, I want you to talk about maintaining the indigenous stocks as the apex. Supplementing with hatcheries just strictly in a secondary. And the management -- State and Federal Management should never be reliant on hatchery stocks to get themselves -paint themselves into a corner and think we're going to shoot our way back out with hatchery fish. Don't want to go there.

32 33 34

35

36

But I do want this Council to be comprised of what's actually going on at your meeting for April 20.

37 38

Thanks for your presentation.

39 40

MR. STARK: You're welcome.

41 42

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: One final comment,

Tim. 43

44 45

MR. STARK: Go ahead.

46 47

48

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah. Can you inform our Council what the current production is at the Whitehorse hatchery, if that's the only hatchery?

MR. STARK: I certainly can. Yeah. They release about somewhere between 150,000 and 200,000 somewhat smolt or fry in their case, but they actually act as smolt. And this is where someone's concerns -- well, they didn't act like hatchery fish -or wild fish. They don't. They actually just take off for the ocean one year early than the other stocks. But because they're bigger, ready, they go, and so they return about one percent -- about 1,000 to 2,000 fish annual out of that, so about a one percent return on the case.

11 12 13

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

If I might add, Chair, a comment.

14 15

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, please.

16 17

18 19

2.0

21

22

MR. STARK: About your concerns that while people are going to be uptight about this, and they're appropriately so, the plan is actually designed -- and the State is fully on board -- but this is how it works; is wild stocks first, foremost, and always. There used to be no effect -- no known effect on wild stocks by a hatchery.

23 24 25

And you're correct.

26 27

2.8

29

30

31

Someplace down in Southeast, off an island that doesn't have a wild run, the present rules are kind of 50 kilometers away from the nearest wild run. How that would work on the Yukon is a complex -complex beyond my imagination, how are you doing to do that.

32 33 34

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Uh-huh.

(Affirmative)

36 37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

35

MR. STARK: So the one case, which is a mitigation hatchery by the way at Whitehorse. It's not really a production hatchery. It's trying to take care of what they maybe had them -- make them when they put the dam in and they couldn't get the fish (indiscernible) to work right or whatever. mitigation hatchery. And so they're really not trying to mass produce. Canada is however doing many, many programs to enhance their stocks. Enhance their stocks via habitat manipulation and out plant similar as to what they're doing in Norton Sound.

47 48 49

So not big hatcheries. Chinook

```
hatcheries, by the way, are fairly uneconomical.
 1
 2
     You've got to hold onto the fish for a long time, so
     it's a really rough way to make money. And presently
 3
     in the State of Alaska I'm not so sure anyone's really
 5
     outright making money with chinook. But we'll see.
     That's not my thing. I'm just the informer and the
 6
 7
     messenger.
 8
 9
                     And I want to emphasize that Bering
     Sea's really the outfit that's carrying this process
10
     through, we're not an advocate one way or another.
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay.
14
15
                     MR. STARK: You can count I'm a wild
     fish guy like you can't believe.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Dennis.
18
19
2.0
                     MR. THOMAS: Okay. For everything you
     read about that your hatchery fish is nowhere near as
21
     healthy as what your wild fish are, then I keep saying
22
     to myself why do they persist in going after something
23
     like this.
24
25
                     MR. STARK:
                                 Well....
26
27
                     MR. THOMAS: What would you give as the
2.8
29
     reason, yeah. We're going to go do hatchery fish.
30
31
                     MR. STARK: I can give you several
32
     different....
33
34
                     MR. THOMAS: What's so good about it.
35
36
                     MR. STARK: ....scenarios.
                                                  The most
     recent scenario for producing king salmon in the State
37
     of Alaska is the Ship Creek hatchery. It's a $140
38
     million hatchery. It's right downtown Anchorage. It's
39
     got a hugely popular sportfishing right in front of the
40
41
     shop. Right there.
42
43
                     They take those smolt or fry from that
     hatchery and distribute them around the area and those
44
45
     fisheries are also somewhat popular. So that hatchery
     is I believe entirely funded by tax dollars from sport
46
47
     fish gear.
48
```

49 50 The commercial hatcheries are a

```
Page 244
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And then -- you'll
 1
 2
     see.
 3
 4
                     (Laughter)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And then we're going
 7
     to go into the Agency report.
8
9
                     (Off record)
10
                     (On record)
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're at 20
     after. Actually, 24 after 1:00 o'clock. I did get a
14
     call from Stewart Cogswell that wants to give us a real
15
     brief -- he has a meeting at 2:00 o'clock, so we'll
16
17
     give him an opportunity.
18
19
                     Then we're going to go to Fred Bue.
20
                     Then we're going to do YRDFA.
21
22
                     Then we're going to go to the Agency
23
24
     Reports. And so.....
25
                     MR. STEVENSON: And the LCCs, too, as
26
     well.
27
2.8
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Say again.
30
31
                     MR. STEVENSON: And the LCC update as
     well?
32
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
35
36
                     MR. STEVENSON: Yes.
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And so are you on
38
     the phone there, Stewart?
39
40
41
                     MR. COGSWELL: Yes. I am.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So go right
     ahead. Give the Council your update.
44
45
                     MR. COGSWELL:
                                    All right. Through the
46
47
     Chair. My name is Stewart Cogswell. I'm the acting
     Deputy Assistant Regional Director for the Office of
48
     Subsistence Management. And I'm in Anchorage today and
49
50
```

I would just like to talk about the -- give a brief update on where we're at and where we're heading with the partnership project.

So I'll just give you a brief history of where we're at and kind of the genesis of the project.

In 2014, Deputy Secretary of Interior Mike O'Connor talked about a plan to get real folks more involved in management activities and the Kuskokwim was chosen as kind of a demonstration area so the -- and the Fish and Wildlife Services charged with implementing that.

So there was lots of ideas on how that was going to happen and what form it was going to take. And what was settled on was a two-part structure.

2.8

One, the first part was a memorandum of understanding between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission. And that has happened. That MOU is in place and it seems to be working from all accounts fairly well and people enjoy the relationships that have been built through that.

The second part of that -- of the partnership project was, and this one has taken a little bit longer to get going -- was going to be a subcommittee, a joint subcommittee between the two RACs in the affected area, that being Yukon-Kuskokwim and the Western Interior. And that -- it takes a while because you've got to get it RAC-approved. You've got to bring it to the Federal Subsistence Board.

 So while we were waiting to get this -we thought we'd be -- we'd have that done this past
year, several issues or concerns came up with that
subcommittee and basically it's not being optimal for
what it could be. So we decided to take a look at that
to address people's concerns. Those concerns were
basically the subcommittee would meet alongside the RAC
during the RAC meeting and wouldn't really have a
direct voice into in-season management. It would be -so that was one.

Another one would be it's interject another kind of group on the Kusko, and that, you know,

may add to confusion or overtax people -- the current people who are serving within the area.

And the other one, the last one was to have a non-Tribal role voice in the mix so that their concerns are heard.

So those things all kind of were things that the subcommittee didn't necessarily address fully.

So before we went ahead just with something that may have continued to have concerns with having folks' input heard, we talked about well, what does -- before we do this, let's just look at all the options. What is the best -- what can we do that would best meet the -- everyone's needs.

2.0

And we kind of turned a little bit away from the subcommittee back to what the original idea was. And that was to have a FACA certified group on the Kusko. So that's a government certification so to speak. Kind of like the RAC is. It would be an advisory committee just like -- not like the RACs, but it would be an advisory committee. Kind of like the State Salmon Working Group is now. So that's kind of where we're at. We're looking at the options.

There's a group of folks from the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission, the Regional Advisory Councils, the State, and the Fish and Wildlife Service and OSM -- they're looking into all the options and what would that look like. And everyone -- I can say everyone that's been involved likes the idea of this Federal group. The details have to be worked out.

 There's been a -- kind of a large -- probably 12 to 15 folks from all those agencies kind of involved in kind of asking the questions. And then they -- a small subset of those folks have been working on the details. How is this going to work. How are the State and Feds going to work together. How we're going to get input from the RACs. How we're going to get input from, you know, everyone that's -- representatives from all the different entities up on the Kusko.

So that's kind of where we're at right

now. And actually at 2:00 o'clock I've got to start getting ready for a meeting with this larger group to actually kind of determine the direction. We've got the -- we have all the information now. And then the larger group wants to kind of just toss it around a little bit to see if this Federal group will be the way to go to meet all the concerns of everyone up there.

And so that's where we're at.

Nothing's set in stone yet. Nothing's been decided. But kind of the timeline is this group will have something -- it includes representative Ray Collins from your -- your Regional Advisory Council has been on the group and Bob Aloysius from the Yukon-Kuskokwim RAC has been on the group.

2.0

So we're trying to, you know, by the fall we're trying to have something -- you know, we'll probably have something set up before then to the RACs, but in the fall have it decided upon and then go to the Board in January for, you know, to start the implementation process.

2.8

So we really want to get going with that second part of the partnership project. And I'm really excited about all the support we have from all the different entities that this is the -- this best meets the needs of all the representative groups on the Kuskokwim.

So that's all I have.

I'll try to answer any questions if anyone has any questions about that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  COGSWELL: Or you can always call me later on, too.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thank you, Stewart. Yeah. I've been wondering what the point of progress was. This FACA certified group. I think with my -- I was getting concerned the State would not be involved in the pilot project to the degree that I feel they should be and so I think that this is a direction that this pilot project should go.

I am going to be anxious to see what it 1 2 looks like at our fall meeting. And so if there should be more of a fleshed out version for the fall meeting, 3 4 so -- correct? 5 6 MR. COGSWELL: Correct. Yes. 7 8 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And..... 9 MR. COGSWELL: We'll probably have that 10 11 before that, but..... 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And Council Members 14 comments, questions. 15 (No comments) 16 17 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So at the fall 18 meeting we'll be looking at this -- at that. That's 19 2.0 just sort of an update on the whole InterTribal Kusko pilot project. 21 22 So thank you so much, Stewart. 23 2.4 25 MR. COGSWELL: All right. Thanks, Jack. 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 2.8 And.... 29 30 MR. STEVENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I know prior to our meeting I had been approached by 31 Eva Patton, one of our -- one of the other Council 32 33 coordinators at OSM and I know that she had some interest in this issue. 34 35 36 Eva, are you on the call? 37 (No comment) 38 39 MR. STEVENSON: It doesn't sound like 40 41 it. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 42 43 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 44 45 MS. PATTON: Yes. Sorry, Zach. the call. And yeah, I just had wanted to let the 46 47 Western Interior Council know that the YK Delta did 48 receive an update on the partnership project and had made some recommendations at that meeting so I just

wanted to be available if the Western Interior Council had any questions about that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. No. It's in the -- still in the planning phase, so I'm not going to get real concerned. I want to see what the -- a more final version looks like. But thanks so much for being available.

MS. PATTON: Okay. Thank you, Mr.

11 Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're going to keep moving along in this agenda. We've got Fred Bue all set up here and he passed out this document with the king salmon on the cover.

Go ahead, Fred.

MR. BUE: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Fred Bue, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I'm the Federal In-Season Manager for the Yukon River Drainage.

2.8

I'll try to keep this as short as I can. I -- yes. Jack said I -- I did pass out perspectives on that -- that's the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service perspectives. And the previous speaker, Chris Stark, with Bering Sea Fishermen's Association, introduced the Comprehensive Salmon Plan work that's going on and it's in its developmental state of updating this plan.

This was last finalized in 1998, which was quite a while ago, and so I think it's appropriate to review the plan.

 $\,$  A lot has changed in the fisheries on the Yukon River.

And if nothing else, it serves as a good reminder of what the resources out there, what the views have been in the past.

42.

So in your book there's -- on page 28 was also a two pager, and essentially that's a summary of that bigger document that I just passed out. And what this Comprehensive Plan -- it's not just about enhancement, but it's also about restoration,

rehabilitation, and enhancement. And restoration being restoring runs that maybe used to be there, but went away for a while and bringing those runs back.

3 4 5

6

7

1

Rehabilitation is for something, maybe a habitat-related issue or harvest or something is keeping the population down, so how can we bring that segment of the population back at a more normal level.

8 9 10

11

12 13 And then enhancement is looking at normal population levels and increasing it above natural state so that you can benefit a user group for sure.

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

And so essentially Fish and Wildlife Service, we're charged with -- well, both Fish and Wildlife Service, but I'm also kind of a -- I have two different hats; I'm Fish and Wildlife Service, that's my agency I'm employed with but my delegated authority is through the Federal Subsistence Board, which is working through the Federal Subsistence process.

22 23 24

25

26

27

So there's many agencies under that, BIA and Park Service and Forest Service and such. And so I -- as a manager, I don't have a position on this and I'm trying to bring the Federal Fish and Wildlife Service perspective to this group.

28 29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48

Initially, as Mr. Stark introduced, there was the beginning of this process to review. There was a comment -- a request for comments on the previous plan and so that's what the Fish and Wildlife Service did was submit this and it was -- we're certainly not necessarily experts on fish propagation in Alaska, but we have been involved a lot of years in the Lower 48, close to 150 years and, in fact, Fish and Wildlife Service kind of got its first start in that process trying to bring back runs that were decimated or whatever through whatever happened at the time and so we've learned a lot over that period of time. tried a lot of things. Some things have worked and other things haven't. And so what we tried to do it put this into a paper to help the Council because it -you'll all make your own decisions both maybe as a Council, but as community members, tribal members, individuals you have different perspectives. you're going through that, we're just hoping this helps you a little bit.

We give sort of an introduction and a strategy, but we also talk a little bit about the history and then some of the pitfalls that we've seen. Lessons we've learned. And what we did was try to document and cite -- make as many citations as we could find so that if you're interested in particular elements in here that we bring up -- it's certainly not exhausted, but there is a lot, and it may help you track down some of those questions you have.

As far as the Fish and Wildlife Service perspective, propagation — this is still early development, so we don't know what the actual comprehensive plan will be. We do plan on having a formal review process and then we'll give positions and a formal review. This is just an up front part so that we don't surprise everybody at the end of the process.

2.8

But where we do have a perspective is in line with the -- Ms. Clark was on the Yukon River panel when they made the treaty, and part of the treaty included elements about enhancement of wild stocks. That was one of the elements.

And so I think the perspective is in line with keeping with that treaty agreement. It's consistent with the 1998 previous comprehensive plan -- the Phase I that's now called. And then it's also consistent with the Alaska Chapter American Fisheries Society regarding our fish propagation on Yukon River salmon.

And I call your attention to page 18. That will be the last page in this, and I think what I did for the other RACs is just read it into the record and then quit my discussion at that point.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  with Mr. Chair's approval, I'll go ahead and do that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

MR. BUE: And so this is a resolution statement of the Alaska Chapter American Fisheries Society regarding artificial propagation of salmon in the Yukon River in 1998 in the Yukon River wild salmon management zone.

I also want to make sure that you understand that the State of Alaska is our experts on enhancement in Alaska. They do a big part of the work and they have also tried to learn from the Fish and Wildlife Service mistakes that we've done other places. But it's not a normal part of my work and so I'm not familiar with the stipulations, regulations, policies that they're under. And we are learning as we go through this process. But initially this is a starting point for us.

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Fred.

So I encourage the Regional Council to read this document because we're going to get -- in two weeks we're going to get an email about what is going to be presented at the -- for this April meeting. And so I fully support this resolution. I mean this is black and white as to the maximum level of propagation on the Yukon. If a population is extinct, maybe we'll use. But I agree with this resolution.

2.8

But I do want the Council to read this document about what the pitfalls are with hatchery fish. And so -- but the State is moving into their Comprehensive Salmon Management Plan for Phase II, I would suppose, and so we need to be fully aware of what's going on with that.

And so I appreciate your presentation, Fred. And I appreciate you reading that resolution into the record. That is vary pertinent.

Thank you.

And so we've got YRDFA wants to come up for a presentation now. I'm going to clean up this fisheries stuff.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Wayne.

MR. JENKINS: Council, Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association would like to take this opportunity to share a bit of information about our present programs and what's coming up in 2017. And

I've tried to shave a good bit off of what I would usually share with you.

So the first program that I would like to describe and share with you is building and maintaining public support of the salmon resource management. It's funded by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service through the Yukon River Panels Resource Management Fund. And you may recall we shared a report covering this grant support at last year's meeting.

Looking ahead, YRDFA Board and Staff -excuse me here. I've toggled down and I apologize.
Looking ahead, YRDFA Board and Staff have begun
planning for our next board meeting in community
support and communications around Yukon River fisheries
issues in preparation for this year's fishing season.

2.0

The YRDFA board meeting will be held in Fairbanks this year during the week of April 17th. This will be the third year holding the meeting in an urban hub of either Anchorage or Fairbanks. And this is a reflection of tightening budgetary constraints and the need to twin this meeting up with the full day preseason planning meeting. This new approach does seem to be working though we do all regret our lack of ability to meet in the villages along the river.

Staff and Board are initiating creation of our agenda and will over the next several months secure our meeting location, book our flights, et cetera. As usual, ADF&G and U.S. Fish and Wildlife will review last year's fishing season with our board and seek preliminary feedback from our fishers on the upcoming season outlook and strategies.

Other topics for the board discussion may include the present redo of the 1998 Yukon River Comprehensive Salmon Plan. This is necessary for State permitting of fishery projects, such as hatcheries, in stream incubation, other projects which are designed to enhance specific fishery stocks.

The Ambler Road Corridor issue, the Bering Sea bycatch updates, and Yukon River TransBoundary issue, and others will be discussed upon board approval.

We're looking forward to meeting

together with fisheries managers for preparing for the upcoming fishing season and addressing other issues important to Alaska Yukon River fishers and communities.

The next project I'd like to quickly share with you is the preseason salmon fishery prep meeting. This is funded by the Yukon River Panel through the restoration and enhancement fund. As mentioned above, YRDFA staff are in the early stages of planning the Yukon River fishery preseason planning meeting to be held in Fairbanks following the board meeting.

2.0

This annual meeting is the primary opportunity for Yukon River fishers, community members, and fishery managers to come together for reviewing last year's fishing season, to review the upcoming season, and to discuss in detail and build consensus on management approaches that will achieve meeting escapement, subsistence, and commercial harvests, and conservation goals.

2.8

This approach has shown its value over many years and across the complex and vast geography of the Yukon Drainage. And especially in times of low chinook salmon abundance as the level of cooperation and understanding built is so necessary for meeting the critical escapement goals in Canada and within Alaska itself.

 This has been accomplished over the last three years. We have met the escapement goals. And we support our Yukon River fishers and fishery managers as they continue to work together for meeting the goals and providing for subsistence needs and commercial harvest.

 Next is the in-season Yukon River teleconference, funded by the Office of Subsistence Management Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. YRDFA in-season Yukon River teleconferences will begin as usual the first Tuesday of June and continue weekly until the end of August. We are preparing communities for participation in these important calls. Calls that bring together Yukon River fishers and fishery managers to discuss timely fishery associated topics while the Yukon River salmon runs are taking place.

Communities and Tribal Councils receive posters and letters inviting participation in the teleconferences, as well as using public service announcements via radio. On the calls fishery managers can hear specific and detailed information on river conditions, how various gear types and openings are working, and reports on fisher harvest success. While the fishers are updated on how the runs are moving up river, fish counts, and can gain clarity on any questions that they may have in real time during the run.

These weekly calls support and help sustain the collaborative efforts between fishers and fishery managers for successfully meeting the escapement and harvest goals necessary for maintaining a sustainable fishery and addressing the challenge of restoring chinook salmon stocks.

So I would like to check to see if YRDFA's communication and outreach person Danielle Stickman is on the line. She's now going to share a couple of projects that she's working on.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you there,

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead.

Danielle?

Danietic

MS. STICKMAN: Yes. I am.

 MS. STICKMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Council. My name is Danielle Stickman. I was hired in September and since my hire I've put a lot of time and effort in learning politics, biological studies, regulations and policies on the

salmon populations on the Yukon River.

A meeting was held in December with Yukon River fisheries manager from both ADF&G and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and my colleagues to coordinate and brainstorm ideas for a young fisherman workshop. That will be held the week of April 17th in conjunction with the annual YRDFA preseason and board meeting in Fairbanks.

This workshop will go over salmon biology, regulatory processes, health of the runs, and other topics the participants would like to discuss.

```
There is funding for four to five participants to
 1
 2
     attend, so to be considered please contact me to fill
     out a survey. My email address is our website. And
 3
     these surveys will help develop the agenda and topics
 4
 5
     of discussion for the workshop.
 6
 7
                     The educational pamphlets are currently
     being developed for communities and different ways of
 8
 9
     outreach and communication are also being explored.
     you know, I'm also partially funded by Pew Charitable
10
     Trusts and my work with them has involved reaching out
11
     to Tribal Councils within the Alaska Boreal Forest, as
12
     well as connecting with tribal organizations,
13
     consortiums, Federal and State agencies to compile
14
     information around public land designations and
15
     awareness of community conservation plans.
16
17
                     I finished the strategic plan for the
18
     Alaska Boreal Conservation Campaign and have started on
19
2.0
     the task timeline. But the next steps of moving
     forward are still being developed as my contract ends
21
     for that position on March 31st.
22
23
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Danielle.
26
27
2.8
                     Any questions for her presentation.
29
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't see anything.
34
     Thank you so much.
35
36
                     Wayne.
37
                     MR. JENKINS: I still have -- hopefully
38
     have Catherine Moncrieff on the line.
                                            She can
39
     share....
40
41
42
                     MS. MONCRIEFF:
                                     I am on the line.
43
     you hear me.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        We can hear you
     fine. Go ahead, Catherine.
46
47
48
                     MS. MONCRIEFF:
                                     Okay.
                                             Great.
```

you.

49

50

Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council Members for the

opportunity to report on some of our projects.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

The YRDFA in-season salmon harvest survey program qualitatively informs managers how fishers in key locations throughout the Drainage are doing in-season, enabling managers to make timely decisions allowing the maximum number of fishermen to meet their subsistence needs.

8 9 10

11

12

The in-season survey program provides consistent reporting to fisheries managers and the public about subsistence harvests, run strengths, and fishing conditions and fishermen's concerns.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21

22

Since our last report we have completed the evaluation of the 2016 season and met with the managers to discuss preparation for the 2017 season. Through the feedback collective from the surveyors and the managers, we learned that most feel the survey is an effective means to gather information and give communities an opportunity to share what is going on in their area, including how they fish, their concerns, and other important issues about the fishery.

23 24 25

26

27

Many feel the survey program reports enhance the teleconferences. Challenges are that some fishermen need more information about the goals of the program and how the information improves management.

2.8 29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

We are in the early stages of planning Soon we will be rehiring our for the 2017 season. surveyors and planning their travel to the preseason meeting and surveyor training event the week of April 17th in Fairbanks. We plan to build the capacity of the surveyors and foster their experiences as fishing leaders through their attendance at these meetings and the training event to provide them with the resources they need to help us meet the challenges of the program.

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

The next program I'd like to give you a quick update on is the customary trade in the Upper Yukon. This project addresses the need for additional information on customary trade of fish throughout the Yukon River, focusing specifically on the historic and contemporary customary trade of salmon in the Upper Yukon and Tanana Rivers.

47 48

Since our last report on this project

we have completed the field work in our additional community of Venetie. The ethnographic and survey for Fort Yukon, Manley Hot Springs, and Stevens Village is ready for analysis. And we're just waiting for the survey data from Venetie to be inputted and cleaned and then we will begin the rest of the analyses.

Once we have completed these drafts we will revisit each community to share the preliminary findings and collect their feedback. And we will continue to provide updates to your Council through the end of the project, which is December 2017.

Another project I want to give you an update on is the value of salmon project, which is funded by the North Pacific Research Board. Through this project, Yukon River fishing families have the opportunity to share how they value salmon, why they value salmon, and the ways in which it is most important to them. Increasing understanding of how, why, and in what ways salmon are valued by the people of the Yukon River and the role it plays in their lives will enable the North Pacific Research Board and fishery managers to increase their understanding of the values that can shape the future of salmon fisheries management.

Case studies are being developed through ethnographic interviews in Russian Mission, Nenana, and Fort Yukon. I'm working on traveling to Russian Mission, I actually just attempted yesterday and couldn't make it in on weather, to present a draft summary of their chapter at a workshop. I did this also in Fort Yukon and Nenana and community members have the opportunity through these workshops to provide feedback and their comments are incorporated and edited into the final draft.

This project will end in December of 2017. And during this time I'll be finishing the community reviews and getting ready -- getting the final report ready and hopefully publishing a summary of it.

 Two more quick projects I want to give you an update on is a paper that we told you about at your last meeting about traditional knowledge and Federal fisheries management. And for this project, YRDFA partnered with Kawerak, the Nome area non-profit,

on an article funded by the Pew Charitable Trusts that examines the ways that traditional knowledge can be used in Federal fisheries management, particularly the North Pacific Management Council.

5 6 7

8

1

3 4

And just as an update, this paper has been completed and accepted and published in Marine Policy, which is an online journal and you can access it through YRDFA's Facebook page or our website.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

And lastly I just want to talk quickly about a project funded by the National Science Foundation called Yukon River Salmon Decline, Running from Tradition. And this is a workshop we held at the beginning of February with elders from the Lower Yukon River. Six elders traveled to Anchorage to speak in Yup'ik about king salmon for two days. This project was a partnership with Calista Education and Culture. And the focus of the workshop was elder knowledge of salmon and salmon fishing. They also discussed the history and changes in traditional place names, harvest patterns, diet, food preparation, the traditional fishing practices, weather, river conditions and other animal and plant communities, and other relevant topics.

25 26 27

2.8

29 30

31

Part of the project will be to transcribe all of the recordings from the knowledge workshop. And the results when we're finished will be shared widely with communities, managers, scientists, and other interested parties.

32 33

And that concludes the updates on our projects. Unless Wayne wants to take it back or if I have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

35 36 37

34

Thank you for your time.

38 39

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any questions on Catherine's presentation.

40 41 42

(No comments)

43 44

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seeing none, go

45

46 47

48

MR. JENKINS: YRDFA would like to thank the WIRAC for your support over the years and all the work that you do for the communities on the Yukon

49 50 ahead.

River.

Thanks, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, thank you for all the projects you're doing. And many of those are so very important for the fishers and the resource.

Fred.

 MR. ALEXIE: Yeah. Maybe I've got a couple of comments. First of all, the State of Alaska passed this resolution about wild stock management zone. I'm looking -- I've got a granddaughter now that's six months old. When -- in 37 years, probably she's about the only one that's going to -- or just about the only one that's going to know what wild stock is. After that our hands are tied. Our hands are going to be tied anyway for our future generations with what they put on there.

In no instance shall hatcheries be maintained -- be used or means to increase harvest levels. We're tying up our future generation, the young people coming up. What would it take -- sure we can amend this resolution -- whatever -- you know, but it's going to be -- they're going to have a fighting arm

 Probably me and Shirley, you know, we know what the wild stock means, and we're getting up there in age. I'm 73 years old. Hey, you know, I want that wild stock. What about the younger generation like after my granddaughter. As long as there's fish, they say hey, it's fish. It's fish. Whether it's wild stock or artificial -- or whatever fish.

You know, I'm just -- I'm thinking of down the road -- ahead. Because I mean we're old. What -- we're the only -- probably the last ones that really understand what wild stock is. My younger kids -- my kids -- they know. Like I said, my granddaughter's six months old. She knows what the strips is right now because she eats it. You know, and my grandchildren -- my two -- I've got to hide my salmon strips when I bring it in because they get into it and it's gone.

(Laughter)

2.0

2.8

 Page 262

MR. ALEXIE: But, you know, after that, you know, what are we doing here. What are we saying. What are -- you know, what are we doing. We're tying -- we're tying -- tying them and we're not helping. That's the thing about it, this hatchery thing. We're not helping the wild -- we're not helping the fishing. These younger, hey, whatever. You know, it just -- I just don't like -- I just don't like this resolution.

I mean you might say yeah, it's a good resolution for now, but what is it going to do 37 -- 30 years down the road. Tying up my children. They want to harvest fish and there's no fish. Or they can't help the industry -- the fishing industry. What are we

doing. That's just my point.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I fully agree with you, Fred, that, you know, I am not in favor of hatcheries and enhancements unless -- but basically this says, you know, the last resort is if a stock is completely wiped out, they would use hatchery fish to rebuild that stock. And that's -- but the confer....

MR. ALEXIE: Jack, where is that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It's the last number three. The last page. On page 18. The last resort is aquaculture. That's if a stock is wiped out. And so that's why I said I agreed with it. That's a last resort.

MR. ALEXIE: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And we don't ever want to wipe the stocks out. And so I am going to fight to the last tooth and nail to maintain proper harvest management, which is mandated under State and Federal Statute, that we never get to that point. That's what we're -- that's the objective.

But this comprehensive management plan will be before us later on. Towards the fall we'll be talking about it more. This is not just going to go sliding on through. But we are going to -- and we'll be apprised of it through -- by either hard copy if you don't have email, but by email. All of this stuff is going to go Zach. All of this stuff should be coming

```
Page 263
     back to us.
 1
 2
                     And we should read this so that we know
     of what the pitfalls are. That's why Fred brought
 3
     this. This has a lot of what the U.S. Fish and
 4
 5
     Wildlife learned about pitfalls.
 6
 7
                     But we do have a lot of agenda to go
 8
     through. And I really appreciate what YRDFA's been
 9
     doing.
10
11
                     A final comment, Wayne.
12
13
                     MR. JENKINS: Well, I would just
     encourage the RAC members and Yukon community members
14
     up and down the river, you know, talk to your nearest
15
     Yukon Board Member. This is a public process.
16
     going to be going on for another good bit of time, so
17
     everyone on the Yukon has the ability to weigh in with
18
     their concerns about this issue.
19
2.0
                     So do that. It's a public document and
21
     we want the best and most widest, broadest information
22
     and feedback from the communities.
23
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah.
                                                I'm sure
     you'll hear more from us.
26
27
                     MR. ALEXIE: Another just quick
2.8
                                         That's April 12th -
29
     reminder for me. I wrote it down.
30
     - 17th be the YRDFA meeting, right?
31
32
                     MR. JENKINS: The YRDFA meeting is on
33
     the week of the 17th.
34
35
                     MR. ALEXIE:
                                  Oh.
                                       The 17th.
                                                   Okay.
36
     Yeah.
37
                     MR. JENKINS: And I think it's Tuesday.
38
39
40
41
                     MR. ALEXIE: And the 12th is not.....
42
43
                     MR. JENKINS: Is the board meeting --
44
     is....
45
                     MR. ALEXIE: The 12th it actually
46
47
     begins though.
                     Or am I writing.....
48
                     MR. JENKINS: No. I think.....
49
```

```
MR. ALEXIE: Am I writing dates wrong?
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. JENKINS: I don't know.
                                                  It doesn't
 4
     begin on the 12th. The board meeting is the 18th. The
 5
     preseason's the 19th. This meeting for the
     Comprehensive Salmon Plan is the 21st -- or the 20th
 6
 7
              I'm sorry. The 20th. And they're all three
     rather.
     going to be together. So, you know, you won't --
 8
     people won't have to travel all over Fairbanks. It'll
 9
     be boom, boom, boom. Either at Pikes or here.
10
11
                     MR. ALEXIE:
12
                                 Okav. Yeah.
                                                All the
13
     villages along the Yukon know about this meeting, or
     your literature is out there now?
14
15
16
                     MR. JENKINS: No.
                                        It's not out yet.
17
                     MR. ALEXIE:
18
                                  Okay. Well, I'd like.....
19
20
                     MR. JENKINS: We're rolling it out now.
21
22
23
                     MR. ALEXIE: I'd like to see this as
24
     soon as I can get a hold of it.
25
                     MR. JENKINS: You bet. You bet, Fred.
26
27
2.8
                     MR. ALEXIE:
                                  Thank you.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah.
                                               That will be
     coming out here pretty soon, I'm sure. There should be
31
32
     -- and that's the way -- you know, that's the way these
     meetings should work. They should be boom, boom, boom.
33
     Back to back so that, you know, you don't have all this
34
     travel time. Every time you travel you've got all this
35
     travel time, and I burn wood, you know, for everybody,
36
     it cost a lot of time and effort and you've got to get
37
     people to watch your sled dogs and a whole bunch of
38
     stuff happens. These meetings have to be pretty
39
     concise.
40
41
42
                     So we've got to move on in this agenda.
43
44
                     Thank you so much Wayne and YRDFA
45
     Staff.
46
47
                     So we've moving to Refuge updates.
48
     can either take Kanuti or Koyukuk Nowitna. Who is
     under the time constraints.
49
50
```

MR. SPINDLER: We'll make it quick. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. 4 bring up Kanuti. 5 6 MR. THOMAS: We're being invaded guys. 7 8 (Laughter) 9 MR. SPINDLER: Well, we just have some 10 11 new people I want to introduce. So.... 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. Go right ahead, Mike. 14 15 MR. SPINDLER: Mr. Chair and fellow 16 For the record I'm Mike Spindler. I'm 17 Board Members. the Refuge Manager of Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge. 18 And for the newer Council Members, I've been working 19 2.0 with this Council since it started, and raised my family in Galena, fishing and doing everything like 21 you've talked about. I now live in Fairbanks and I'm 22 the manager of Kanuti, and it has some challenges. 23 It's a small Refuge. As you heard from PJ Simon this 24 25 morning, I have a close working relationship with the Allakaket Tribe and we're all working together to keep 26 these resources going for subsistence purposes and 27 2.8 other purposes. 29 30 You have a very short handout with a beaver on the front, and I won't go into any detail on 31 32 that, if you're interested in what's going on in Kanuti 33 in a general way, it's just a one-page summary of 34 what's happening on the Refuge. 35 36 But I'd like to call your attention first off that we have a new Refuge officer airplane 37 pilot, Brandon Bosch, to my right. He is stationed at 38 Coldfoot and he'll be working half with the National 39 Park Service in a shared position. I look at it is a 40 Department of Interior position. And so he's utilizing 41 Park Service plane and housing and we're providing his 42 43 training and salary and so half his time will be spent helping patrol on the Refuges near the Dalton Highway, 44 45 which includes Arctic, Yukon Flats, and Kanuti. other half of his time Gates of the Arctic National 46 47 Park.

48

49 50 So we welcome Brandon. Encourage you

to just chat with him during the break. He's new to Alaska, but not new to law enforcement. So he has some things to learn, but he also has ears wide open.

3 4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

1

And you have met Tina Moran before. She's a Deputy Manager at Kanuti. I just wanted to refresh your memory, especially for the new Council Members. Tina has a long history in working with subsistence, having worked in Bethel for 13 years and Kotzebue for 13 years. So she's worked with several RACs before and lots of very difficult subsistence issues. So if I'm traveling and not available, she's the stand in for me. And feel free to call her or dialogue with her over any of these issues. She's just as fluent as I am.

15 16 17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

29

30

31 32

33

34

The one thing that's probably -possibly that I need to give you head heads up on for Kanuti is that we had a guide permit on Kanuti Refuge. A gentleman from Wiseman, a local person, had a permit to guide for wolves and bears and that permit expired and we re-recruited for another permittee to take that place, but it went un-applied for. So we had a hiatus of about five years with no quide permit on Kanuti, and we're going through a process now to reallocate guides on the Refuges that have open areas and Kanuti is one So that closed a few weeks ago. Had some of them. calls from people interested. I want to assure the Council here that I'll be working very closely on the ranking of the applicants and the Manager does make the final selection. One of the criteria we look at is if the person has got local roots. And so as Mr. Alexie stated earlier, it's really important to have local guides, if at all possible. And so that factors heavily in our decision making and our process.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

And the other thing I'd mentioned just a couple of times is we've been putting a lot of effort in this BLM planning process because Kanuti is virtually surrounded by BLM lands. They are near the Dalton Highway and all the things you've talked about, so just be assured that we are looking at it from a very close perspective, watershed by watershed and the values that need to be continued to provide for subsistence and working with BLM. I sit on the planning team and so the concerns that you've stated, they will continue to be heard at the planning table.

47 48 49

I just wanted to keep it brief and make

```
sure you had a chance to meet the new person and just
 1
 2
     reacquaint yourself with Tina and for the new Council
     Members, I look forward to working with you.
 3
 4
 5
                     Thank you.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Shirley.
 8
 9
                     MS. CLARK: Here's a quick question.
     Thank you, Mr. Chair. What does Kanuti mean or where
10
     did it come from.
11
12
13
                     MR. SPINDLER: Ms. Clark, through the
             The Native way of saying it -- forgive me if I
14
     Chair.
     butcher it -- is (In Native), and it meant either old
15
     man river or fish roe place. And I think it refers in
16
17
     the case of the fish roe place to the spawning
     whitefish, and it's a fairly important river for
18
     whitefish, not so for salmon. But there's a whitefish
19
     spawning area, there's over wintering habitat in the
2.0
     river and there's summer rearing habitat for whitefish.
21
     And as PJ mentioned earlier, whitefish are very
22
     important to the Upper Koyukuk people.
23
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any other questions.
26
27
                     PJ -- or Pollock, Senior.
2.8
29
30
                     (Laughter)
31
32
                     MR. SPINDLER: Old PJ, new PJ.
33
34
                     (Laughter)
35
36
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   PS.
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     MR. SIMON: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
40
                                                      I just
     wanted to make quick comment about taking bears and
41
     wolves out of the Refuge. The grizzlies are increasing
42
     in numbers and they tend to eat moose and black bears
43
     and we don't hunt grizzlies anymore. We used to --
44
     long ago people used to eat grizzlies, but not these
45
     young -- young peoples barely eat black bear, us old
46
     people like black bear.
47
48
                     It would help, Mike, if you could renew
49
50
```

that guiding service and those guys could take some bears out and wolves out because this is how the predator control is done on wolves and we're starting to see more moose tracks around the area, so I would be glad to see if there's a grizzly bear guide or something.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Pollock.

Yeah. When you make the selection I would like to know where the guide area is and who that person is eventually.

MR. SPINDLER: Yes, Mr. Chair. And thank you for the comments, Mr. Simon. Through the Chair.

That is one thing if you do have a local rooted guide that you can work with the guide on trying to tailor the operation so that it has minimal impacts on subsistence, but maybe even some benefits to subsistence with the harvest of some of those animals. I know that that was the former gentleman's position who had that guiding permit was to actually help subsistence by taking bears and wolves.

 But it was a not economically viable operation. He ran into quite a few challenges. So maybe in this case they will be guiding for moose, but maybe because I think I've heard something like four clients is what they're interested in. If they separate themselves way out in the areas that don't receive subsistence hunting and then they have a chance to harvest some of those other animals like the big animals and the wolves, then maybe will benefit subsistence as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. I think that would be a very positive thing. The Kanuti has got some huge grizzlies in there that that's all do hunt is hunt. They kill adult moose. A lot of the collared moose disappeared in the summertime. Yeah. Those are the big grizzlies killing them. They'll kill big bulls. They kill everything.

And Sidney Huntington sat there in Galena in the last -- and I videoed him, and telling this Council that grizzlies will control your life, is what he said. They'll eat all the black bears. If they build up to a certain point they'll eat all the black bears, they'll start killing the moose, and they'll control your life. Meaning they're going to starve you out.

And Sidney is 100 years old. And when Sidney walked into the room I stopped the meeting, come to the table and talk to us, Sidney. And harvesting those grizzlies in the Kanuti is a very important issue and I'm hoping that you get a guide that takes some of those large bears. They can have a huge effect. And it's basically an unharvested bear population. So I'm encouraged about that.

Any other questions from the Council.

MR. VENT: Yeah. Now you mention that touchy subject on the bears, you know, we notice it in our area. And what Sidney was saying was true. Because there's -- we had Darrell Hildebrandt flying over and he said he seen quite a few in the springtime and they were really working hard to get what they need to eat.

 So we've got a lot of competition out there and they're the main ones that, you know, those ones, and I forgot to mention, you know, they talked about some wolves down around Nulato area. They said they never seen such a large pack for a long time. And this guy hunts wolves down there quite a bit. He seen one going up one side of the hill and a whole bunch -- seen a whole bunch of them going up into the hills. Didn't get a chance to get a good shot at them though.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Final comment, Fred.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ ALEXIE}\colon$$  Yeah. I've just got a comment. Matter of fact, I've got two.

 First of all, bear. They take 60 percent. I don't know what the brown bear takes, but the brown bears -- we don't -- no longer hunt them. We hunt the black bears, but the brown bears take care of the black bears, too. They take them out in the springtime, in the falltime or in the wintertime when

they're thinned up. And the grizzlies run in Kiayuh National Refuge. They know where every den is, every black bear den is. They know. The brown bear does. The grizzly. Take them right out -- out of the hole. So it takes away -- that's the only time I like to eat bear meat is when that bear comes out -- we get it out of the den ourselves. I like to eat that because it don't got the fish taste or -- it's just pure meat.

Okay.

The other thing is -- and the philosophy of the let burn attitude. Firefighting. The let burn attitude in any one of our Refuges. I do not like that let burn attitude that the State Fish and -- or firefighting -- firefighters come up with. It is bad for our health, bad for our spawning, fish spawning. And they say it's good for the moose. I don't kind of lean that direction.

2.4

I just think about, you know, that the let burn attitude's just burning in some good spawning -- fish spawning areas. And I'd like at some point to reconsider the State's stand on let burn attitude to our BLM. Let burn attitude.

That's all I got.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Fred.

Any other comments for Kanuti.

MR. SPINDLER: Mr. Alexie, through the Chair, I did want to let you know that on Kanuti and actually also on Koyukuk Refuge there is an area that's called a lichen protection zone, which is a non-burning area and it's established for the maintenance of old growth lichen habitat that caribou rely on for the wintertime.

And when we established that area near Kanuti, one of the aspects that spoke to me the loudest was that not only was it important to have old growth spruce and lichen for caribou, but the people from Allakaket after having suffered through two extreme fire years of '04 and '05 said their sense of well being on the landscape and on the trails and burned

```
Page 271
                              And so we combined those two
     over areas wasn't good.
 1
 2
     factors to establish about 100,000 acres right in the
     middle of Kanuti that's a non-burn area.
 3
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Didn't know that.
 6
     Cool.
 7
                                     There's also one on
 8
                     MR. SPINDLER:
 9
     Koyukuk as well for caribou. If I'm not mistaken, it
     still exists.
10
11
                     MR. MOOS:
                                 (Nods affirmatively)
12
13
                     MR. SPINDLER: Yeah. Kenton can fill
14
15
     you in on that.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Okay.
18
19
                     MR. SPINDLER:
                                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2.0
                                         All right.
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                                     Thanks
     so much, Mike.
22
23
2.4
                     Brandon, welcome aboard.
25
                     MR. BOSCH: I'm excited to be here.
26
27
2.8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                          And we'll see you
     in Coldfoot.
29
30
31
                     So we're going to have Koyukuk,
     Nowitna, Innoko Refuge Complex come to the mic.
32
33
     ahead, Kenton.
34
35
                     MR. MOOS: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
     Members of the Council. My name is Kenton Moos.
36
     the Refuge Manager for Koyukuk, Nowitna, and Innoko
37
     Refuges and we are located out of Galena. But I am
38
     going to let Jeremy give the presentation and if you've
39
     got any questions I'll be here to help. But Jeremy
40
     Havener is our subsistence coordinator and he will fill
41
42
     you in.
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. They're
44
45
     setting up their PowerPoint, and opening it up, for
     those people on the phone.
46
47
48
                     (Pause)
49
50
```

1 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Jeremy.

MR. HAVENER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council Members. For the record, my name is Jeremy Havener. I'm the Refuge Subsistence Coordinator at Koyukuk, Nowitna, Innoko National Wildlife Refuge.

And today I'm here to present a Refuge update. And I did hand out some pamphlets earlier this morning and if you guys could follow along, we'll go ahead and get into this. I do have quite a bit of stuff in here and I know we are kind on a tight agenda. There's a couple of main points I wanted to cover and so if something comes up, please stop me and I'd be happy to answer questions.

2.0

So first thing is Staff updates. This is one thing we've been dealing with a lot this summer and through now. As most of you probably know, President Trump put in an executive order to have a Federal hiring freeze and we're under that right now.

2.8

But we did get permission to hire four positions in Galena. One was another maintenance worker, in which we had -- he was our former admin officer and he moved into that position. So that opened up the admin officer position and we got permission to hire that. And we just hired a lady from Ruby. Her name is Marie Cleaver.

And also got permission to hire an RIT in Galena and also a position in McGrath. And we did change that position to a park ranger position. It's a visitor services position, but I want to let you know that the RIT duties will still remain in their position description. So they will still be doing RIT duties, as well as managing the office in McGrath.

And right now I'm trying to finish up finalizing the hiring for an RIT in Huslia and things aren't final there, but hopefully we'll move along on that and get her in that position.

 So we did lose two biologists. A habitat biologist and a fisheries biologist. And unfortunately, one of them is here right now, and we lost our fisheries biologist to OSM. I'm going to point him out. He's right over there, Frank Harris.

(Laughter) 1 2 3 But, no, he'll be great MR. HAVENER: 4 working for OSM and you guys will enjoy working with 5 him on fisheries issues. 6 7 We did lose a law enforcement position 8 and again, like I said, the RIT in McGrath. 9 Outreach education. We completed our 10 annual science camp in Galena. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You hired an RIT in 14 McGrath, is it hired? 15 MR. HAVENER: We lost an RIT in 16 17 McGrath. 18 19 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I know you lost 2.0 Claire, but have you filled that here? 21 22 MR. HAVENER: That was the one where we are going to be changing that position to a visitor 23 services park ranger. 24 25 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. But you have 26 not added. You're on a freeze for that position 27 2.8 or.... 29 30 MR. HAVENER: Oh. And I'm -- so yeah, let me go back to that. Thank you. What ended up 31 32 happening.... 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: 34 I'm concerned about 35 that RIT position in McGrath because of the amount of 36 sporthunters and whatnot that go to the Innoko Refuge. And I'm concerned that that's filled soon. Sooner than 37 later. Go ahead. 38 39 MR. HAVENER: Yeah. 40 No. Thank you for 41 bringing that up. What we did is we opened that position for hire, and because we were anticipating the 42 freeze, everything happened really fast and it was 43 rushed. We tried doing as much outreach as we could 44 45 for that and we only ended up getting a couple of applicants. And with that I didn't feel comfortable 46 47 enough filling that position, so we didn't end up 48 selecting anybody and it is currently under the hiring

freeze.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: 1 Okay. 2 3 MR. HAVENER: But we have intentions as soon as that hiring freeze is lifted to fill that 4 5 position, and those RIT duties will still be 6 implemented there in that position. 7 8 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 9 10 MR. HAVENER: And of course, as you know, we do have an RIT that resides in Anvik, Ken 11 Chase, that also does RIT duties in the Innoko Refuge. 12 13 14 Okay. Onto slide two. Or excuse me. 15 Slide three. 16 17 MR. VENT: This is Darrel, Huslia. you mention you had somebody in Huslia? 18 19 2.0 MR. HAVENER: Yeah. Currently, I can't say anything official right now because it's still 21 pending but we are trying to hire an RIT in Huslia. 22 23 MR. VENT: Okav. Thanks. 24 25 MR. HAVENER: So outreach education. 26 We completed our annual science camp in Galena and the 27 theme this year was learning about Refuges and programs 2.8 associated with them, which included Refuges from the 29 30 Lower 48. So they looked into a bunch of different things that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is doing 31 32 and that was a big part of their seven-day camp. 33 34 RIT Refuge Manager and Outreach 35 Specialist hosted a Voices of the Wilderness Writer 36 this year. And that's a competitive process where artists turn in applications to convey how they're 37 going to implement wilderness into the public. And 38 it's a -- like I said, a competitive process and we 39 selected a writer from Juneau. 40 41 42 And then of course the Refuge Manager Outreach Specialist and Ken Chase traveled down to the 43 villages of Grayling, Anvik, Shageluk, and Holy Cross 44 45 and met with some students and he talked about writing with them and they checked out the wilderness area 46 47 within the Innoko Refuge.

48

49 50 Staff assisted in coaching a local

biathlon shooting team. And with that we are incorporating gun safety there. And that is one thing I didn't mention on here is we do have a couple Staff members that are official hunters ed safety instructors and including me being one of them. And if anybody's interested in wanting to put on a program for that, I'd be more than willing to get out to the villages and so gun safety.

We've done them in Kaltag before and in Galena. So if people are interested in that, we'd be super happy to get out and put on a program.

Let's see.

2.0

So Refuge Staff and ADF&G Staff visited Yukon River villages this summer to talk with fishermen about the fall season. We went down to Nulato, Kaltag, and Koyukuk and of course Galena and just asked how fishermen were doing and, you know, any concerns they had, and just it was good to get out and talk with them.

Ken Chase attended the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service invasive species training. He's going to be using this training to help bring awareness to the Innoko Refuge area on impacts of invasive species and how we can prevent them from spreading.

 And then finally Ken Chase has also been meeting with the local schools to start introducing kids into wildlife management and how they can get involved in the regulations process. That's one thing he's really brought up to me quite a few times on something that he's wanting to get going, just getting out, talking with kids, and introducing them to the RACs and AC's and how that whole process goes.

And on to slide four. The 2016 November Koyukuk moose trend area surveys. We completed them in November this year. This year was kind of a tough year. We didn't have much snow so we were concerned about sightability but we ended up getting some pretty good counts. I know I was talking with Brad and our supervisor wildlife biologist and it sounds like they had quite a bit of frost this year, so that allowed them spot some moose a little bit better than they would have if, you know, it was just relying on the snow.

And so this map right here is showing you the trend count areas that we survey. And one thing we haven't updated on here is the Innoko Refuge. We do have a trend area on the Innoko River, it's probably about 350 miles up the Innoko.

And so I'll just go through a summary here on the Koyukuk National Wildlife Refuge moose population. Like I said, there was barely any snow, just a dusting in late October and then we got two inches of snow on the 14th and then another dusting on the 22nd, and like I said, we had good frost.

The southern units, which include Galena, Pilot Mountain, Koyukuk Mouth, and Kiayuh continued to increase, good cow and bull numbers and good recruitment. And we saw excellent calf production, which is survival into the fall, and good spring twinning rates.

2.8

The northern units, which include 3-Day Slough, Dulbi River, Treat Island, and Huslia River, indicate another year of good calf production and cow and bull numbers are basically the same, which is 20 percent below the ten-year average. And poor -- again with poor snow conditions, we're, you know, thinking that sightability -- we might have missed -- or excuse me. Sightability was only reduced by 30 percent. We did see increases in observations at Dulbi and Three Day and there was another year of good calf production.

 Overall, the southern areas appear to be growing while the northern area 2011 decline has stabilized. And there's less adult moose, but high calf production. And we need to be conservative with our harvest strategies until recovery is clear.

 And this is one thing I kind of wanted to get into a little bit because we do make the decision on a Federal moose hunt in the Huslia area, which is Game Management Unit 24D on the Refuge. And we have been talking with the stakeholders and I do plan on getting up to Huslia or at least communicating with the Tribal Council on any issues with that decision and getting input. And with this decline that we're seeing -- or at least the numbers still being below 20 percent -- or excuse me, with the numbers still being 20 percent below the average, we're going

to remain conservative and probably not hold that hunt.

MR. VENT: Excuse me, Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrel.

MR. VENT: Yeah. I've got a question on that. Now you're talking about -- what hunt are you talking about, is that the fall hunt or is that the spring hunt? Because we aren't allowed to spring hunt anymore.

2.0

2.8

MR. HAVENER: Mr. Vent, through the Chair. The hunt I'm referring to is the winter moose hunt. There's two opportunities there. There's a March 1 through 5 and an April 10 through 15 and so we'll be making a decision on both of those timeframes. And right now with the data we're seeing and people we've been talking to, we're looking at not opening that hunt again.

The last time we opened it -- I believe it's been probably three years ago and we opened it during that April timeframe and that was to ensure that it was a bulls only harvest because that time you can see some antlers starting to grow. And that was the last time we've held it. The last couple of years we've been conservative and not had the hunt.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Kenton called me up and I talked about that winter hunt with him. With the lower number of moose and especially the low bull/cow ratio especially around the May, you know, the bull/cow ratio in general is not within the -- there's a management plan of 30 bulls per 100 cows. There's some higher bull/cow ratios in some areas, but then there's below numbers down there by 3-Day Slough. And so you want to remain conservative on these bulls. We're getting some real good calf recruitments the last three years and maybe next year. I'm thinking maybe next year we might be able to have a spring participation hunt.

Darrel.

MR. VENT: I just wanted to reiterate on that. We have, you know, seen some of these numbers that you've been talking about the years, and I keep

requesting the numbers because you guys always work in numbers. I appreciate that. But, you know, we've got to remember these numbers are not actual numbers. These are projected numbers because you're just doing an estimate. Is that correct?

MR. MOOS: Mr. Vent, through the Chair. They are trends, yes, you are correct. But they do give us good information.

And I think it's worthwhile to also clarify is that we have not taken this hunt away. It's a to be determined hunt. So it's still on the books. We hope to open it up soon again absolutely, so it's not that we've taken these hunts away. It's a to be determined hunt. So I think that's important that it's -- we haven't taken it away and we hope to open it up.

And potentially some others as well.

2.8

We're seeing some promising indications right now and -- however, we still need to be conservative especially with a couple of things like Jack had said, the bull/cow ratios but then also the adult cows. They're a very, very important component. And with the numbers being suppressed right now slightly, we want to be very conservative because they drive our population basically. So we want to make sure that it's a good, healthy adult cow population.

MR. VENT: Okay. I'm not trying to speak specifically for the spring hunt. What I'm worried about is in the falltime. You know that -- I know that while we're getting cut back on this food source, so we're having an amount of hunters coming in in the falltime that's not operating at sustained yield. We look at the numbers and you send a certain amount of numbers of hunters in there, well, they make you a lot of money off those permits, but see, this is the thing that's bothering me. Is I've been telling them we've got to watch that.

I talked with Glenn Stout about that and he's -- you know, he was indicating that it might be a problem because I'm telling him that, you know, that you guys are saying good calf production rates, but that's not the real picture. We may have good calf production rates, but we have bigger bear harvest on predation for calves. You're not including that in

this report. You're making it look nice and good on the paper, but overall I see problems with it.

And if these problems keep persisting

we're going to have a crash in our moose there and that's going to hurt our people in the village. So I want you to note that just because you have good calf production doesn't mean that it's going to -- everything is going to turn out good, because predation is simply stepping up more.

And we don't have the good bull strain anymore because they -- a lot of the big bulls have been killed off. We have younger bulls breeding and they can't survive. It's survival rate is different when you have -- you've got a lot of calves, but hey, you're losing a lot of them.

2.0

So I just want you to note that in your notes that, you know, you may make it look good on here, but does that mean that you're going to have more increased hunting in the fall. Are you going to limit. Are you going to lower. I need to know those informations.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The draw permit numbers have been reduced and are still this year going to be issued at 50 permits or what are they issuing.

MR. HAVENER: Mr. Chair, I believe in 2013 what happened is we noticed that decline in the overall adult population and what the State did is they reduced their draw permits by half. I don't have the exact number in my head. I want to say it's 40 resident tags and maybe five non-resident tags is the current.

MR. MOOS: It's a little more than that

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  HAVENER: But at any rate, due to that decline that we saw, the State did reduce their draw permits.

MR. VENT: Has anything.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

MR. VENT: Has anything been done with

but....

the regular permits?

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You mean the subsistence permit? Though that's the State issued, where the antler destruction permit, that has an unlimited number of participants. But that antler destruction is what's held down the excessive amount of hunting pressure. But Glenn Stout told us that there was a lot of people that would have come up -- a lot of the participation in the subsistence hunts is people from Galena and people go up into the Koyukuk, but because the Kiayuh was flooded up pretty good this year people hunted pretty heavy and that bull -- or the moose population is really growing down there in the Kiayuh, so a lot of people went down there.

 So these populations around the Yukon are doing really good and they're moving away from Koyukuk Refuge up in your country.

MR. VENT: Okay. I just want to make sure I get the numbers on that. If -- you know, for the subsistence and the permits.

2.8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But for the Huslia hunt this next year with the good calf recruitments that we've had and the young bulls that are -- the good numbers of young bulls that are coming in, I think that possibly next year we'll be able to have a spring hunt for Huslia. But not this year. We need another good year of recruitment. Plus there was a pretty good harvest last fall. You know, Huslia did real good on harvest.

So continue.

 MR. HAVENER: So this next slide is the Huslia Flats and Treat Island trend count areas. And this is up near the Huslia area. And it's 306 miles squared. And like I said, again it was a poor snow year during the survey. We had two airplanes flying and we flew 46.3 hours roughly compared to 38 last year. And that's probably due to the low visibility or the sightability.

And here are the numbers from those trend areas. So you can see that cows and bulls are down on -- excuse me, cows and bulls are both down just a bit and probably again due to decreased sightability

and adult numbers are probably stable.

1 2 3

And cows are still about 20 percent lower than the 2001 to 2011 average. Good bull to cow ratio at 34 bulls to 100 cows. And good yearling bull to cow ratio at 10 bulls per 100 cows. Really good production this year with calf/cow ratios at 31 to 100.

And this is a graph with the moose densities. The blue is the moose per square mile and the red is cows per square mile. And moose per square mile are just a little bit under three and the cows are just below two, so about 1.75.

This is the 3-Day Slough and Dulbi River mouth combined trend count areas. And we saw better bull and cow numbers here. Bull/cow ratios were 26 to 100 and yearling bull/cow ratios were 13 to 100 and production was around 32 calves per 100 cows.

And we flew 40.9 hours surveying this year, as opposed to 30.5 last year.

 And this is a graph with the moose density in this area. And it's for -- for total moose it's above four and for cows is just a little bit below three moose per square mile.

Our 20 surveys are on this next slide. And we completed those from May 20th through the 30th to coincide with calving. And the twinning rate is the percent of cows with calves that have twins. And for the three areas that we surveyed we were right around 35 percent, which anything above 20 percent is a good indication that habitat is good and cows are healthy and that there is potential for growth.

This next slide, slide 12, is a geospatial population moose estimate for 2014. The survey area is 1,800 square miles. There were 336 sample units. And units sampled were 128, with 84 hours of flight time, and 1,297 moose were counted during this survey.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'm going to have to have you speed up a little bit.

MR. HAVENER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Because this is a really long report.

MR. HAVENER: Yep. And this next graph is just showing the GSPE data. And as you can see, this is in the Huslia River subunit and it's showing a population decline from 2001 to 2014.

And the same thing on this next slide.

And here is the Koyukuk River mouth, Pilot Mountain, and Squirrel Creek trend count areas. And here we're seeing continued high numbers of cows and calves. Same number of bulls, especially yearlings. Bull/cow ratios were a little bit below management objective of 25 to 100. Good yearling bull to cow ratio is 12 to 100 and excellent calf/cow ratios of 41 to 100. And this area is a heavily hunted area, but it's still biologically adequate.

2.4

And this is the Kiayuh Slough trend count area and some information there. And what we're seeing is bull/cow ratios of 54 to 100, which is pretty high, excellent calf production of 52 to 100 and yearling bull/cow ratios of 17 to 100 and it is a lower density population, but we're hoping things are increasing down there.

And the one thing we're seeing is big differences between north and south units in recruitment and production. And this picture kind of shows that.

## CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Darrel.

MR. VENT: Jack. This is something that I was kind of looking for. You're showing more calves per 100 cows in both areas. And around the Huslia, Dulbi River area this count is based mostly where? Where are you seeing a lot of production in these areas because when we look at like amount necessary for subsistence, you look at certain areas, there's higher counts in certain areas depending on this whole area.

I -- you know, I'm just concerned about that Dulbi Slough area -- Dulbi River area, I think it's reflected with the Treat Island area where there's

more moose compared to versus the Dulbi River area. I'm just not too sure if they're giving me numbers that -- you know, where we're getting hit at and it's all still the same numbers of hunting. So I'm just concerned about that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, the amounts necessary are set. That's a Board of Game and that's a unit wide thing. It's not micro areas. Like these are little micro surveys. These are trend areas. These are tiny, small places. So this gives you little snapshots throughout this whole Koyukuk, Innoko, Novi area, and so you don't want to get too fixated. On some of these things you want to look at the big, overall numbers for what that Koyukuk controlled use area is doing. And it's showing real healthy calf recruitments. There's a lot of harvest there. And --but there were some deep snow years and so that's what we see is the large bulls that are alive now, they came out of deep snow years.

And so this is all -- all these numbers -- what it means to me is this population's doing real well. It's got excellent calf production. Even better on the Kiayuh -- the Koyukuk River mouth. But it's doing really well.

 $\,$  And so that's the take home of this whole presentation.

And so I don't want to get too bogged down in the numbers here. I think you can take these.....

MR. VENT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You can take this home. Look these numbers over. And if you start to look at the big picture, the moose are doing really, really well right now. And we got another good winter. We hardly have any snow down there around Galena. Those moose can get away from wolves better. There will be a real good recruitment again.

And so the way you read these numbers are you look at the yearling bull to cow ratio. For every one of those yearling bulls there's typically at least a cow yearling, and so there's some huge -- there's like 25, 30 young moose moving into the

population. Recruited. That's what that actually 1 2 means. 3 4 MR. VENT: Well, what my concern was on 5 that just make a note on this was that remember when we 6 talked about the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and the Northwest Arctic caribou, when you added those numbers 7 together, it wasn't actually accurate. 8 9 That's what I'm trying to tell them. 10 11 That this is not accurate. 12 13 Because up there in the Treat Island 14 there's a lot of moose in there, but down there in the Dulbi Slough area it's getting just as hit as the same 15 hard every year because we've got moose numbers up 16 there in the Treat Island area. 17 18 19 So I just wanted you to note that. 20 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 22 MR. VENT: All right. 23 24 25 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. So.... 26 Okay. I'll keep moving 27 MR. HAVENER: 2.8 along here. 29 30 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Let's move to the 31 beaver cache. 32 33 MR. HAVENER: Okay. And there is stuff 34 in here on the Novi moose population and the Innoko 35 moose population, too. 36 And then Galena Mountain caribou herd 37 and the sightings that we've seen and beaver cache 38 39 surveys. 40 41 And did you want me to touch on this a 42 little bit, or can I move into..... 43 44 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I want you to skip ahead a little. 45 46 47 MR. HAVENER: Okay. Might just move 48 into the Koyukuk River chum salmon project. 49

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.

1 2 3

MR. HAVENER: Okay. So the Koyukuk River chum salmon radiotelemetry proportional distribution study and abundance estimation with mark recapture sampling. So this is a three-year project and sampling occurred this year from June 16th through July 30th. Each sample period was six days a week and the study was -- or this was the last year of a three-year study. We hired two technicians to assist with the project and sampling occurred 27 miles from the mouth of the Koyukuk.

Two boats completed the sampling with crews of two people per boat, one person to drive the boat and another person to work the net. Sampling was completed with drift gillnets and as soon as the fish hit the net it was pulled to limit catch. And only two fish were kept from the net during each drift to limit stress on them while being worked up in the tanks. And after the drift is complete fish are measured, sex is determined, and tags are placed.

And this is the results from the 2016

2.8

year.

And it shows the six strata or basically each strata is a week that we're out sampling. And the total catch was 3,157 fish, 2,294 were spaghetti tagged and 268 radio transmitters were put out and 435 fish were released and not sampled.

This is results continued.

And this shows all the river drainages throughout the Koyukuk and the distance from the area we were tagging them. And the radio transmitters column shows the number of transmitters that were in each drainage as we tracked them. And the proportion is the number of tags that were -- we located in each drainage out of the total number of tags issued.

So for instance, under the Gisasa River it was .136, which amounts to 13.6 percent of the tags were in the Gisasa. 19.9 percent of the tags were in the Huslia River, Bill Hawk Creek Drainage. 13.1 percent were in the Indian River. 11.3 percent were in Henshaw Creek and 19.5 percent were in the Koyukuk River. And that was located between 477 kilometers to

555 kilometers, which is roughly around Treat Island to past Hughes.

This is the data for average length of fish that were sampled. And you can see each strata on the bottom there and the fish -- the squares are males -- the length of males in millimeters and the other one is females in millimeters. And then the graph to the right is the ratio of males to females for 2016.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Strata, meaning

12 what?

MR. HAVENER: The strata is each week that we were sampling.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HAVENER: So one, two, three, four, five, and six is.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right.

MR. HAVENER: That's six weeks total.

2.8

On to the next slide is slide 31. And its average travel time to spawning location. And for the Gisasa River it took about one day to get to one day to get to the Gisasa River from our tagging location. Eight days on average to get to Huslia River, Billy Hawk Creek. Seven days to get to the Hog River and twelve days to the Henshaw Creek.

And now on to the subsistence update.

This is the data for the Nowitna moose hunter check station. And this data is a long term data set that we have that goes from 1988 to 2016. And this year we had 117 hunters check in and 39 moose were harvested during the State season from September 5th through the 25th.

 And here's some data or information on that. This check station has been in operation since 1988 and it was formerly split with Staff from ADF&G and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, but currently Fish and Wildlife Service Staff operate the Nowitna check station and ADF&G operate the Koyukuk River check station.

It's located about a quarter mile from the confluence of the Nowitna, Yukon Rivers. Staff offer outreach on regulations, biologic -- or excuse me -- biology, boundaries, and other Refuge-related information. And actually Lisa Maas got a chance to come out there and work this last fall, so she got to see a little bit of what the check station is about and we appreciate her coming out there and working.

Staff issues registration and subsistence permits and we collect harvest data and the number of hunters within the Nowitna River, while collecting T samples for aging and antler measurements. And again there's the 2016 results. Whoops. I went the wrong way.

2.8

Federal moose hunt 2101 and GMU 21B, which is the Federal Hunt on the Nowitna that goes from September 25th through October 1st. This year we had a high number of permits issued out. Or at least the highest number of permits that we've issued out since the hunt began in 2007. There were 13 permits issued and there were a total of two moose harvested. We are still missing one permit or one harvest report.

And this year it was a little different. As you know, the proposal went through the Federal Subsistence Board to change the boundary for hunters in the northern portion of the Nowitna Refuge and so this year we had permits issued in Galena, Ruby, Tanana, and at the check station.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You had more Tanana participation to make that higher number?

MR. HAVENER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. HAVENER: Yep. We did.

And I attached this just for information. It is the conditions that we issue out with that specific permit.

The 21E federal hunt. The fall season runs from August 25th through September 30th. This year was the first year that we had a State -- or excuse me -- a combined State, Federal registration

permit. Prior to that it was -- there was a State registration permit folks had to get and also a harvest ticket. So there was some confusion there and Ken Chase, myself, and Josh Pierce from ADF&G kind of came up with this system. And, you know, I think it worked pretty good this year and it was a little bit -- you know, it eased things on the regulation side of things for subsistence users.

And this year we had two bulls that were harvested during the Federal season and one bull was harvested in 2015, so there's pretty low harvest on this permit.

And one thing I did want to bring before the Council is that there was a proposal, Proposal number 76 through the Board of Game to lengthen the State registration season. Currently it's from September 5th through the 25th. And with this extension it'll go from September 1 through the 25th. And I believe it did pass, I wasn't there for it. And this is coming from -- I don't want to speak for the GASH AC, but I've kind of listened in on a number of their meetings and I associate with Ken quite a bit.

2.8

There's interest from the GASH AC to lengthen this season in order to line it up with the Federal season to eliminate some of this confusion. And I believe there was some home from the GASH AC with the Federal -- or the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council to propose a wildlife proposal to kind of align those seasons.

So I just kind of wanted to bring that before you and have a discussion on that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: What does the Council think about, we're under wildlife proposal call. If the Board of Game has lengthened the -- moved the season up to the 1st of September, on the State side should we make a Federal wildlife proposal to align with the State regulation, which would be September 1 through September 25.

MR. HAVENER: Yeah. Yeah. Currently the State season will be September 1 through 25.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So this is their opportunity to make proposals. We can put it in the

```
Page 289
              Submit the proposal to align with the new
     hopper.
 1
     State regulation for 21E fall hunt.
 2
 3
                     What does the Council think about
 4
 5
     submitting that. We've got Council -- go ahead, Tim.
 6
 7
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   I just want to -- this
 8
     proposal 76 is for what GMUs?
 9
                                   Mr. Gervais, through the
10
                     MR. HAVENER:
     Chair. This is for GMU 21E.
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Shirley, Fred,
     that's in your area. What do you think about aligning
14
15
     those seasons.
16
17
                     MS. CLARK:
                                 I think it would cut down
     on the confusion myself. And I've noticed that.
18
     know, I didn't know when to hunt because it was open in
19
     August and I think it should be all the same. It
2.0
     doesn't make that much difference, I don't think.
21
                                                         And
     I'm right there on the hunting grounds.
22
23
                                         The Chair will
2.4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
25
     entertain a motion to submit a proposal, Federal
     subsistence proposal to align with Board of Game action
26
     and for the fall hunt for Unit 21E.
27
2.8
                     MS. PELKOLA: So moved.
29
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Moved by Jenny.
32
     We've got a second.
33
                     MS. CLARK: (Nods affirmatively)
34
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seconded by Shirley.
     Discussion on that.
37
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can talk about
     that at our fall meeting and review the proposal.
42
43
                     MS. PELKOLA:
44
                                   Question.
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Ouestion's called on
46
47
     that motion.
                   Those in favor of the motion to submit
     the proposal for 21E, signify by saying aye.
48
49
50
```

1 IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Opposed, same sign.

(No opposing votes)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for bringing that up, Jeremy.

MR. HAVENER: And moving on through the presentation.

This is the information for the winter Federal moose hunts in game management Unit 21E. 2105 is the area within game management Unit 21E. That is on Federal lands. And there were four bulls harvested this year and four cows so for a total of eight moose.

2.0

2.4

And in FM2104, which is the southern portion of game management Unit 21E on Federal lands, it's basically beginning at a line south of Piamuit Slough, there was one cow harvested and I believe that was from Aniak. And again I attached the conditions that go out with this permit just for your information.

And finally we participated in the AMBCC migratory bird harvest survey this year. This is the first one, at least the Koyukuk, Nowitna, Innoko — that we've participated in since 2010, I believe. There were some issues with the statistics and how they kind of come up with some new stats and we are kind of going through a trial run this year. And the villages that we are to survey this year are Takotna, Nulato, and Tanana. Currently we've finished surveying Takotna and Nulato.

Just got back from Nulato prior to coming here and I do want to say on the record that it was a pleasure working with the Nulato Tribal Council. We got there and everything was ready. They assisted us in calling the subsistence users to set up those household surveys, so I wanted to say thanks to the Nulato Tribal Council.

We sampled ten households randomly and are looking at harvests of migratory birds from the spring, summer, and fall, which include grouse. And we

```
were also looking for egg harvest.
 1
 2
                     And this survey was created due to the
 3
 4
     amendment to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act in 1997 and
 5
     the first legal subsistence season began in 2003. And
 6
     the reason for the survey was to get a good idea on the
     harvest to show importance of subsistence uses on
 7
     migratory birds, to protect subsistence harvest, assess
 8
     whether harvest regulations are appropriate, and to
 9
     plan for the conservation of birds.
10
11
                     And that concludes my presentation.
12
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
15
                     MR. HAVENER: And I'll open it up for
16
17
     questions.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any questions for
20
     Koyukuk, Nowitna, Innoko.
21
22
                     Shirley.
23
24
                     MS. CLARK:
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
                     How do you keep track of the harvest on
26
     the migratory birds, or do you?
27
2.8
29
                                              Go ahead.
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
30
                                   Ms. Clark, through the
31
                     MR. HAVENER:
32
     Chair. To keep track of the harvest on the migratory
33
     birds during the survey? Was that what your question
34
     was referring to?
35
36
                     MS. CLARK: Yeah.
                                        I was just
     interested in the numbers.
37
38
39
                     MR. HAVENER: Yeah.
                                          Right now we don't
     have any numbers compiled. Every year AMBCC will put
40
     together a harvest survey or a harvest report from
41
     these surveys. And if you would like, I'd be more than
42
43
     happy to try to relay that report to you when it comes
44
     out. Okay.
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any final
46
47
     comments.
48
                     (No comments)
49
50
```

Page 292 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We've got a lot of 1 2 agenda here. 3 All right. 4 Thank you so much. You've 5 got a big, huge complex and a big, thick report, so lots of information and Council Members can look at 6 those numbers again. I'm real happy about the 7 performance of the moose population in the last three 8 9 years, so I'm encouraged by that. 10 So we have Aaron Poe. Is he on the 11 12 call or.... 13 14 MR. STEVENSON: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. 16 Aaron, 17 are you on the call? 18 19 MR. POE: Yes, Mr. Chair. I am. 20 21 (Laughter) 22 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Just go right ahead 23 with what you want to speak to us about. 24 25 MR. POE: Okay. Will do. And thanks 26 to Zach Stevenson for inviting me there today. 27 apologies that I don't have some great slides in front 2.8 of you guys. Hopefully my melodious voice will be 29 30 enough. 31 32 (Laughter) 33 34 MR. POE: So my name is Aaron Poe. 35 based in Anchorage, Alaska. I work with a group called 36 the Landscape Conservation Cooperative. And I think you guys have heard a little bit about -- I know -- I 37 think it was, gosh, either last year or the year before 38 that we presented. I was part of a panel that 39 presented to you guys when you were down here in 40 Anchorage for sort of the meeting of all ten RACs 41 42 together. 43 But just as a little bit of reminder on 44 45 sort of this Landscape Conservation Cooperative's

49 50

46

47

48

partnerships that are -- there's 22 of them across the

and into Mexico and encompass all of the United States.

continent of North America. They extend into Canada

concept. Basically, well, we're public/private

There's five different ones in Alaska. And I think your Region is most largely contained within the Northwest Boreal LCC and probably also the Western Alaska LCC.

And essentially what these partnerships are trying to do is to tackle some of the big issues. Some of the issues, things like climate change that extend across people's boundaries and across people's jurisdictions. The types of challenges that are, you know, facing communities, as well as land managers, as well as industry, you know, in a State like Alaska. So these LCCs are largely funded by the Fish and Wildlife Service, but there's, just within Alaska alone there's about 130 different member organizations that are engaged in these partnerships and that includes Federal and State agencies, tribes, non-governmental organizations, universities, kind of et cetera. A big grab bag of folks.

So I was just going to share a little bit now.

2.8

So again I'm -- Aleutian Bering Sea Islands LCC is more where I'm based. So a lot more of my work is related to kind of coastal things and marine issues, so I was just going to share an example of one project, you know, for your consideration as if it might be maybe a model of having some discussions about how climate change could impact subsistence resources and subsistence communities.

So I'm just going to kind of go through this process a little bit and hopefully this will give you some food for thought. And if there's some specific questions I can kind of answer, I will try to do my best at that.

So this project I was going to mention, we called it Promoting Coastal Resilience and Adaptation in Arctic Alaska and essentially it was a series of workshops that we held along the west coast of Alaska to really try to bring together managers and community leaders, researchers all to the same table for a couple of days of focused thought on what are some of the big challenges that are facing us all collectively when it comes to some of the changes in Alaska's coastal settings.

And this kind of idea grew out of three of these LCCs, so my LCC, the Western Alaska LCC, and the Arctic LCC. One of our key partners is the Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association, so their regional Native non-profit for the Aleut people and they heard about this idea and basically said hey, you know, we can get some funding and they were successful at getting that from BIA to basically expand what we had thought of as doing one workshop in Nome and expanded it to four workshops. So they were certainly a key partner in all of this.

Other key partners in each of the kind of hub communities where we organized these sessions, we also had kind of local hosts that helped us identify the -- you know, the topics that were most likely of importance to folks there, but also some of the key individuals that should really be involved. That we should make sure we can get to these workshops so there were definitely vital local partners involved.

2.8

And when it comes to things like coastal change in Alaska and some of the stuff that I'm sure folks are familiar with -- with, you know, coastal erosion or things like ocean warming and things like ocean acidification, you know, loss of sea ice during times of the year that you'd typically have sea ice. Certainly some of the changes in river systems that might be, you know, affecting you guys as well all came up in these settings.

And so it was those types of big things that affect you whether you're, you know, a city like Nome trying to manage your infrastructure or whether you're a Refuge that's trying to understand how saltwater intrusion might affect, you know, your coastal estuaries. Whether you're a Tribe that's trying to, you know, understand what are some of the potential effects and risks that your subsistence hunters face in the coming years. All of those people were invited into these sessions and were able to share their ideas.

 And basically the project goal was really to try to figure out how can sort of like the research community -- how can the Federal or State Agency managers -- how can we come together and help share out what existing information there is to these folks. But also just as importantly hear back from

them what are the things they really need. You know, like some of the science questions and some of the efforts that are going in these Regions are great, but we really don't know to some degree if they're answering the key questions that folks have there on the ground. So that was kind of the thrust of what we were trying to get at.

7 8 9

6

1 2

3

4 5

I mentioned that we did four of these

10 11 12 workshops.

The first one was in Nome in May.

13 14

15

16

The second one was in Unalaska. actually invited the Kodiak Aleutians RAC to attend that one. In fact, my LCC helped with travel funding to get them to that session.

17 18 19

The third one was in Bristol Bay in King Salmon and that was in September.

20 21 22

And then the final one we did just this last December up in Kotzebue.

23 24

So four workshops.

25 26 27

2.8

29 30

31 32

33

We had about 200 folks participate. Thirty-four different tribes and 14 different State and Federal agencies. Sort of the breakdown of the folks that attended. And, you know, sort of our workshop approach was pretty simple, we would start by having, you know, maybe the first half of a day or so with a series of presentations. Again, topics that had been identified locally as being of importance.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

So we'd have people talk about climate change or whether it was coastal erosion or ocean acidification, whatever the folks wanted to hear about and then we'd have some focused discussion and dialogue over the next day or so about what are people already doing to address these issues. Maybe what are some of the key information sort of gaps that they have. And then we carved out sort of the last piece of the second day to really start talking about what are some ways that, you know, we can help support sort of regional networks of people that are trying to address these issues.

47 48

46

So for example, would it make sense to,

you know, try and have several tribes collecting the same data about coastal erosion or several tribes collecting the same data about sort of like new sort of novel species that are showing up in their Regions or collecting information about sea ice, and if that does make sense, how can we connect those efforts further to sort of agencies or research that might be able to support them. So that was kind of what a lot of that last piece would be. Sort of this networking almost from these workshops.

In terms of outcome, certainly there was a ton of two-way information exchange. We were able to, you know, bring in scientists and Agency folks who've developed tools for, you know, doing erosion monitoring or tools to -- you know, to look at sort of how -- you know, how species shifts might happen. And then so that was kind of a piece of it.

Another one that was really important was really just to kind of do some networking between folks. You know, we had a number of comments back saying -- you know, from say Tribal leaders who were like I've never seen, I think in Nome we had 12 agencies all in one room at the same time and they were saying we've never seen that kind of thing.

And so agencies -- everything from like Department of sort of Environmental Conservation or, you know, sort of there's a FEMA State Depart -- or a Alaska sort of equivalent of FEMA that attended that one. And having those people sit at the same tables alongside of Fish and Wildlife Service and Parks Service and NOAA was just kind of something novel and something that people valued.

Some of the other outcomes was we really, you know, documented some of the stuff that local communities are already doing to kind of basically kind of chart their own course a little bit as it comes to responding to some of these changes. And so we're hoping to share some of that stuff out in our project deliverable.

And I'm just transitioning now. I'll wrap here shortly.

But to just to talk a little bit about those deliverables is that essentially what we're

trying to put together is a handbook of sort of data, information, and tools that local managers, community leaders, community planner type folks would find useful in trying to understand some of these threats coming from climate change.

The compliment to that is to -- sort of like these -- we're looking at these as sort of two different handbooks almost. They'll be, you know, in the same final document, but to try to under -- so the first piece is trying to understand what are some of the changes that we face.

 And the second piece, that are what are some of the resources out there. For example, like are there funding processes that could help us monitor for coastal erosion or are there funding processes that could help us address, you know, concerns with our....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can I stop you there for one second, Aaron?

MR. POE: Yeah. Please.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Zach wanted to clarify something here.

Go ahead, Zach.

MR. STEVENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just by point of clarification, I wanted to provide an opportunity for this Council to telephonically over the phone meet Aaron. In our October 2016 meeting, Tim Gervais, Member Gervais had raised a question about whether or not there was any information available on the effects of changes in sea surface temperature, and correct me if I'm wrong, Tim, and that was in the Gulf of Alaska where there was an observation of a ten degree temperature change; is that correct?

MR. GERVAIS: Yes. Around Kodiak

MR. STEVENSON: Kodiak Island. Thank you. Thank you, Tim.

So that -- yeah, so an observed ten

Island.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

29 30 31

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47 Page 298

degree temperature around Kodiak Island. And following that point, the question was, you know, what would those effects of those changes on subsistence resources and having to draw the connection back to -- from the RAC back to Aaron, over the past four years I've had the privilege of working through Aaron through my role on the Steering Committee of the Arctic LCC, our neighboring LCC, and tried to help be an advocate for using science to help address the concerns of traditional knowledge holders, subsistence users, about how can we understand better the effects of climate change on subsistence users and with that information advocate for resource management in a way on -- in this case on Federal public lands that is response to the changes that are being seen both by traditional knowledge holders, subsistence users -- those around the table -- and by researchers.

So that's the connection here.

And my hope is that through this dialogue that if the Council is interested that through working with Aaron and other members of the LCC network that this becomes a means for sharing of information. And like this initiative that Aaron was describing, this coastal resilience project, if there were other initiatives that would be of interest to this group that this relationship might help to promote future information exchange.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Aaron, we have a lot of agenda here....

MR. POE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: ....and I want to shorten this up to real short and sweet.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I want to know can you, you know, for our fall meeting make a concise document that we can look at, discuss a possible project in our Region. But I don't have a lot of time to go over the whole coastal erosion aspect of previous.....

48 49 50

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473 MR. POE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I do know that there is probably -- what the question was -- and that Council Member Gervais asked, did sea surface temperatures or surface temp, water temperatures affect fisheries. That's kind of the question the Council. I do think that, you know, we may want to talk about a project in our Region, but I don't have time for that at this meeting.

I would prefer to have that with a document in front of us. And with answering that question in some way, shape or form, and also with a possible proposal.

Can you respond.

2.0

MR. POE: Yes. Sure, Mr. Chair. And so I could, first, I guess I would say so the products from this project I was describing, those will be available in the fall. So our finished product will be available both online and hard copy and then also on a data stick.

2.8

That specific question of the effects of, you know, sort of water temperatures on fisheries is the huge, you know, multi-million dollar that a lot of folks are working on. I could come prepared in the fall to try and -- and actually might, you know, bring a colleague or something who's working on that question more specifically, you know, if that would be of interest to you guys and have them share out the latest of what's known on that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. That's basically what your question was, Tim. And so if we could -- you may not have to travel to a meeting, if you could just call in, and if we had a -- that data teased out and if you thought that there was a project in our Region, we could discuss that. But that's futuristic at this point.

MR. POE: Okay. Yeah. Absolutely. I would be happy to return to you guys with that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And, what, you want to state that on the record there, Zach.

```
MR. STEVENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 1
 2
     For point of clarification, do we need a call for a
 3
     vote on that?
 4
 5
                     MR. KRON:
                                (Shakes head negatively)
 6
 7
                     MR. STEVENSON:
                                     No, we do not, okay.
 8
     Thank you.
                 I think we're good, Jack.
                                             Thank you.
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
10
                                        Yeah.
                                                I think we're
     good. I'm sorry I had to cut you down a little bit
11
     there, but....
12
13
14
                     MR. SPINDLER:
                                    Jack.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: ..... got the
     overview -- Mike Spindler. But I got the overview of
17
     where you were going with that.
18
19
2.0
                     Mike Spindler's going to step up to the
     mic -- the microphone and speak also.
21
22
                     MR. POE:
23
                               Okay.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Stand by.
26
                               Thank you, Mr. Chair.
27
                     MR. POE:
     Thanks, all.
2.8
29
                     MR. SPINDLER: I'll make this very
30
     quick, Mr. Chair. You did express a desire to see a
31
32
     document in front of you with an ongoing LCC project.
33
     And so in my other life besides Refuge Manager, I'm the
     U.S. Co-Chair of the Northwest Boreal LCC Steering
34
     Committee.
35
36
                     Briefly, it's a similar LCC like Aaron
37
     Poe was describing, but for the Boreal Forest area of
38
     Alaska and Western Canada. So we extend from the limit
39
     of tree growth near the Yukon Delta and Kotzebue all
40
     the way east to the Northwest Territories in Canada.
41
     We include the whole Yukon River Drainage.
42
43
                     We have about 30 partners.
44
45
                     We're equally divided between Canada
46
     and Alaska. We have a Canada co-chair as well.
47
48
     represent the Fish and Wildlife Service Refuges and a
     lot of Alaska issues to the Steering Committee.
49
50
```

This is just an example of one product that the Northwest Boreal was working with to help BLM with this land planning project. And so if you look at the map in front of you, it's just a modeling project looking at important areas to connect between existing conservation system units.

Just one example of the kind of tool that scientists can provide groups like yourself or groups like BLM that are working on lands plans. What this means is that if climate changes quite a bit, it gives space for the animals to move from the established conservation units from one to another. Those yellow areas on the map on the right are the --what's called an enduring features climate envelope. And that's big words for saying a place where the animals can move if the climate changes.

2.0

So that's just real quickly and briefly a project that Northwest Boreal worked on to work closely with BLM on their land use plan.

Any questions.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Questions for Mike

 Spindler.

Darrel.

MR. VENT: This one thing -- you know, this climate change we've been noticing that our habitat in our area is not -- they're not the same as it was a long time ago. It changed because of this warming. So we have different kind of shrubs and everything versus where we notice that the population of moose out toward the coast, they're having a lot more increase and we're having decreases. So I just kind of want that noted in there.

 MR. SPINDLER: One thing if you look at -- and this relates to your strong feelings about the PL land withdrawal in the Corridor, if you connect the area between Gates of the Arctic National Park and Arctic Refuge with a small part of the Corridor, you're actually connecting from all of Northern Yukon all the way to the Bering Sea across the Brooks Range. And so that gives a lot of space for animals to move if the climate changes.

Likewise, if you connect the area 1 2 between Yukon Flats and Kanuti, including the watersheds, you give a lot more space for animals to 3 adapt. If water temperatures rise fish can move 4 5 upstream to higher elevations and things like that. 6 7 That's the kind of product the LCC can 8 work on with groups like yourself and other agencies. We're a group of -- like I said, about 30 partners. 9 Half are NGOs, non-government organizations, for 10 example, Ducks Unlimited, Wildlife Conservation 11 Society. The others are government or academic. 12 13 14 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I can appreciate the forethought in this planning process on, 15 you know, what's going to happen if -- like that lady 16 17 was up here yesterday telling us that the brush grew up so thick the caribou couldn't even travel in the Upper 18 East Fork of the Chandalar Drainage. And I hadn't 19 2.0 heard about that type of barrier through shrubification. 21 22 So I appreciate this type of work 23 though. 24 25 Thanks, Mike. 26 27 And so we can go for a short break here 2.8 for about 15 minutes. We've got a lot of agenda. 29 30 We've got to back here at about 1:30 -- or correction -- 3:35. 31 32 33 (Off record) 34 35 (On record) 36 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tom. 37 38 Okay. Mr. Chair, Member of 39 MR. KRON: the Council, Zach made sure you had a copy of this. 40 And because of the press of the agenda I'm going to go 41 over very, very quickly. 42 43 44 The one page, the front page covers 45 some staffing changes that we wanted you to be aware And I'm not going to mention any names, but again 46 47 there's been a little bit of change there. 48

49 50 And then quickly on the back page, on

non-rural policy the Board in the January meeting, which Jack listened in on from teleconference, they adopted a rural policy — a non-rural determination policy. And the next call for proposals to change rural status for any communities anywhere in Alaska will be announced with a call for during the fisheries proposal cycle next year. So January of 2018.

And then quickly jumping down there to the Fisheries Monitoring Program, the call for proposals opened in late November. It closed the first part of this week. So again we haven't, I haven't gotten any updates on it all at this point. The Regional Councils will receive information about the ranked proposals this coming fall, when you meet this fall.

And again there's more information there, but that's all I've got for just summary given the press of the agenda.

You can read the rest if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Does anybody have questions for Tom on the OSM presentation.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't see any. So thanks so much, Tom.

MR. KRON: Okay. Mr. Chair, the next item on the agenda was to talk about the MOU between the Board and the State. All the Councils reviewed a draft at their fall meeting cycle last fall and those comments have been incorporated.

Also, we've gotten comments in from the State, from a number of the Advisory Committees and those are being incorporated and addressed. And again I'm not sure exactly what the status is at this time, but the intent is to get all that stuff incorporated into this draft.

 The reception on the State side has been a lot more positive than it was, you know, four or five years ago. But again we're in process and we'll keep you posted.

Thank you. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I have been 4 encouraged by the Board of Game coming to the Federal 5 Subsistence Board and the seemingly willingness of the State to move back towards working with the Federal 6 program again. It kind of wobbles in and out. 7 wobbled way far away for a while with brief trains at 8 9 the Federal Subsistence Board meeting in 2007, and now the State is coming back. And we need to work 10 together. This is a co-manage -- and I'm hoping that 11 the State has finally realized Federal Subsistence 12 13 Management is not going away anytime soon, so we've got to work together. And the closer we can work together 14 and -- that's why Stewart Cogswell's, you know, working 15 with the State. This is very important. So I'm real 16 17 happy to see that the State has been more congenial on the MOU. 18 19 20 Go ahead, Tom. 21 22 MR. KRON: Yeah, Mr. Chair. I'll just follow up on what you just commented on. At the 23 January Board of Fish or January Federal Subsistence 24 25 Board meeting we had both the chair of the Board of Game and the vice-chair at the meeting, and they sat 26 through most of the first day. So they were there and 27 there was a lot of really good discussion, you know, 2.8 during the breaks around that meeting. But it was 29 30 obvious they were real interested and it was real encouraging to see. 31 32 33 Thank you. 34 35 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. I was on conference call. I didn't realize they were there. 36 thought they stepped in and said something and then 37 took off. But I'm glad they were there watching. 38 good. Glad to hear that. 39 40 41 Any final questions. 42 43 (No comments) 44 45 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: OSM presentation. Thanks a lot, Tom. 46 47

48

49 50 And so we're we....

1 MR. STEVENSON: Review of Alaska Native 2 Relations Policy.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. And.....

MR. STEVENSON: Pardon me.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We -- oh, Hollis.

Hello Hollis.

lot of years.

MR. STEVENSON: Pardon me. Pardon me, Mr. Chair. We have Hollis to address that issue.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. There he is right there. Yeah. Good to see you again, Hollis.

MR. TWITCHELL: Hi, Jack. It's been a

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah.

MR. TWITCHELL: Jack and I worked together on the Western Interior Council for about 15 years, so I know Jack and Ray very well from that timeframe. It's been a long time. So if I can just give my -- a little bit of introduction about who I am and why I'm so happy to be here, that might be enlightening for some of you.

My mother was a Sami reindeer herder. She was born in Napaimiut, in the lower end of your Region. My father is Ben Twitchell and he was born at Flat. My grandparents were Adams Hollis Twitchell and Irene Kochek (ph). They were reindeer herders. They herded reindeer out of Flat until they mined through the community of Flat and then moved the herd into the Takotna Hills and Iditarod Hills. Between those Drainages for a number of years until 1939, when they sold their herd and when the Reindeer Act was passed at that point.

 Myself, I grew up in Takotna. As a young boy for a number of years, and I consider this particular region home in many ways, both family and historically. I worked at Denali for 15 years with the Subsistence Resource Commission as the Parks Cultural and Subsistence Manager and that's how I got to know Ray so well and Jack. So I'm very honored to be here with you today and I really enjoyed all of your

49 50 MS. BRYANT: Uh-huh. (Affirmative)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Good. 1 2 MS. BRYANT: So what I am sharing this 3 afternoon is the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Draft Alaska 4 5 Native Relations Policy. And you all should have a 6 copy of that, there's two copies. 7 So one is the U.S. Fish and Wildlife 8 9 Service National Native American Policy. This policy was signed January of 2016 and applies the nation and 10 is specific to our relationship with Federally 11 recognized Tribe. 12 13 The second document is a draft of the 14 15 companion policy for Alaska. It is titled the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Alaska Native Relations 16 Policy. The two documents are meant to be side by 17 side. It is difficult to define terms and gain full 18 complex of the draft Alaska policy without the Native 19 20 American policy nearby to reference. 21 22 There are two reasons we need an Alaska specific policy as companion to the Native American 23 24 policy. 25 One reason is because of subsistence. 26 27 MR. TWITCHELL: So this one would be 2.8 the national policy that's signed and completed. 29 30 has the feather on the front. The one that's before you for consideration is the one that's marked draft 31 across the top. That's the Alaska Native Relations 32 33 Policy. 34 35 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: They signed it at --36 January 20 at 6:00 o'clock in the morning. 37 38 (Laughter) 39 MR. TWITCHELL: 40 It was a long day. 41 42 (Laughter) 43 MR. TWITCHELL: And you do realize that 44 45 there were 16 tribes from across the nation involved in drafting that particular document over two and a half 46

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

47

48

49 50

> Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

years. So this was tribal consultation at its best from the very beginning of development of that 20-year

policy all the way through till the signing by the

```
director last January.
 2
 3
                     Thank you.
 4
 5
                     MS. BRYANT: So one reason is because
 6
     of the subsistence.
                         Subsistence is a provision in the
 7
     Alaska National Interest Land Conservation Act, in the
     Marine Mammal Protection Act, in the Migratory Bird
 8
     Treaty Act, and in the Endangered Species Act.
 9
     of the acts are specific to Alaska Native people and
10
     therefore we have a need to describe responsibility to
11
     use Fish and Wildlife Service employee under them.
12
13
                     The second reason is because we are
14
15
     directed by law to work with Native entities in
     addition to working with the Tribal government.
16
17
     became a need to describe our relationship with Alaska
     Native organization into Alaska Native Claim Settlement
18
     Act Corporation (Alaska Native Corporation for short).
19
2.0
     Example of Alaska Native organizations include the
     Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, the Eskimo
21
     Walrus Commission in the Regional Native Non-Profit
22
     like Tanana Chief Conference and Association of Village
23
     Council and CATG.
2.4
25
                     This draft Alaska Native Relations
26
     Policy gives guidance to our employees for their
27
     responsibilities and opportunity for relationship to
2.8
     tribes, Alaska Native Organization, and Alaska Native
29
30
     Corporation. The section directly correspond to the
     Native American policy, including introduction in the
31
32
     following section.
33
34
                     Two, sovereignty and government to
35
     government relations.
36
                     Three, communication and relationship.
37
38
39
                     Four, resource management.
40
41
                     Five, culture/religion.
42
43
                     Six, law enforcement.
44
45
                     Seven, Tribal capacity building,
     assistance, and funding.
46
47
48
                     Eight, implementation and monitoring.
49
```

Darrel.

1 2 3

MR. VENT: You mentioned that these are -- this is going to be put together with the input of corporations. And I'd like to know who do you have giving you this information here. Alaska Native Organizations.

 MR. TWITCHELL: Through the Chair. We had a total of nine Tribal representatives that joined up with Crystal Leonetti and two of the Tribal representatives that were on the national plan. So we had those two national plan representatives, which were Patty Schwallenberg, Executive Director Chugach Regional Resources Commission, and Will Micklin from the Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes. They were representatives on the national plan. They carried over into the Alaska plan.

They were joined by seven other Native representatives from tribes around the State of Alaska. From Wainwright. From Ruby, Don Honea. And Savoonga, Ninilchik, King Cove, Dillingham, and Old Harbor. And then of course Patty's from Anchorage. Those were the Tribal representatives that joined up with four Corporate or Native organization representatives.

So out of those four we had a representative from Kwethluk, Incorporated. We also had a representative from Doyon and representatives from Sealaska. Doyon was Sara Obed and the -- there's a representative from Yakatankwaan and that was Deborah Leonoff. They were joined by nine Refuge employees, including Crystal and myself, and then various representatives from different branches of the Fish and Wildlife Service.

We all worked together for a year and a half. This what you have now is the third draft. It's gone through several different iterations with input from various times when we've went out to tribes and did consulting with them on a one-on-one as this was being developed. We also received comments from corporations from around the State and Native organizations.

The Native organizations that were most involved were from TCC and AVCP, CATG. They've all had an opportunity to look at this early on and have

commented initially to us. The team then took their comments to develop this third draft which was reviewed by Fish and Wildlife Service a week and a half ago.

MS. BRYANT: Uh-huh. (Affirmative)

MR. TWITCHELL: A week and a half ago it was surnamed coming out from an internal Fish and Wildlife review. So we're just now beginning the Tribal consultation, which it's going to be taken now to tribes across the State, to Native corporations across the State, and Native organizations and they'll have an advance opportunity to look at this, supply comments to us prior to it being published in the Federal Register.

clear.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. That's pretty

Go ahead, Dennis.

MR. THOMAS: I thought that this was to be set up without like Calista or Cook Inlet, that these were not supposed to be involved with it. This was supposed to be Tribal to Tribal, government to government. AVCP is not a government and neither is Calista and neither is Sealaska or any of the others. So am I reading something wrong here or what's changed.

MR. TWITCHELL: No. You're absolutely correct. If you look into the document in this plan, it -- on page number four, it talks about a law that was passed called the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2004. That particular Act directed the Secretary of the Interior to ensure that Tribal Corporations -- not Tribal Corporations, but village corporations, both village and regional Corporations should be consulted with as well as with tribes. However, there was a different standard in terms of how and what we need to consult with them on.

And I would have you review on page 16 of this document.

MR. THOMAS: I will review it. Now, my wife spent lots of time getting this thing, when it started years ago and this was one of the number one things that came up, like I say, Calista, AVCP, and these places were not tribes. That wasn't government

to government. So somehow or another they got it back in there, I guess.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: 2004 Ted Stevens stuck that in there.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  THOMAS: Well, that was the -- but then they were working on this so that was not involved with it.

MR. TWITCHELL: There's a difference level of requirements on how we consult with corporations versus tribes. This in no way whatsoever changes our trust responsibility with tribes, Federally recognized tribes. And it describes that difference on how we differentiate who and how we consult with when you look at actions that have tribal implications and actions that have ANCSA implications.

2.8

 And so in there it describes in terms of the tribes -- let's start with them first. It says that any regulation, rulemaking policy, guidance, legislative proposals, grant funding formula changes or operational activity that may have a substantial effect on a Tribe on matters including, but not limited to, Tribal cultural practices, lands, resources or access to traditional areas of cultural and religious importance on Federally managed lands. The ability of a Tribe to govern or provide services to its members, a Tribe's formal relationship with the Service, and the consideration of the Service's trust responsibility to Indian Tribes. That's quite -- that has not changed. That is what our duty and responsibilities are and that has been in no way diminished whatsoever.

 For Native Corporations this is what the Secretary has directed us to do, including the Federal Subsistence Program as well. It says actions which have ANCSA implications. Any Service regulation, rulemaking, policy, guidance, legislative proposals, grant funding formula changes or operational activity that may have a substantial direct effect on ANCSAs, including any activity that may substantially affect ANCSA land, waters or resources and any activity may impact the ability of ANCSA to participate in Service programs to which it qualifies.

There is a significant difference between the two mandatory requirements on how we need

to consult with Federally recognized tribes versus how we consult with corporations. So they are not really the same. There's a very high standard bar that requires us to be consulting with tribes as government to government entities and we have trust responsibilities that the Agency is required to uphold.

So that's kind of where we are making the distinction and that comes down through a policy that's been handed to us from the Secretary of the Interior.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's a Tribal consultation for this Council with various proposals and stuff. The corporations have had little participation in commenting. Are you seeing that staying, same kind of participation and commenting on this draft from the corporations or are they participating?

MR. TWITCHELL: They have an opportunity to comment back to us just.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are they?

MR. TWITCHELL: Yes. They.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, okay.

 MR. TWITCHELL: When this -- when the National policy came out for consultation around the State, it was put out to not only the tribes, all of the Federally recognized tribes in Alaska, but also to the corporations because of our Secretary orders directive coming from the Appropriations Act, with the distinctions between the two.

And so we did garnish an awful lot of comments from corporate entities from around the State.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Darrel's got

44 a question.

Darrel.

MR. VENT: Yes. Mine is concerning that you're stating that there's tribes and

corporations. Well, what consists with tribes that is conflicting to me is non-profit organizations. These are not corporations, which is TCC and these other ones that are -- you guys are -- are you guys getting any information from these non-profit organizations compared to tribes or are they understand as tribes or recognized as tribes?

MR. TWITCHELL: They're not recognized as tribes. However, there's situations where certain tribes under agreement with an organization will allow them to represent their interests. So when we have situations like that -- like the Council of Athabascan Presidents the CA, the Delta non-profit out there, then only if the tribes have delegated their authority to represent their interest to that entity in a formal agreement would we consider those directions from that entity.

2.8

 So if the tribes do not want their represent -- that representation, then they can certainly pull out and that organization wouldn't have that standing. Now, an example of how that worked occurred up on Yukon Flats Refuge where there was a land exchange being proposed in which Doyon wanted to get a more conglomerated land entitlement for oil and gas exploration and development. The tribes across the Flats all submitted resolutions opposing that. The Federal government, the Fish and Wildlife Service ultimately gave deference to the tribes and denied that land exchange through that formal process.

MR. VENT: Yes. One thing I wanted to reflect on. How are you dealing with this land into trust issues coming up.

MR. TWITCHELL: Through the Chair. That's really an excellent question. I actually text Crystal Leonetti, she's the Alaska Region Native Liaison's person and it came up with the team. Other Tribal representatives when working on this plan raised that same question. We have not heard back from the solicitors whether those lands put in trust would be eligible under the OSM's Federal Subsistence Management Programs for fish and wildlife opportunities. That question has not been answered yet and it will need to

Page 315 come from the solicitors. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do you know if 4 there's a change in the solicitors with this 5 Administration or we're going to maintain the same 6 solicitors? I'm concerned who's going to make that determination. 7 8 9 MR. TWITCHELL: That's way above my pay 10 grade. 11 (Laughter) 12 13 14 MR. TWITCHELL: There are some really 15 strange things going on with this new Administration and I'd be hesitant to..... 16 17 18 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Speculate. 19 2.0 (Laughter) 21 22 MR. TWITCHELL: .....to suggest anything. 23 24 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Darrel's question 25 is what I really, really wanted to know is do the trust 26 lands fall under the Federal program and do they have a 27 priority for rural residents, which would be a 2.8 divergence away from State managing for a sport 29 30 interest over a subsistence rural priority. 31 32 They say it's a -- there's a 33 subsistence -- State subsistence law, but that's not 34 really the way this works. 35 36 We do have a lot of agenda here. 37 Any final questions and comments. 38 39 (No comments) 40 41 42 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I do feel that everybody should read this document and make comments. 43 The website would be U.S. Fish and Wildlife website --44 is where you would be able to comment to? Region 7 45 website? 46 47 48 MS. BRYANT: I just found the contact here so I'll pass this around. 49 50

Page 316 1 2 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. You've got 3 it. That would be great. Yeah. 4 5 MS. BRYANT: Okay. (In Native) 6 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. 8 Appreciate it. 9 10 MR. TWITCHELL: Quyana. 11 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. So we're 12 13 going to get that contact information. 14 Get to the Arctic National Park 15 Service. Marcy Okada's going to come and give us a 16 17 briefing. And I'm on the Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission and Marcy's our 18 coordinator. 19 2.0 21 Welcome, Marcy. 22 MS. OKADA: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair. 23 Good afternoon, Council Members. I think Zach had 2.4 handed out one of these to each of the Council Members. 25 26 27 2.8 MR. STEVENSON: Bear with me just a 29 moment, Marcy. 30 31 (Pause) 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Marcy. 34 35 MS. OKADA: For the record, my name is 36 Marcy Okada. I'm the Subsistence Coordinator for Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. And I'm just 37 going to quickly go over this update with you. Feel 38 free to ask questions as you have them. 39 40 41 A new Federal regulation for dall sheep 42 hunting in Units 24A and B within Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve requires Federal 43 registration permits to be issued to residents of 44 45 Wiseman, Bettles, and Evansville. This past season the National Park Service issued ten permits in the 46 47 community of Wiseman and no permits were issued in Bettles or Evansville. 48 49 50

Under the new regulation the Park Service will be working with communities of Allakaket and Alatna to collect sheep harvest information. So we're not issuing permits to residents of Allakaket and Alatna, but we will be working with those two communities to collect sheep harvest information more likely through the Tribal Council.

A Hughes subsistence harvest report has been finalized. This was a National Park Service funded project that was conducted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Subsistence and there's copies of this report. Hughes is a resident zoned community of Gates of the Arctic and it's the final community to be surveyed. We try to collect more recent harvest survey data, comprehensive harvest survey information. That data is used to inform entities such as the Federal Subsistence Board and the Board of Game when it comes to regulations being formalized.

2.8

Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission met on November 15th, 2016 in Fairbanks. The Commission provided comments on Arctic and Western Region Board of Game proposals and received updates from National Park Service Management Staff on natural and cultural resources. We're working to organize the next meeting which should be scheduled for sometime this spring.

And then lastly I'm going to give you an Ambler Mining District industrial access project update. The Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority filed an application for a road right-of-way across Gates of the Arctic National Preserve in accordance with ANILCA 201, section 4. On July 29th, 2016 the National Park Service notified AIDEA. The information in the application was sufficient and the National Park Service would proceed with the permitting process.

Although the Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project is for a road from the Dalton Highway to the Mining District, the National Park Service permitting process will only consider the portion of the project which crosses the Kobuk River unit within Gates of the Arctic National Preserve. The permit application proposed two alternatives for consideration. A northern route and a southern route

across the Preserve. Either section is about 20 to 22 miles.

National Park Service is now starting to prepare an environmental and economic analysis which will help decide which route the National Park Service will recommend for the right-of-way.

The Bureau of Land Management is the lead agency in preparation of an environmental impact statement which will evaluate impacts of the entire length of the road. The EIS process will include public scoping meetings and the National Park Service will consider information gathered in that process.

National Park Service will schedule village consultation meetings for the EEA in the near future and for more information, please contact our superintendent, Greg Dudgeon.

Any questions.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Questions for Marcy.

Go ahead, Darrel.

2.8

 MR. VENT: I noticed that you took some surveys. Now this was also reflected by Pollock that, you know, we traditionally used to come up to the area to hunt the sheep. That was, you know, just a note that -- you know, that we were -- you know, we did this before. I'm sure some people do go up with friends and, you know, hunt, but I don't think it is recorded, you know, it's -- they don't have the data on that, so I just let you know.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: When the parks were made in 1980, the Park Service established resident zoned communities that had customary and traditional use within the park. That was in the Park Legislation.

The Park Service dropped several communities. They kind of missed Wiseman and they -- I think there were some other communities that had to petition the Park Service and said hold it. You forgot us. We've hunted in the park -- I'm six -- I almost can throw a rock and hit the park. So I don't know how

they missed us.

1 2 3

And they missed Huslia, but they -Huslia didn't petition. And so you had to petition
early in the process. And so that happened in 1980,
'81 we were petitioning and the Park Service -- you
know, they -- well, that's what we did. So then we had
to get a hold of like our representatives, Stevens or
somebody -- and they were like no, no. Hold it.
That's not what the legislation says and so the
legislation was fresh in the minds of the delegation,
but Huslia got dropped through the cracks. That's
basically what happened.

2.8

I do know that there are relatives from Hughes that Huslia people go up to Hughes. They go up into the park. I don't think the Park Service is going to get bent out of shape, but they just need to know what kind of harvest is going on in the park. And the harvest is important because it shows use of the resource and so that's why this new regulation for dall sheep -- this community harvest gathering collection for Allakaket, Alatna was part of the proposal. It was a Federal proposal last round.

And so I'm going to be -- I do know that sheep were taken out of Allakaket and Alatna this year. And I'm -- this is very important because when proposals come before the Federal Subsistence Board they have no idea. If there's no data they have no idea and it's best if they know what is actually occurring and so there is subsistence use. It's at very low, low levels. Way within the sustainability of the sheep population.

Other questions for Marcy on the National Park Service Gates of the Arctic National Park.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seeing none. Thanks so much, Marcy.

MS. OKADA: Mr. Chair, I just want to address Mr. Vent's question.

I know from past projects we included Huslia because we recognize Hughes and Huslia are

Page 320 sister communities and despite the fact that Huslia's 2 not a resident zoned community of Gates of the Arctic, we have tried to include Huslia. 3 4 5 For instance, Dr. Annette Watson's project, she's looking at trading routes between the 6 Upper Kobuk and the Koyukuk Region. And she has 7 included Huslia. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh. I'm glad to 10 hear that. And my question would be has there been any 11 SRC members come back on our spring meeting in Wiseman, 12 13 you know, that was the email chain last week. Are we still on for a meeting this spring? 14 15 MS. OKADA: So we are shooting for an 16 17 April meeting in Wiseman for our SRC meeting. It just has to be posted in the Federal Register and we're 18 working on putting that notice out. There's been new 19 2.0 information from Washington, D.C. that kind of prolongs that process and so we are working on advertising that 21 22 meeting. 23 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Did we get members 2.4 25 that agreed to meeting in Wiseman though? 26 MS. OKADA: Yes. 27 2.8 29 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 30 31 MS. OKADA: There's been agreement. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. All right. Thanks so much. 34 35 36 Zach is giving me sidebar things here. 37 We've addressed these proposals -38 Yes. 39 - Board of Game proposals. We've done that. 40 41 So we are coming down to BLM. Erin and Bruce. Are you also going to be giving a report, 42 43 Bruce, I just see Erin on this thing here. 44 45 MR. SEPPI: (Nods affirmatively) 46 47 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. You should 48 both come up to the table at the same time in case we get cross over. BLM is BLM. 49

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah. That's why I put the map on the front of this report.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right.

MS. JULIANUS: So this is the Central Yukon Field Office Report, which everyone should have.

(Pause)

MS. JULIANUS: Okay. Yeah. For the record, my name is Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist for the BLM Central Yukon Field Office. And like I said, I'll be giving the report for the Central Yukon first and then Bruce will follow with the Anchorage Field Office Report.

2.0

And just a recap of our boundary. I know it's difficult because BLM lands are scattered all over. The Central Yukon Field office includes all of the BLM lands upriver essentially Kaltag and everything below and including the Kuskokwim would be managed out of the Anchorage Field Office.

2.8

 So for planning, I know we've been talking about the Central Yukon Resource Management Plan a lot. We can certainly talk about it more if the Council wishes, but I did want to follow up with just a couple of things after Tim's presentation yesterday. I have been trying at past RAC meetings to provide hard copies of the various reports that we've been publishing, scoping reports in the areas of critical environmental concern report. These are all posted on our website. But certainly if anyone wants hard copies, please, please let me know.

Right now as Tim said we're in the middle of public outreach meetings for these draft alternative concepts. As of right now, we've gone to Koyukuk, Galena, Tanana. We had a really, really good meeting in Allakaket. We went to Ruby. And we were scheduled to go to Anaktuvuk, but that meeting got cancelled, I believe it's going to be rescheduled though, I don't know the date on that. And then we went to Lake Minchumina.

And the meetings that we have left in this round of public meetings are -- Fairbanks will be

on the 27th, so I encourage everybody here in Fairbanks to attend that meeting. And we'll be going to Venetie and then Wiseman on March 2nd. Nenana on the 9th. then yeah, like I said, Anaktuvuk should be rescheduled.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

1 2

3 4

> One thing that Tim didn't really -- I don't think he touched on this but one thing that, you know, the managers and, you know, kind of the higher ups with this plan really are pushing for is government to government consultation on the plan. And so I encourage -- I know that they're -- I think they've -they're really close to getting a signed agreement with Allakaket and I think they're working on it with Ruby as well. But certainly, you know, Galena. They'd be really interested in establishing, you know, those official government to government relationships.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

Tim also did want me to mention that, you know, with the RMP updates of course all of the deadlines and things are posted online. But if there's anything that I can do or he can do to report directly to the, or communicate better with the Council as far as deadlines, you know, please let me know.

24 25 26

That's it for planning.

27 2.8

> 29 30

31

32 33

For recreation we have our standard -just our standard updates with the number of special recreation permits we've issued over the past year. Special recreation permits are for things like commercial hunting guides or tour operators. We have a number of tour operators going up and down the Dalton Highway.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

So this year the BLM -- or in 2016 the BLM permitted 22 special recreation permits within the Western Interior RAC Region. Of the 22 permits, 12 SRP holders, special recreation permit holders are commercial hunting guides and ten are tour operators. Five of the quides are permitted to operate in or near the Dalton Highway Corridor with sheep, moose, and brown bear being their primary focus. And last year in 2016, of the five permitted guides, two actively hunted sheep on BLM lands in GUA 2403. And then we have seven that essentially operate outside the Corridor.

46 47 48

And it was a busy year at the visitor's center up in Coldfoot, as it always is. Have visitor

stats in the report that you can review. And I think I said this at the last meeting, but we've had a -- there's been a marked increase in winter travel and winter visitors and tourists up the Dalton and so we're kind of trying to adjust and address some of the challenges associated with that.

For realty we have a number of course ongoing right-of-way projects within the Utility Corridor for fiber optic lines. And the details of that are in the report.

The Bettles winter road right-of-way grant will expire in 2018 and so we're doing an environmental assessment right now to renew that right-of-way. This Council had expressed concerns in the past with, you know, maintaining access for Allakaket and we've -- or Bettles and Allakaket, Evansville, Alatna -- and I've made sure that those comments were heard.

So I think the....

2.8

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: One moment. When I was in Huslia when we were discussing that at the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee there was people in Huslia that were like oh, I was going to go get a Snow Go and bring that in over that -- drive in over that road. So there's a fairly wide range of people that utilize that access road to go down the Koyukuk River to -- because freight for a Snow Go is like unbelievable to fly it into a village. So a lot of people will buy Snow Gos in town. Get them driven up with somebody's pickup and take them in on the Bettles Road and then go down the river. Just supplementary to that discussion.

Go ahead.

 MS. JULIANUS: So for mining, like Tim said yesterday we have 45 to 50 operations. Placer mining operations primarily in the Central Yukon field office, most of which are along the Dalton Highway. And it seems like we're chronically losing Staff in the mining program, so we lost one employee this past year but we were able to hire another before the Federal hiring freeze.

So right now, kind of in the winter,

early spring, we've gotten a number of plan revisions that have been submitted to the BLM and we're doing reviews associated with that before the 2017 summer mining season.

For sand and gravel, permitting sand and gravel is of course an ongoing workload in the field office along the Dalton Highway, so this year DOT is going to be resurfacing Dalton Highway -- the Dalton Highway between Milepost 209 and 235. And there are several mineral material site locations proposed for use as part of this project.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That project is actually occurring this summer?

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah. Scheduled.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh. I had heard that the bid hadn't gone out on the project, so I'll have to check on that one.

Thank you.

2.8

MS. JULIANUS: For wildlife, let's see. This wasn't in the report, but I did want to mention that we did not -- we were not able to complete the Middle Fork moose trend count this due to the plan. I was actually -- we had a number of meetings associated with the plan scheduled right during that time period, but we do intend to do it this year. And I think that project was the only casualty of the plan as far as like missed field work.

So -- but yeah. It was not.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There was hardly any

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You would have had a bad survey.

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah. I was able to go out to the Koyukuk River's check station this fall. And this was the second year that I've done that. And spending time on the Lower River is really educational for me and I really, really enjoy going out there.

 snow anyways.

should have brought that with me. I think that we counted I want to say 500 in the Hodzanas and 600 in the Rays.

3 4

1 2

> CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Only six?

5 6 7

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah. Uh-huh.

(Affirmative)

8 9 10

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hmm.

11 12

13

MS. JULIANUS: I think we were missing about 100 -- maybe 100 animals out of each herd. But....

14 15 16

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

17 18

19 2.0

21

22

MS. JULIANUS: And then subsistence permits, I went up to Wiseman this past July and issued Federal permits, and once again I'm always grateful for 100 percent reporting on that. And Marcy and I were talking about hopefully coordinating our efforts this next summer with permitting.

23 24 25

26

27

2.8

29

30

31

32

For ecology, this is the second year that the BLM is doing an experimental herbicide application on a small bird vetch infestation in a closed gravel pit. And I think that -- I don't remember, I think that that's going to go on one more year. And then this is -- of course this treatment is part of the Dalton Management Area integrated invasive plant strategy plan environmental assessment, which was signed in 2013.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

For fisheries and hydrology, our fisheries guys -- you know, a lot of their time is spent associated with working on mine plans and collecting data associated with water quality. In 2017 the Central Yukon Fisheries Staff will continue its efforts to document habitat conditions on plaster mine streams within the Dalton Highway Corridor by monitoring water quality on Davis Creek and Gold Creek.

46

47

48

And then the only other thing I'll mention is under wildfire. The Central Yukon Field Office is considering potential revisions to the fire management options near Coldfoot and Wiseman as part of standard practice. And I think that right now, what I

was told was that right now the lands in the immediate vicinity of Coldfoot and Wiseman are designated as full and so there's a proposal to change that from full to critical. So to give it the maximum protection under the fire management options. And this revision would affect BLM State and private lands.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

1 2

3

4 5

> CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I wanted to state on that there's more and more people keep coming. And I'm becoming more and more concerned about people inadvertently causing wildfires. And we're in second growth and our forest has grown fairly close to our houses and stuff in Wiseman. So I've been cutting down trees around my house, but I am still worried.

14 15 16

17

18 19

2.0

21

People are concerned when -- in 2005 there was a huge fire that was coming up the valley and fire service stopped that at -- just at Cathedral Mountain down river. But as more and more people come in the summertime that becomes more and more of a concern about that. And so I'm glad to hear that we're under critical. I appreciate that.

22 23 24

Thank you.

25 26

27

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah. And I think with that, I can maybe take questions before we go on to the Anchorage report.

2.8 29 30

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any questions for Central Yukon Field Office presentation.

31 32 33

(No comments)

34 35

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I think you covered it pretty good there.

36 37 38

Go ahead, Bruce.

39 40

41

42

MR. SEPPI: Mr. Chair, Members of the Council. I'm Bruce Seppi with Anchorage Field Office BLM. I'm a wildlife biologist and subsistence coordinator for Anchorage Field Office.

43 44 45

46 47

48

I just wanted to touch a little bit on our land use plan. We had talked about it earlier. As you know, Bering Sea Western Interior is happening at the same time as Central Yukon's plan, we're a little bit ahead. Overall, we've got over 13 million acres of

BLM land, managed by BLM within that BSWI area and this plan started in 2013. We originally had 180 days scoping where we went out to the villages. Not every village, but I believe 15 villages within the planning area and got -- had public meetings and Tribal consultations.

Then in 2014 we had an ACEC nomination period that happened for 120 days. That was closed and a report has been written, but we still continue to get ACEC nominations in so -- and we're -- we allow that. In fact, right up until the end of the plan and until assigned, we'll still take ACEC nominations. Up to this point we have I believe 18.

2.0

In the meantime, we've been working on the alternatives. In 2015 we worked on the preliminary alternatives and then actually took that out to some communities for a 120-day public comment period. Had open houses and tribal consultations. And then Staff and cooperating agencies like Fish and Game and Fish and Wildlife Service refined those alternatives at meetings in Anchorage up until last fall, October 2016.

2.8

So for this upcoming year we plan to draft the -- or to prepare a draft RMP and then take that out to a 90-day public comment period where there will be public meetings and Tribal consultations. And also at the same time we'll do ANILCA .810 hearings when we go out with the draft.

That requires a notice in the public Federal Register. Right now that is closed. And that's a wildcard. We don't know when that's going to open or what's going to happen. It's likely going to delay it but it will open eventually. And so right now this year is when we plan to bring -- have that draft together and bring it out to the public.

So we're working with a contractor. Staff is not writing it directly, but we're heavily involved with a contractor named AECOM and they're helping actually write it and then we comment on it. So it's this back and forth and it's a very good relationship where Staff isn't buried in planning along with all their other things. And AECOM writes the document and then we go back and forth with edits on it. So it helps keep us on track and allows Staff to

be involved with the planning and still do all the rest of their job responsibilities.

So I'll leave it to the end if there's specific BSWI plan questions, but that's really all about it I have right now.

As far as Staff changes, our field manager Alan Bittner has moved on. Went down to Northern California or Redding, California as their district manager. We have hired someone in his place already, her name is Bonnie Million. She's going to be up here March 6th. She's right now in Grants Pass, Oregon, as a field manager and will be moving up here. She has a lot of experience with the Park Service in Alaska, so she's not completely new to Alaska. I don't know her personally, but a lot of people on our Staff have worked with her in the Park Service and so I'm real excited to have her come on board and I'll be sure to get her out here on the next meeting to introduce you to her. She'll be here March 6th.

I was wondering, is Mark Spencer online

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you there, Mark

Spencer?

with BLM?

(No comments)

MR. SEPPI: Just was wondering. I had briefly started talking about Donlin Gold and where we're at with that and he was actually in a cooperative meeting with them last week and he might have a little bit better information. But right now, last winter we went out to communities to public hearings and had --with -- AECOM is also the contractor with Donlin Gold, the lead agencies, the Corps of Engineers, and BLM and Fish and Wildlife Services are cooperating agencies and Fish and Game.

That draft is being worked on and there's been a lot of changes in a lot of portions of that. And AECOM or the Corps of Engineers hasn't let BLM know whether they're going to move on with a new draft and take it back out to the public or are they going to just push on and go with a final. They haven't told us that yet. And maybe Mark had that information, but I don't, they've kept it very tight

and haven't told us anything.

1 2 3

At any rate, we are -- just this last week we're having meetings with them, interAgency or cooperative agency meetings with them, the first we've had since the draft was out last winter. So I guess it's just to stay tuned and see what happens with that.

If they go out with a draft or with a new -- with a final on that, there's been a lot of changes to it about how they treat water, various things on barging and that sort of thing. Even changes in some of the alternatives, proposed alternatives so I would be surprised if they were able to go right to a draft with all these -- a lot of changes. But we'll just have to stay tuned because they're not telling us either. And that's about where Donlin stands.

 The only other thing is that the Iditarod is starting very soon. Next March 4th there's a ceremonial start in Anchorage and it starts downtown Anchorage and ends up right at BLM Anchorage Field Office, our 730 acre compound. Those -- all the mushers from Iditarod come there and then they put their dogs in the trucks and head to Fairbanks.

So if you happen to be in Anchorage and want to see all the Iditarod dogs, come out to BLM because every one of them will be there Saturday, March 4th.

(Laughter)

MR. SEPPI: Any questions. That's all

36 I have. 

ahead.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Darrel, go

MR. VENT: Yes. I just wanted to get an idea of what you're talking about here. This subject came up with Wayne with YRDFA and he did -- he compiled some information from around our area, but I don't know what happened, whether it was -- all the information was not approved or -- I don't know what was going on there. I don't know if you have information on that.

1 MR. SEPPI: You'll have to clarify, Mr. 2 Vent. On our Land Use Plan or....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The question would be for Erin. It's actually -- he's referring to the Huslia area.

MR. SEPPI: Okay.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So it would be the Central Yukon RMP process. The ACEC probably designation for around Huslia. You've reviewed those ACECs that were all submitted. Do you recall the Huslia one?

MS. JULIANUS: Yeah. There were a couple that I know YRDFA was working with the communities on. And they're -- a lot of them -- well, a couple of them were found to not meet the relevance and importance criteria, which is like defined in regulation as being these various specific set of criteria. And so, you know, we as the resource folks reviewing the nomination, we found that they weren't going to fit like in the square box of this defined, you know, relevance and importance criteria.

2.8

So I think that, you know, I don't know where that's left -- or that was left as of now, but I think that the BLM needs -- there was really detailed information provided to YRDFA on these specific lands, but it was kind of distilled into more general mapping and so forth for us -- the information that we were given.

And I think to really be able to justify those -- you know, those nominations we need like the specifics as opposed to the generalities. And I think that that's what -- you know, I don't know where....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You know, I got Laona Dewilde has sent a request to speak to this Council and I see she's got something to do with an ACEC. Do you want to come up to the mic, Laona because I think we're back basically in the same category.

MR. VENT: Yes, Jack. That's Laona.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Laona.

MS. DEWILDE: My name is Laona Dewilde. I grew up in Huslia or outside of Huslia. I'm studying the decline of the salmon population on the Yukon and Kuskokwim River on my PhD.

As far as the area of critical environmental concern I was talking with Wayne Jenkins about that. One of the things that we were talking about is that it's hard for the people in the communities to give exact specific location information about their subsistence use, which if they did I think this would meet the relevance and importance criteria if they gave that information. But there's hesitance to do that because that might be then given to the public and that would interrupt competition for that resource, it's just too much information and specific about how and where they're getting this wild game.

2.0

I was saying maybe there could be some kind of MOA between the Tribe and the Agency where they could share specific information like that, but that's kind of -- it's kept in like a doctor's notes behind the actual memo. And from there the -- that specific information will be behind the categories of land use. That's a little bit more general so that the overall public can't use that information to intrude on the Tribe's resources.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I think that's an excellent idea. And your plan is taking ACECs right up to the end. Your plan is doing the same thing. I think that the tribal consultation is mandated and I feel that the BLM should go into tribal consultation on specifics to the ACEC application because they feel under cultural constraints and for fear of infringement by that information being released that it will affect the subsistence use abilities.

And so I do feel that you can tell Tim that this Council, it is in agreement of the Council that this Council feels that the BLM should open a tribal consultation with a memorandum of understanding with the tribe that those will be held confidential, but so that you can discuss whether it meets the criteria for an ACEC. That's what Laona is actually requesting. And so I agree with that. I think you're exactly right. That's the remedy for that issue.

Would that address what you would like?

Page 333 MS. DEWILDE: Yeah. That was exactly. 1 2 Yeah. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And so I feel 5 that there should be special accommodations for the 6 specific ACEC request. 7 8 So Erin. 9 MS. JULIANUS: Yeah, Mr. Chair. 10 think that that's the direction that it's heading. 11 It's just formalizing, you know, those arrangements. 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, it may not 14 have hit the criteria with the current application, but 15 the criteria could actually be hit if they actually 16 17 added additional information which is reluctantly -not submitted. 18 19 20 So go ahead, Zach. 21 22 MR. STEVENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 I know that this was -- Ms. Dewilde's comment was late in the agenda, but I want to make certain that her 24 interests were read into the record. 25 26 Thank you. 27 2.8 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It was very timely. 29 30 Her in the middle of this. 31 32 So any further comments, questions on 33 the two BLM presentations. 34 35 Darrel. 36 MR. VENT: I just need some 37 clarification. You know, they're trying to gather 38 information here and these lands, you know, like I'm 39 thinking down the road here with the land into trust. 40 That, you know, we haven't really understood what's 41 going on there and if we tie up everything and say this 42 43 is the areas of critical concern, you know, and it comes back on us, we -- you know, we become the land 44 45 users, the resource managers or something and we get our hands tied. Where do we -- are we able to come 46 47 back on this. That's what I'm worried about. 48 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The ACECs are 49

strictly for the BLM lands. They're not the corp lands or the tribal lands, they're strictly the BLM lands. And so those have -- what the ACECs are -- they're going to have certain restrictions on use and those no mining or if it's a salmon habitat. We're talking about salmon it could be no mining or some specific thing on the BLM lands because of its area of critical environmental concern.

It has nothing to do with tribal lands or trust lands. Those are -- you're in control of those lands. This is strictly about the BLM lands and how those designations happened for areas that have specific criteria for meeting areas of critical concern.

MR. VENT: Okay. Then what I'm trying to lead up to is there's -- we are in a complex situation here. We're in a controlled use area that's managed by the State. Okay. And we're on Federal lands. And we have problems with predators.

Now, we can't address the issues if it's only limited to what areas of critical concerns on the BLM lands. I'm just wondering if it's going to tie our hands up. I'm just, you know, trying to see down the road here.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And ACEC would -- I don't -- you know, like when they did that predator program treatment area around Allakaket, the State land, the BLM land, and the private properties were eligible to be treated for predator control -- wolf control. The Refuge lands did not allow that.

So I don't think an ACEC if it's for salmon would preclude predator harv -- you know, if there was a control program it would not - -it has to be specific to what that critical -- area of critical concern. It's about salmon, it's about salmon. It's not about wolves, it's about salmon.

So that's -- you're worried that that's going to be like this park where they won't be able to do anything. That's not what an ACEC -- it's specific.

And you could get more defined with that, Bruce, but I think I've....

MR. SEPPI: I can. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

MR. SEPPI: Well, I can't give you a perfect definition of what an ACEC is, but I can tell you that as a Staff member that has to go through this relevance and importance criteria, a lot of these 20-some nominations we got, a lot of them were just based on a village's hunting and trapping areas.

And when I looked at that, I thought yeah. Those are really important areas for those villages. But when you run them through the land use planning relevance and importance criteria, it comes out really relevant, but the criteria we're stuck with, it comes out as not important. Because something like a moose or trapping areas are not unique. If there was something that was unique just to this area, it would be relevant and important.

So we're stuck with not being able to take subsistence use areas and designate them as ACECs because they don't fit that criteria. And I really hate telling people their subsistence use areas aren't important, but it's the importance criteria within the confines of the land use planning.

So what we're doing with BSWI -- and I'm not sure what Central Yukon is doing -- is we're trying to -- outside of the ACEC nomination process we're trying to recognize important subsistence areas. And in the long run that will be more protective and carry more weight than any of these ACECs will.

I think because of it's name, Area of Critical Environmental Concern, people think nothing can happen in there. And that couldn't be farther from the truth. Mining is allowed. All of our resource extraction is allowed. It just looks at the important resources in there and tries to mitigate impacts. But stuff still happens in those things. Don't be fooled by that.

So, you know, we're -- by recognizing important subsistence use areas is going to gain you a heck of a lot more than an ACEC is.

MR. GERVAIS: Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So Bruce, you're saying there's a special designation specifically called critical subsistence use areas?

MR. SEPPI: Well, it's something we -- I struggled with this as a Staff member to have all these villages come in and say these are really -- we nominate this area as an ACEC because this village hunts and traps and gathers wood there and I'm thinking yeah, that's exactly what we're looking for. But you run it through the process and it doesn't qualify.

2.8

 And so I'm going to management and saying there's a problem here. You know, we're asking for their nominations and then throwing it back at everybody and saying well, it doesn't qualify under the ACEC plan and so they're saying is there another method. Is there another management criteria that could look at outside of ACECs that would recognize the subsistence use areas.

The other thing that people tell me is well, under ANILCA you do an .810 analysis for everything we do. These guides and outfitters, any mining operation, anything we permit on the land has an .810 analysis to talk about impacts to subsistence. Yes, I do them. I do them for every NEPA action in the district. And they look at impacts more than an ACEC would.

So they say ANILCA already covers subsistence conflicts and subsistence conservation issues and it doesn't qualify in the ACEC process under land use planning. So, you know, I don't think everybody should get too wrapped up in ACEC nominations and not be too afraid that they're being rejected because of under subsistence proposals because there's other ways to look at this.

And, you know, I feel for everyone how they -- when they put these in and BLM kind of turns their nose up at them because they say they're subsistence use areas.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Laona.

MS. DEWILDE: But it's like mostly salmon spawning habitat, or could you give a couple of examples of what has been nominated?

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

1 2

> MR. SEPPI: Yes. We've had some 20 of them. And the ones that are going to be carried forward that are both relevant and important, for example, on the Big River, that sheefish spawning area, that is a unique area that if something happened to that, it would impact the entire Kuskokwim for sheefish. That's unique and it's got to be protected. Those sheefish don't spawn in very -- not just that area, but very few areas. So there would be way more impacts from just disturbing that area.

14 15 16

17

18

19 2.0

21

Also, cultural sites. Through the cultural analysis sites that are graveyards, old village sites, that sort of thing, we wouldn't allow anything to happen into them. And anything that's proposed for a cultural site would likely be moved forward as an ACEC because it's a unique area that needs to be protected.

22 23 24

25

26

27

2.8

29 30

31

34

35

36

37

ACECs aren't unique just to Alaska. They're used on land use plans, on BLM lands throughout the country. And often when there's areas that are unique or even have public safety issues. There's an ACEC that's a landfill in the Lower 48, believe it or It's because it's dangerous to the public, so they're keeping it out of -- from people getting into it from the public. And that qualifies in the ACEC.

32 33

There are some areas down in the Southeast where there was danger of glaciers blowing out in the Haines Skagway area. There were proposals for an ACEC just because they wanted to designate that area as a public safety hazard. And that qualified as an ACEC.

38 39 40

41

42

But when I brought it forward for sheep, it didn't qualify, or for mountain goats -excuse me -- it didn't quality because mountain goats aren't -- weren't unique.

43 44 45

Pretty frustrating process, but that's how the ACEC program works.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That Okay. clarifies the ACEC.

So with this discussion, Laona has -- what you're thinking about, would it still qualify as an ACEC because of its unique characteristic?

MS. DEWILDE: Well, I'm thinking about salmon spawning habitat. Yeah. Definitely. And, you know, like it goes back to fire management also, like if we need -- we determine there's a fire -- it's not listed as critical and maybe it's in limited protection, that ACEC could then shift that into critical so that -- I'm just speculating, but, you know, like a big fire near a salmon spawning habitat could impact the.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, yeah. It changes a lot of the temperature of the water.

MR. SEPPI: Speaking of salmon, there's an Anvik River ACEC. The Anvik River has something like a million chum spawning in a year and the -- a vast majority of the chum spawning habitat for the Yukon River Drainage is in the Anvik. And so that will qualify because if you do something to the Anvik and take out that, you know, chum spawning river, you would impact the entire watershed of the Yukon south of there. So that's a good example.

But other salmon streams that aren't really all that unique, they wouldn't qualify as easily unless you had something that said that was, you know, very unique and different from all the other spawning streams.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

Darrel.

 MR. VENT: Now, would -- you know, we always have a problem with our pikes in our area because there's too many of them. We have whitefish that comes out from the lakes in the springtime when the water is high. And we've been having a problem with predator fish. Now, would that be areas of critical concern because they are overpopulating and taking over the whitefish areas? Would that be something that you guys would consider or is it only with salmon species?

MR. SEPPI: Something like that

wouldn't be really good to nominate as an ACEC. There's other ways to deal with that and wouldn't be an ACEC.

Somebody help me out here how we would deal with that situation, but, you know, that would be kind of like predator control, predator fish more than it would be a conservation issue.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Board of Fish and Federal Subsistence Board tried to address some of that issue, but the agencies are not going to have pike control or anything like that.

MR. SEPPI: No.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So that's -- that would not -- that's just a dead end street.

I would like BLM to work with Huslia Tribe on the delineation of this, what Laona's really talking about, behind closed doors and so that the Tribe feels comfortable talking about that issue. Because I do think that they probably do have a pretty critical area.

MR. THOMAS: I've got a question here.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Dennis.

MR. THOMAS: In our area we've got an awful lot of sheefish, too. So what's the big deal here with you guys wanting a special place for them and all this. I'm just trying to get an idea here.

MR. SEPPI: Yeah but they don't spawn down by your village. Those fish that you have coming past in the Kuskokwim River probably came up from a very unique spawning area up on the Big River, way up in the Kuskokwim Watershed and so if that area was disturbed or destroyed you wouldn't have any sheefish coming past Crooked Creek.

 MR. THOMAS: Well, I don't -- it's not right in Crooked Creek, as such it's in the river -- by the Mauze (ph) River. You've got two, well, three places there where it's really heavy. I've got these films of these things jumping out of the water, getting after the smolts and stuff.

Page 340 MR. SEPPI: Yeah. 1 2 3 MR. THOMAS: Following them down the 4 river. 5 6 MR. SEPPI: The mouth of the George 7 River I'm familiar is one place..... 8 9 MR. THOMAS: Well, that's one area. 10 MR. SEPPI: Yeah. 11 12 13 MR. THOMAS: But then up the Holitna 14 was really heavy, too. 15 MR. SEPPI: Yeah, the Holitna. 16 17 18 MR. THOMAS: That's when I got the films of this, you know. 19 20 MR. SEPPI: But those fish don't spawn 21 They come there as adults. But the 22 up there. important spawning areas are few and far between and so 23 if you were to disturb or destroy any of those areas, 24 the entire watershed would feel the impacts of that. 25 26 27 MR. THOMAS: So you say the sheefish 2.8 we've got, they aren't native to our area. 29 30 MR. SEPPI: Oh, they're native, of course they are but they only spawn in very specific 31 32 areas. 33 34 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's sheefish 35 that spawn on the Upper Koyukuk River that go to the 36 estuain areas of the Lower Yukon. There's sheefish that spawn on the Upper Kobuk River that go all the way 37 down and live in Hotham Inlet, Kobuk Lake at Kotzebue. 38 Sheefish go -- whitefish and sheefish typically go to -39 - are an anadromous fish, they go to the ocean and they 40 go all the way back up. So where they spawn -- the 41 Kobuk and -- on the Alatna and the Kobuk populations 42 are spawning in specific small little zones right where 43 they want to build the Ambler Road. 44 45 That can be a big problem for those 46 47 sheefish populations because a road with sportfishing off of that road -- and don't let anybody ever tell you 48 that the Ambler Road will be closed because all 49

anybody's got to do is stake a mining claim over there somewhere in the Kobuk and there are industrial access and they can take their four-wheelers and their jet boat and all their toys and go and kill stuff. And that's what they did on the Dalton Highway before they even had opened the Dalton Highway.

6 7 8

9

10

11

1 2

3

4 5

> So don't let anybody ever mislead you to believe that the Ambler Road will ever be closed because it's easy. For 35 bucks you can stake a mining claim. I looked it up. That's the current price. takes one mining claim. You're an industrial access.

12 13 14

15

So those sheefish populations on the Kobuk and Koyukuk River are in jeopardy. Jeopardy because of the Ambler Road proposal. So no.

16 17 18

19

2.0

21

22

The Big River is the same thing. Big River is where practically every sheefish in the whole Kuskokwim goes to spawn. You go there in October, the thing is -- you go to those spawning areas, they're packed full of sheefish. They're up there to spawn. They spawn when the ice is running.

23 24 25

Pollock wanted to talk about it. Pollock knows a lot about sheefish on the Alatna River.

26 27 2.8

Go ahead, Pollock.

29

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

30 31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

Around the Allakaket area there's spawning grounds like up Alatna the sheefish spawn and whitefish. And Henshaw Creek is salmon spawn. And up Central Fork there's more salmon spawning. I'm always concerned about large fires around there. Upper Koyukuk River we're kind of in this remote areas and some -- if not immediately around the village, then the areas, the State and the Feds claim these are limited areas. They let those fires burn like in a dry summer. These fires get pretty large and they get smoky and large fires have a lot of fallout and there could be fallout over these spawning grounds. The elders think that that fallout is harmful to the spawning grounds.

44 45 46

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Pollock.

49

```
Page 344
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, I go to page
 2
     28 only.
 3
 4
                     MR. STEVENSON: Here it is right here
 5
     on my computer.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So what was our
 8
     proposed date.
                     We're on....
 9
                     MS. PELKOLA: October 10th and 11th.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        10th and 11th
12
13
              So is that -- was that good for the Council
     Members. That was our preliminary choice.
14
15
                     MR. THOMAS: How long does it take you
16
17
     to drive down to Fairbanks from where you live?
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Six and a half
19
2.0
     hours.
21
                     MR. THOMAS: Six and a half?
22
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Yep.
25
                     MR. THOMAS: The road's that bad?
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You got a lot of
2.8
     hills. It's not the Parks Highway, that's the Haul
29
30
     Road.
31
32
                     (Laughter)
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Half gravel, half
     paved. You've got lots of hills. Ask Tom about
35
36
     driving the Haul Road. It's lot of fun.
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     MR. THOMAS: Lots of trucks, right?
40
41
42
                     (Laughter)
43
                     MR. STEVENSON: So Mr. Chair -- Mr.
44
45
     Chair -- Mr. Chair. Our calendar presently is showing
     the Western Interior meeting scheduled for October 10
46
47
     and 11, 2017 in Galena.
48
                     Thank you.
49
50
```

```
Page 346
                     MR. THOMAS: Hey, come to Crooked
 1
 2
     Creek. I'll put them up, too.
 3
 4
                     (Laughter)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Thank you, Erin.
 7
 8
                     MS. JULIANUS: Yep.
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        She just provided me
10
     with a calendar.
                       This Council several years ago, we
11
     used to go to various communities. But this Council
12
     has been -- the Office of Subsistence Management wanted
13
     us to meet in hub communities so we typically have gone
14
     between Aniak, McGrath, and Galena. But then we don't
15
     get any, very much participation from Staff and so
16
17
     we've been going -- the last couple of three years
     we've been going to Fairbanks one and going to one of
18
     our hub communities for the alternate.
19
20
                     Go ahead, Shirley.
21
22
                     MR. CLARK: Zach promised he would
23
     suggest Hawaii.
24
25
                     (Laughter)
26
27
2.8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Did you say that,
29
     Zach?
30
31
                     MR. THOMAS: All on one plane that way.
32
33
34
                     MR. STEVENSON: That was not to be
35
     mentioned on the record. No. I'm teasing.
36
     teasing.
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're up for our
40
     rural meeting. You know, we were on the Kuskokwim and
41
     McGrath last fall, so we're going to go to the Yukon.
42
43
     Because we manage these fisheries and we get into these
44
     -- you know, we get into management problems.
45
                     When we've had Galena meetings we get
46
47
     pretty good participation there and so I like to have
48
     meetings where we're going to get participation.
     it's a real hot button issue in a specific community
49
50
```

like Holy Cross or some community that's really got a real pressing issue, we can push for a cost analysis to try and get that meeting there. But I don't have any burning issues in any communities.

So Galena is -- I like to meet in Galena because it's -- for one thing I lived in Galena for two years and I like Galena and it's easy to get in there because it's got a big runway and it's not -- doesn't have a runway on a hill like a lot of those other villages down there. In Anvik and Ruby and places like that, the weather can -- you can be stuck there a week.

Where's your runway at in Grayling?

MS. CLARK: It's right down the river.

2.0

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, okay. Well, that makes it a little better. But I think this meeting is good in Galena.

Other comments.

MR. VENT: Yeah. I just have one comment about Galena. Just be aware that you can be stuck there for four or five days possibly.

(Laughter)

MR. VENT: So I remember from my last experience, I know how that felt.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, that is a carrier issue. And so we're going to -- I would like to have the meeting in Galena this fall, October 10th and 11th, with the specific instruction that our carriers will assure OSM that they will fly on those dates. If they don't -- if ElSol can't get a guarantee that they're going to fly on those dates, that they're not going to cancel for arbitrary reasons, then don't. Then ElSol should cancel that ticket and get a carrier that will, Wright Air or whoever wants to do the flying. But we've got to have participation.

Don lost this meeting because Ravn wouldn't fly and that just is not acceptable. It's -- we've had a hard enough problem getting the Secretaries of Interior to appoint our Council Members and then we

```
get into carriers not letting us fly. We need to be
 1
 2
     able to have full participation at this meeting.
 3
                     So I would like to see if OSM can make
 4
 5
     stipulations with ElSol so that the carrier quarantees
     the flight. If they don't want to do it, then don't
 6
     book the ticket. Ravn has been booking tickets and
 7
     they have no -- about a 50 percent chance of not
 8
 9
     actually doing it, and that's getting untolerable.
10
                     MR. THOMAS: Who else flies in there.
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Wrights.
                                                  There's a
     lot of -- there's.....
14
15
                     MR. THOMAS: I never heard of them.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Wright Air Service.
18
19
20
                     MR. THOMAS:
                                  Where do they fly out of?
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Fairbanks.
22
23
24
                     MR. THOMAS:
                                  Okay.
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So how's Galena
26
     sound 10 and 11.
27
2.8
                     MR. VENT:
                                That sounds great to me.
29
30
     Just one other comment. We normally get our per diem
     here at the meetings and then we get a check like three
31
     months later or four months later for the rest of the
32
33
     per diem, how does that work.....
34
35
                     MS. PELKOLA: That's the way it works.
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. It's taking a
37
     while to get these per diem checks. They come out of
38
     like Colorado and all this.
39
40
41
                     (Pause)
42
43
                     MR. STEVENSON:
                                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
45
                     And responding to -- responding to --
     responding to Mr. Vent's question, the protocol through
46
     OSM is that typically our RAC members receive an
47
     advanced per diem. We had one -- we had a new member
48
     here at our meeting today who did not receive the
49
50
```

```
advanced per diem as a new member and will be receiving
 2
     her entire -- receiving the entire per diem upon the
 3
     return.
 4
 5
                     But ordinarily the protocol is that the
     advanced per diem is provided at the beginning of the
 6
     meeting to assist with some of those travel-related
 7
     costs. And upon returning with those individuals that
 8
     have documented their participation at the meeting, the
 9
     payment notification is sent to our Denver office who
10
     cuts the check, the check is then cut, sent back to our
11
     Anchorage headquarters who confirms that the amount of
12
     the per diem is consistent with the amount of time that
13
     the RAC member was at the meeting and then the check is
14
     mailed from Anchorage to the RAC member's address.
15
16
17
                     So that process takes a little bit of
     time, but we attempt to move that forward as quickly as
18
19
     we possibly can.
20
21
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for that
23
     clarification, Zach.
24
25
                     And so going around the room,
26
     affirmative....
27
2.8
                     MS. PELKOLA:
                                   Jack.
29
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Jenny.
32
33
                     MS. PELKOLA: Are we going to affirm
34
     the meeting.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Affirm the meeting.
     Yes.
37
38
39
                     Is it agreeable for Galena. Everybody
40
     here is.....
41
42
                     (Council nods affirmatively)
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I see affirmative
44
45
     from the Council Members that are present.
46
47
                     You had another comment, Jenny.
48
                     MS. PELKOLA: I just want to say before
49
50
```

```
Page 352
                     MR. THOMAS: Okay. So where -- where
 2
     is that one going to be.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And then....
 5
 6
                     MS. MAAS: So Tuesday, February 20th,
 7
     and Wednesday, 21st.
 8
 9
                     MR. STEVENSON:
                                     Thank you.
10
                     MS. MAAS: You can look at that.
11
12
13
                     MR. STEVENSON: Thank you. Tuesday,
     February 20th, and Wednesday, February 21st, of 2018;
14
15
     is that correct?
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Yeah.
                                                       Ι
     don't have a calendar. So.....
18
19
20
                     MR. STEVENSON:
                                     Thank you.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So then I'm thinking
     that because we get more Staff participation when we
23
     have an urban meeting like Fairbanks, the next meeting
24
     should occur in Anchorage. Because that gives -- the
25
     lower part of the Region people can go directly into
26
     Anchorage, we can go directly down to Anchorage. We're
27
     right with OSM. It saves them all that travel cost.
2.8
     And then we get more agency participation. So how
29
30
     about Anchorage on those dates.
31
                     And Salena doesn't have to travel
32
33
     either.
34
35
                     (Laughter)
36
                     MR. STEVENSON: I understand that
37
     Shirley will be providing -- will be cooking for us for
38
     that meeting; is that correct?
39
40
41
                     MS. CLARK: Which one.
42
                     MR. STEVENSON: You. You will.
43
44
45
                     MR. GERVAIS: Anchorage.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Anchorage.
48
     We're kidding you.
49
50
```

information on some of the stuff in my area, but that's to come later. I just want to thank everybody for showing up and going to meetings.

And I guess it's kind of sad that we didn't have our little PAN game or anything like during the last meetings, but, you know, it all comes in good time. It looks like we might have another extra player over there, so I don't have to play with you guys this time.

## (Laughter)

 MR. VENT: But anyway, it's been good. I'm sorry that I was, you know, late to the meetings here, but it was not my fault. And my friend Don Honea -- I just wish in the future that, you know, we resolve these issues.

And thank you. It's been interesting.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Darrel.

Jenny.

2.8

 MS. PELKOLA: I just want to thank you, Jack, for doing such a good job as our leader. But I do -- I did write some stuff down that when we talked about birds, we were talking about eating this and that and saving this and that, well, in our area, in my family anyway we don't waste any part of the birds. We eat that.

 I did write transportation, but I think that's sort of taken care of.

I think that all the RAC leadership, you know, when we have important issues should keep --should have a teleconference somehow to stay in tune with each other. Because we had that one in Anchorage where the whole RAC -- all the RACs met and that was pretty good, but I think to continue whatever we did there, the leadership should -- of the RAC should maybe meet, or get that started anyway and need to get -- to keep things going so when we get back together we don't have to rehash whatever we talked about then.

And also I don't know if we have an

alternate Board Member. If there's a place for an alternate Board Member in case something like -- well, he would have -- Don Honea would have been notified. But somehow. I don't know how he can work that in, but just something to think about.

This next one is very important to me. I know sometime we're talking and we get carried away and we say words that I don't think we should say. I think we need to watch our language because we have people on the teleconference and we have maybe some elders here that, I for one, I don't like to hear language that's not really -- or should be at a meeting.

And also I'm thinking about a working lunch. We shouldn't go past 5:00 o'clock. And I just want to encourage the Members to try to be on time, you know, when we have a break, when Jack says 15 minutes, make it 15. And usually what I do when I run a meeting, I always say 10-minute break so they'll be back. If you give them 15, it's ten minutes and then give them a five-minute leeway so we'll be on time.

And I already -- I'd like to be contacted before the next meeting in Galena.

Oh. And I have one more. When we were talking about fish, about this hatchery fish, I noticed last summer I got a couple different looking kind of fish in my fish net and I -- it wasn't a wild fish and somebody said I think that's hatchery fish. So I don't even -- I should have taken a picture of it, but I think we got two. And I don't know if anybody else experienced that on the river, but it's just something that I wanted to share.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You know, with those hatchery fish, a lot of times they the adipose fin. That little, tiny fin on the top of the back. If it doesn't have that, it's got a real high likelihood of being a hatchery fish.

MS. PELKOLA: Okay. But it was

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I think they put a little pin in its nose, too. They put a little piece of wire with a code on it.

 just....

Page 357 did better this time mostly. 1 2 3 (Laughter) 4 5 MR. THOMAS: Jenny, I don't think I'd 6 want to work for you. 7 8 (Laughter) 9 10 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Fred. 11 MR. ALEXIE: Thank you. 12 13 First of all, I'd like to thank all the 14 agencies that were here. Boy, it really, you know, 15 makes us feel good to go home with a lot of information 16 17 that we get here at this RAC meeting. 18 19 And I'd like to thank Jack for, you 2.0 know, not hesitating to decline being Chair. You know, I've experienced where we get a lot of turnover in our 21 Chiefs or mayors and with like that, things, business 22 don't run quite as good. You know, we've got to have 23 consistent leadership. And, you know, Jack -- and I 24 know Darrel is going to -- doing a good job already. 25 Jenny has been there before. And, you know, with the 26 leadership being consistent year after year, you know, 27 it just makes for a good organization. 2.8 29 30 MR. THOMAS: It's called continuity. 31 MR. ALEXIE: Yeah. Continuity or 32 33 whatever. You know, I'm not -- I've got to hunt the dictionary to find all these big words. 34 35 36 (Laughter) 37 38 MR. ALEXIE: But at any rate, you know, I really learned a lot from you, Jack, and I thank you 39 for stepping up to the plate to protect -- really 40 protect us. Boy, you're just a magnificent chairperson 41 for doing that. And, you know, it's just protecting 42 43 our way of life. 44 45 And thank the Board for really participating in what we're doing. You know, my good 46 friend Don Honea -- he's -- you know, I know he's 47 48 kicking himself right now for, you know, and it's not his fault. 49 50

You know, I always tell people, you want to fly an adventurous trip, you fly with Ravn. I tell people that.

(Laughter)

MR. ALEXIE: You're laughing. You'll have an adventurous trip. It took me six hours to come here. Six-hour layover in Galena. Galena is the hub, my gosh, you know. And I got to spend there -- I get there in a 1900, a big plane, right, I get to Galena, they put me on a little Navajo.

(Laughter)

2.8

MR. ALEXIE: What's that, you know. And they traded places. They put me in a Navajo. Dropped me back down to riding the little Navajo. As long as I'm here, I don't really care how I fly, you know. But the idea of that being done to me, you know, it's -- I mean that's not the only time they do that. But, you know, it's an adventurous trip flying with Ravn. You don't know where you're going to wind up. How long you're going to sit there. I don't want to carry -- but at any rate, being on the Board now for two years now, the first year I was kind of hesitant, but I'm coming up now because of the subsistence issue. We've got to stand firm in our -- or stand for our subsistence way of life. Traditional way of life. Protecting it.

And I'd just like to thank you for stepping up there, Jack. I appreciate that.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Appreciate those kind words, Fred.} \\$ 

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  THOMAS: Again everybody's thanking these people for coming.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Turn your mic on

 MR. THOMAS: Oh. And giving a presentation and stuff. I think that's really good, too. You spend two days down here to get up and talk for 15 minutes, you know, you've got a lot of patience. You're doing a good -- I don't see anybody getting too

upset either, you know, so you did a very good job and

 there.

I thank you much.

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Dennis.

MR. VENT: Yeah. Fred, I think I got you beat there on that six-hours, I had like five days, so I've got you beat by a little bit there.

REPORTER: Give it up Darrel.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to start off by thanking Jack. I'm just always impressed by your breadth of knowledge on the issues and your willingness to handle almost all of the agenda items with equal amount of enthusiasm and pushing forward and working through. I think it's a job that none of the other Council Members is jealous of, we're glad that you're doing it and that you're doing a good job of it.

(Laughter)

2.8

MR. GERVAIS: I would like to make a special accommodation or special acknowledgment of Ray showing up. Quite stunning how dedicated he is to come and participate in the meeting with a wheelchair and with his lagging health and stuff, so I hope he can stay active with the RAC. He really has a -- just a wealth of knowledge about all this historical subsistence events and area about that Upper Kuskokwim that will be irreplaceable. So hope he stays active in what we're doing and I wish him good health in the present time.

I'd like to thank all the agencies for working with us. I mean we're just a bunch of people from various places and we only know what we know. And maybe Jack -- he knows all the protocol, but us other members, we don't know all the statutes and the history on stuff, so we appreciate your patience with our partial knowledge on what all these different programs and statutes are and sometimes we get into pushing for a certain change or something when we don't really understand what the statute is or what the history on it is.

I'd like to thank all the RAC Members for their work in coming here. I know everybody basically gives up a week of their life to come to each meeting. There's always something else that could be getting done. But I certainly enjoyed hearing about your different subsistence knowledge from your areas and from people — relaying information from people within your village and stuff so it's real helpful for us as a Council and me individually to get the — a better generalization of what the big picture is.

And I'd also like to thank Zach for working hard. He -- just for me personally I know he made a lot of extra efforts on travel and getting me some information on fishery stuff. And we had some incidents where the RAC was saying oh, we need this and we need that and then Zach's trying to like work within the confines of the program and his resources.

And so he really has a difficult job, along -- as well as with Jack having a difficult job. Zach's trying to be the bridge between the Council Members and the Office of Subsistence Management. So that's a difficult job, too, that I'm not jealous of. So thanks for performing it well and maintaining a good attitude about it. It's certainly appreciated.

And I wish everybody good travel.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much, Tim.

Pollock.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 First of all, I'd like to welcome the new member. You know, Robert Walker was with us for many years and Shirley's just from the same area, so that's good. She has a lot of experience and she's been on different boards and that's good for this Board.

I'd like to congratulate Darrel on his coming to be a vice-chair. He's young. He could take it.

(Laughter)

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Zach and Jack for being, just for being our good leaders. all the different agencies for making good report. remember some of your reports are kind of long and next time kind of highlight it. That would be quicker.

5 6 7

8

9

1 2

3

4

I remember McGrath meeting, we stayed there till after 6:00. Went over about an hour and a half. But right now I'm looking at my watch, it's 5:30. So Jack is doing better.

10 11

## (Laughter)

12 13 14

15

16

17

MR. SIMON: Yeah. In McGrath meeting, I -- after the meeting I told -- I said that this agenda says we were supposed to be done by 5:00 and it's after 6:00. I told Zach and Jack and they're doing much better now.

18 19 2.0

21

22

23 2.4

I also would like to thank Jack for hanging in there for many years. And he seems to understand everything. He knows everyone. He knows all the different agencies. So he's doing a good job for us.

25 26

27

2.8

29 30

31 32

And in conclusion, I'd like to say that I'm going to miss Ray. It looks like he's not feeling too strong, but surprisingly, you know, he sits down and puts his head down and maybe he's sleeping or something, Jack taps him and says, hey, Ray, you've got comments, oh, yeah. He's just right away he wake up and he'd just know what we were talking about and make good comments.

33 34 35

## (Laughter)

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

MR. SIMON: Because he lived subsistence lifestyle around McGrath all his life and he knows all the different agencies. He's been on different boards, school board, so he's a good man. But he's going to be 80 now, so he's got to be -- but he was a good member, he is a good member. I hope he continues. I hope he gets stronger and comes back again.

44 45 46

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for all those kind words. And I do appreciate Ray. Ray was the

Page 362 first Chair of this Council, 1993 he was the first 2 chair. 3 4 Shirley. 5 6 MS. CLARK: Thank you. I'm still up in 7 the air about whether I'm happy I'm here or not. 8 9 (Laughter) 10 MS. CLARK: One of the reasons I wanted 11 to be on the Board was so I could get away from 12 13 Grayling and my four jobs and get people used to me not being there all the time. So, you know, they're always 14 happy to see me come home. But, you know. 15 16 17 (Laughter) 18 MS. CLARK: But I learned a lot. 19 2.0 was interesting. You know, I -- and I'm glad I'm on the subsistence side this time. 21 22 And I wanted to commend Zach. He works 23 very hard and is very caring. The last time I went 24 25 through Aniak we were on weather cold so I called him immediately and said we're on weather hold, his first 26 words were are you warm and are you safe. And I wanted 27 to say no, I'm cold and I'm on the tundra, I need a 2.8 Black Hawk. 29 30 31 (Laughter) 32 33 MS. CLARK: But I knew that would have really made him nuts. So I just said well, yeah. 34 35 36 But otherwise I think I like it. And as I get to know you more, I'll talk more. But I've 37 always been kind of shy. 38 39 (Laughter) 40 41 42 MS. CLARK: And thank you. You're a very good Chair. So I feel like I've stepped into a 43 bunch of nice people. 44 45 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, we appreciate 46 47 your taking that seat for that GASH area. You're doing 48 real well for your first meeting and you're speaking quite a bit and so I'm not having to pry any 49

information out of you. I appreciate that.

1 2 3

I appreciate all these Council Members speaking up when -- for their areas, you know. When it comes to a location I start looking towards the persons that have that expertise in that area because that's what this Council's all about, is representing the other communities in that area.

I really appreciate the agencies sitting through these long meetings. I appreciate the public coming to speak to the Council. I really appreciated PJ coming and passionately speaking about that RMP process.

2.0

2.8

I really appreciated Ray. He's a real trooper. I mean he's had some real problems on this trip, but he told me he would still like to participate. He doesn't want to give up. Because he knows he has a lot of important information that this Council can use.

And so I appreciate all of the State participation, they hung out on the phone. They toughed it out. Gave us all -- listened to our ideas. Sometimes, you know, we're talking and talking and pretty soon they -- some of our ideas start happening with the State. We don't have to really leverage them. Like that harvest mortality and with beach seining. You know, I think they're really listening to that stuff. So I do appreciate OSM support.

Zach is doing a real good job. His first year. He's coming up to speed. He's got passion for subsistence. He comes out of that NANA region and there's real subsistence up there, just like there is in the rest of rural Alaska. So Zach is doing a real good job.

Some of this thing is out of his control, I mean out of his hands. He can't control the flights. He can't control some of these crazy regulatory processes that get thrown in his lap.

 And so that's just -- but I do feel that Carl Johnson, you know, he's -- well, he should move, go down there to Washington, D.C. again and cut through some of that red tape. He's done that before. He's actually an attorney. And so he needs to go back

Page 364 down there and start working with this new 1 2 administration on cutting some of this red tape. Trump said he was going to cut red tape. Well, let's cut 3 some here, I want to hear some cutting. 4 5 6 (Laughter) 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So -- snip. So I 8 think that we had a good meeting. We got all of our 9 agenda done and we had a lot of good comments. 10 11 And have a great trip and we'll see you 12 13 at the next one. Thank you. 14 15 MR. VENT: Thank you, Jack. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. Call for motion to adjourn. 18 19 20 MR. VENT: Make a motion to adjourn. 21 22 MS. PELKOLA: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Motion and second. 24 25 Those in favor of adjournment, signify by saying aye. 26 IN UNISON: Aye. 27 2.8 29 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. 30 (Off record) 31 32 33 (END OF PROCEEDINGS) 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50